Magnetic resonance imaging of breast prostheses
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Abstract
Breast MR imaging is the most accurate imaging investigation to detect breast prosthesis rupture. Rupture is common in older prostheses (> 10 years post implantation) and is often asymptomatic. The radiological signs of rupture are due to collapse of the elastomer shell which is enveloped by silicone gel and when the silicone gel separates the elastomer shell from the surrounding fibrous breast capsule.

Introduction
Breast implantation using prostheses is becoming a common plastic surgical procedure in this country. In the USA between 1 and 2 million women have had breast implantation procedures. The main reasons for implantation are breast reconstruction following breast cancer surgery or augmentation for cosmetic reasons. However complications following implantation of prostheses are common, occurring in 24% of patients in a series of 749 patients treated at the Mayo Clinic. Complications are difficult to detect by palpation, or even with ultrasound or mammographic examinations.

Complications associated with breast implantation
Complications can be classified into those associated with the surgical procedure and those associated with the prosthesis itself. Wound complications include the development of a haematoma, seroma, wound infection, and wound dehiscence. Implant complications include rupture or leakage and capsular contraction by the surrounding scar fibrosis resulting in loss of the normal breast shape and consistency. Implant rupture or valve/port failure appears to be a function of the age of the prosthesis. In a large community-based prospective study of 344 patients in the USA, 55% of patients had MR evidence of rupture with silicone gel, evident outside the elastomer shell in 21% of patients. Rupture is very common 10 years after implantation. The prosthesis must be removed once the diagnosis of rupture is made. Capsular contraction results from a scar forming around the shell and requires re-operation in 5 - 20% of all patients implanted. Capsular contraction is the commonest cause of patient dissatisfaction and the commonest cause of replacement of the prosthesis.

Older prostheses contained silicone gel. Rupture of these prostheses, although asymptomatic in most patients, has been associated with collagen vascular disorders and chronic fatigue syndrome. However more current data disputes any of these associations. Currently there is debate on whether all the migrated silicone gel requires removal or not.

Detection of rupture is inaccurate with mammography and ultrasound examination. The prosthesis contents usually obscure the surrounding breast parenchyma making detection of a leak extremely difficult. MR imaging of the breast using a phased array local breast coil has been demonstrated to be the most sensitive and specific investigation to detect rupture. In large series sensitivity for ruptured prostheses was from 74% to 94%, with a specificity of 85 - 98%.

Breast MR imaging signs of rupture
Breast MR technique consists of both T1 and T2-weighted spin echo transverse and sagittal images, a T1-weighted transverse image with fat saturation, a T2-weighted STIR sequence, and a turbo inversion recovery T1 transverse (TIRM) sequence with fluid suppression to detect silicone migration. The TIRM sequence suppresses fluid so making displaced silicone gel more visible. A phased array local breast coil is essential.

There are essentially two signs of rupture detectable with breast MR. The first sign is when the elastomer shell collapses and is enveloped by the silicone gel and this is detected as collapsed low-intensity lines internal to the high-intensity gel (Figs 1 and 2). The second sign is when silicone gel separates the elastomer shell from the fibrous capsule of breast tissue or the gel is found outside the shell within the breast tissue (Fig. 3). Various radiological descriptions are associated with these two signs,
such as the linguine sign, double wavy sign, key hole sign, noose sign, and inverted tear drop sign.

Conclusions

Breast MR imaging is a reliable and accurate investigation to detect the complication of breast prosthesis implantation. Rupture of implants is common and often asymptomatic and appears to be dependent on the age of the prosthesis.
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