Immunisation coverage in the rural Eastern Cape – are we getting the basics of primary care right?

Results from a longitudinal prospective cohort study
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Background. Immunisations are one of the most cost-effective public health interventions available and South Africa (SA) has implemented a comprehensive immunisation schedule. However, there is disagreement about the level of immunisation coverage in the country and few studies document the immunisation coverage in rural areas.

Objective. To examine the successful and timely delivery of immunisations to children during the first 2 years of life in a deeply rural part of the Eastern Cape Province of SA.

Methods. From January to April 2013, a cohort of sequential births (N=470) in the area surrounding Zithulele Hospital in the OR Tambo District of the Eastern Cape was recruited and followed up at home at 3, 6, 9, 12 and 24 months post birth, up to May 2015. Immunisation coverage was determined using Road-to-Health cards.

Results. The percentages of children with all immunisations up to date at the time of interview were: 48.6% at 