Diabetic mastopathy: A clinical and radiological challenge
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Presentation

A 41-year-old woman presented with a lump above the right areola. She also complained of a burning and heavy sensation of the right breast of recent onset. On further history, the patient was an insulin-dependent diabetic of 35 years’ duration. She had no family history of breast disease. On examination, a palpable thickening above the right areola was detected.

Bilateral mammography revealed dense fibro-glandular tissue, denser in the right retro-areolar region than the left, with right peri-areolar skin thickening. There was no discrete mass nor suspicious microcalcifications or axillary adenopathy. Ultrasound (US) demonstrated an ill-defined peri-areolar region of decreased echogenicity and posterior shadowing.

Discussion

Diabetic mastopathy is an uncommon benign fibro-inflammatory breast disease. It may mimic cancer and as such poses a diagnostic challenge. Raising awareness of this condition is essential to avoid unnecessary surgical intervention and patient anxiety. Diabetic mastopathy accounts for less than 1% of benign breast lesions. Up to 13% of long-standing insulin-dependent diabetics are affected by diabetic mastopathy. The disease is not exclusive to females. It was first reported by Soler and Khardori in 1984. Three years later, the term ‘diabetic mastopathy’ was given to this entity. The pathogenesis is not completely understood. Three dominant mechanisms are:

- **exogenous insulin** may result in an inflammatory or immunologic reaction to the insulin, the vehicle or a contaminant in the vehicle.

- the effects of hyperglycaemia have been postulated. Hyperglycaemia results in abnormal extracellular matrix accumulation. The abnormal matrix and advanced glycosylated end products create a neo-antigen that triggers a secondary auto-immune reaction and B-cell proliferation and auto-antibody formation.

- the role of auto-immunity must be considered. There is a link between diabetes, thyroid disease and connective tissue diseases. The inflamed lobules are reminiscent of the lympho-epithelial lesions seen in other auto-immune diseases e.g. Hashimoto’s thyroiditis and Sjögren’s syndrome.

Patients are diabetic, predominantly type 1, on exogenous insulin. Many have complications of diabetes including retinopathy and microvascular disease. Other co-existing endocrine diseases may be present, especially thyroid disease.

Most commonly, the patient presents with palpable change/s in the breast. The lumps may be multiple (67% of patients) and bilateral (63% of patients). The masses tend to be very firm, irregular, discrete and plaque-like but remain mobile. They may undergo rapid growth. The size of the mass ranges from 5 - 60 mm. The clinical findings raise suspicion for breast carcinoma and patients are therefore referred for imaging.

Mammography generally does not demonstrate a distinct mass, spiculation or microcalcification. Most mammograms are reported as ‘dense breast parenchyma,’ ‘dense glandular tissue,’ ‘asymmetric densities’ and ‘parenchymal deformity.’

US shows marked posterior acoustic shadowing from an irregular, hypo-echoic density. A small study demonstrated absence of Doppler signal on colour flow US9 (malignancies tend to show increased vascularity). US cannot distinguish this entity from malignancy. US should be used to guide core biopsy and to follow up these patients.

Scintimammography using Tc-99 sestamibi has been shown to be of use in palpable breast lesions. A few cases of diabetic mastopathy have demonstrated no radiotracer uptake with scintimammography; this requires further evaluation.

MRI is useful in assessing dense breast tissue and may be of use in evaluating suspected breast cancer in patients with diabetic mastopathy. Dynamic contrast MRI and MR spectroscopy may help support a diagnosis of diabetic mastopathy. There are few studies, however, in this regard; further studies are required to determine the role of MRI in this setting.

US-guided core biopsy is the preferred method to diagnose the condition. Fine needle aspiration yields insufficient cellular material in 50% of cases with diabetic mastopathy. The histological features are those of keloidal fibrosis and variable periductal, perilobular or perivascular lymphocytic infiltrate that consists predominantly of B-cells. Epithelioid fibroblasts have also been described.
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The natural history of this disease is that 60% of the masses tend to be bilateral, recurrent or both – this reflects what appears to be a multicentric field effect of diabetes on mammary tissue. Surgery appears to exacerbate the condition. With the marked B-cell infiltrates and the presence of lympho-epithelial lesions, there has been concern that this disease carries a risk for lymphoma. However, all the studies concur that these patients are not at increased risk for lymphoma.

Once this benign condition is diagnosed, the patient should perform routine breast self-examination and have regular clinical breast examinations. US and even MRI are recommended for follow-up. The minimum follow-up period is annual. If any changes are detected, core biopsy should be performed.
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Conclusion

Diabetic mastopathy is an uncommon but important entity. Core biopsy under US guidance is essential. Surgery should be avoided where possible, as it may unnecessarily exacerbate this benign condition.


Fig. 5. Ultrasound image of the right breast.