Scope and geographical distribution of African medical journals active in 2005
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Objectives. To identify all African medical journals actively publishing in 2005, and to create a geodatabase of these to evaluate and monitor future journal activity.

Design. A search was done for relevant African medical journals on electronic databases, library catalogues and internet sites, and a list was compiled of active journals. A survey was conducted via questionnaire of editors of all listed African medical journals defined as having an editorial base on the continent.

Results. One hundred and fifty-eight African medical journals were identified, published in 33 countries. One hundred and fifty-three editors were surveyed via email, post and/or fax. There was a 39% response rate from editors based in 17 countries. Fifty-one journals were published in English, 7 in French and 1 in Portuguese. Most journals were owned by an association or a society and were funded from a combination of sources. Journals covered general medical and specialist medical interest equally. Most (41 of 59 journals) had a circulation below 1 000, and most (52/59) published 4 or fewer issues a year. Almost all the journals included original research, and articles were peer reviewed. Few were indexed on Medline (N = 18) and EMBASE (N = 10). Plotting journal location using Geographic Information Systems (GIS) software provided a snapshot view of current journal activity.

Conclusions. This study is likely to represent the most comprehensive list of current African medical journals. It confirms growth in African health care research and journal activity on the continent. Limited inclusion in international databases and accessibility to African researchers remain challenges in achieving publication of high-quality African research in high-quality African journals.

Since the establishment of the World Association of Medical Editors (WAME) in 1995 there has been increasing recognition of the pivotal role that medical journal editors play in communicating health care research results.1 Researchers may choose the journal to which they submit their articles, but their decision is influenced by the quality and accessibility of the chosen journal. Journal scope, content and quality are ultimately dependent on editorial practices. Many African researchers choose to publish their findings in international journals rather than African journals, but given that many researchers choose to publish their findings in international journals to establish the scope of each journal; and (iii) create a geodatabase of African medical journals using Geographic Information Systems (GIS) software to facilitate mapping of the journals.

Methods

Sample

In the absence of any published method for obtaining a representative sample of all African medical journals,1 we identified African medical journals from relevant databases and library catalogues. Search strategies for library catalogues and databases included African country names and relevant terms (e.g. tropical diseases). Table I lists the electronic databases, library catalogues and internet sites searched. We combined these results with a list of FAME registered editors and partners compiled and updated yearly by TDR from databases and personal communications. The final list comprised all African medical journals with an editorial office in Africa that were identified as being active in 2005 and for which we had contact details.
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We developed a 15-item, 2-page questionnaire informed by the WAME survey published in 1999. The form could be completed electronically or on paper and comprised both closed and open questions, allowing for additional commentary. We explained the aims of the survey in a covering letter and sent this by email to all those editors for whom we had email addresses, and by fax or postal mail for those without an email contact. Five weeks after the initial survey had been sent, a reminder letter was sent to non-responders. All surveys and covering letters were sent in both English and French.

Responses were entered into a spreadsheet and analysed using Microsoft Excel. In addition, we used the Environmental Systems Research Institute family of software, ArcGIS 9, to build a geodatabase that required the collection of geographical co-ordinates for each editorial office. ArcMap was then used to display the location of each journal along with its attributes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Databases, catalogues and internet sites searched to identify African journals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electronic databases</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI Current Contents (Life Sciences) and ISI Current Contents (Clinical Medicine) Journal lists (renamed as ISI Web of Knowledge)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>url: <a href="http://portal.isiknowledge.com">http://portal.isiknowledge.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI Master Journal list (renamed Journal Citation Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>url: <a href="http://portal.isiknowledge.com">http://portal.isiknowledge.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExtraMed Biomedical Periodicals Library (no longer updated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zetoc electronic table of contents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>url: <a href="http://zetoc.mimas.ac.uk/">http://zetoc.mimas.ac.uk/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Library catalogues</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library of the World Health Organization, Geneva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>url: <a href="http://www.who.int/library">http://www.who.int/library</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>url: <a href="http://www.lshtm.ac.uk/library/catalogues.html">www.lshtm.ac.uk/library/catalogues.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Library of Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya Medical Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No url</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internet sites</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Journals Online (AJOL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>url: <a href="http://www.ajol.info/">www.ajol.info/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAME (Forum for African Medical Editors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>url: <a href="http://www.who.int/tdr/networking/fame/fame_guidelines.htm">http://www.who.int/tdr/networking/fame/fame_guidelines.htm</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Survey instrument**

We developed a 15-item, 2-page questionnaire informed by the WAME survey published in 1999. The form could be completed electronically or on paper and comprised both closed and open questions, allowing for additional commentary. We explained the aims of the survey in a covering letter and sent this by email to all those editors for whom we had email addresses, and by fax or postal mail for those without an email contact. Five weeks after the initial survey had been sent, a reminder letter was sent to non-responders. All surveys and covering letters were sent in both English and French.

Responses were entered into a spreadsheet and analysed using Microsoft Excel. In addition, we used the Environmental Systems Research Institute family of software, ArcGIS 9, to build a geodatabase that required the collection of geographical co-ordinates for each editorial office. ArcMap was then used to display the location of each journal along with its attributes.

**Results**

**Location of journal editorial office**

We identified 158 African medical journals from 33 countries. We were unable to find complete contact details for 5 of these journals (3 from Senegal, 1 from Rwanda and 1 from Central African Republic), and surveys were sent to 153 journal editors on 15 August 2005 (123 by email, 10 by fax and 20 by post). After the initial round, 30 emails did not reach their destination and these were then re-sent by fax. Forty-five responses were received within the first month (by 12 September 2005). After a reminder stage, an additional 15 responses were received. We closed the survey after 13 weeks, having received an overall response rate of 39% (60/153) from 17 countries (Fig. 1). One journal was excluded from the analysis as the editorial base was located in France, giving a total of 59 journals. Fifty-one journals were published in English, 7 in French and 1 in Portuguese.
This study identified over 150 African medical journals as shown in Fig. 2. The South African Medical Journal had the earliest publication date in 1895, followed by the East African Medical Journal in 1923 and the Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association in 1927. The 3 journals with the most recent date of first publication (2004) were located in Nigeria, Tanzania and South Africa.

Scope of interest and circulation of journals
Most journals (N = 28) were owned by an association or a society and were funded from a combination of advertising, subscription and/or membership dues. Nine journals were fully funded by subscriptions and 4 by membership dues. Twenty-six editors described their journals as of general medical interest, 29 of specialist medical interest and 3 as of mixed interest (1 missing). The scope of interest of the 31 specialist-interest and mixed-interest journals was diverse and included public health, basic science, mental health and pharmacology (Table II). Thirteen journals had a circulation of less than 250, 18 had a circulation between 250 and 500, 10 had a circulation between 500 and 1 000 and 17 had a circulation over 1 000 (1 missing). Six of the 31 specialist or mixed-interest journals and 11 of the 27 general medical interest journals had a circulation over 1 000.

Frequency and year of first publication
Most journals (N = 52) were published quarterly or less often, with 4 journals published monthly and 2 journals appearing more than 12 times per year. Some editors commented that it was not always possible to ensure regular publication. The number of new publications has been increasing since 1993,
from multiple sources, and is likely to represent the most
comprensive list of current African medical journals to date.
The diversity of country and journal topic within these journals
is encouraging and suggests that researchers and editors are
actively engaging in the publication of health care research in
Africa.

The survey was limited by the low response rate (39%), hence
results may not be a true reflection of the overall sample. The
response rate was lower than that of the 2002 African editors
survey conducted by TDR (68%), but almost identical to that
achieved in the WAME survey (37%). Good and colleagues,
who conducted the WAME survey, argued that survey research
conducted at international level is extremely difficult because
the target population is often not well-defined and language
barriers can hamper the process. We believe that many of our
questionnaires may not have reached their destination because
of poor mail delivery systems and erratic power supply and
internet connectivity in many countries. We were explicit
about our aims in the covering letter, but on presentation of
our preliminary results to the FAME conference in December
2005, some journal editors reported not completing the survey
as they assumed the information they had supplied in the 2002
TDR survey was sufficient. Such misinterpretation may well
have resulted in additional non-response.

The results of our survey confirm that African medical
journals are poorly represented in the large electronic databases
such as Medline and EMBASE. This is similar to previous
research findings on Indian medical journals and suggests that
most medical research published in African journals remains
hidden from the wider research world. The implications of this
so-called database bias for systematic reviews of randomised
controlled trials have been well described, and can result
in unnecessary duplication and use of resources for all study
types. Most editors report including original research in their
journals and almost all report using peer-review processes.
However, it is not possible to determine the quality of the
research, and indeed of the peer-review reports, in a survey
such as this one. Issues surrounding the quality of research
and regularity of publishing are often cited as the reason for
non-inclusion of many journals in the larger databases, but
to the best of our knowledge direct comparisons have not
been undertaken to verify this. Regularity of publication
and accessibility are important criteria for journal selection in
databases such as Medline, and it is here that African journals
will have to improve if they are to increase the likelihood of
being indexed in Medline.

In 1990, the WHO attempted to address the lack of visibility
of African medical research by establishing the African Index
Medicus. After a 5-year period of inactivity the database has
recently been relaunched (http://indexmedicus.afro.who.int)
but is not yet up to date. The online resource, African Journals
Online (www.ajol.com), established in 2000 provides free
access to tables of contents and some abstracts from many African journals, including medical journals. However, inclusion in the database is dependent on editors providing the posted information, and the search engine only allows for simple searches. Clearly there is a need for the wealth of African publications to be more accessible to the wider research community. To achieve this either current systems will need to be greatly improved or innovative alternative systems will need to be developed.

Our study confirms that medical and health care research is both taking place and being published in many journals across the African continent. Mapping the location of each journal using GIS software provides a snapshot view of the current status of African medical journal publication and can easily be updated, providing a useful evaluation tool for future monitoring of journal coverage. We plotted greater journal activity in Nigeria, Egypt and South Africa, but these countries are relatively rich in comparison to most other African countries and this finding is perhaps not surprising. However, we are greatly encouraged by the journal activity in poorer countries such as Mozambique, Madagascar and Tanzania. This activity and the establishment of so many new journals during the last decade is contributing to a culture of African health care research. Consideration must be given to how researchers, journal editors, information specialists and policy-makers can work together to achieve the publication of high-quality research in high-quality journals and to ensure ready access to this research across the continent and indeed the world. We hope that the growth of FAME and studies such as this one will stimulate further discussion and assist in the identification of creative solutions to address the challenges we have identified.

The authors welcome information on any current African medical journals. Please forward information to Edith Certain at email: certaine@who.int
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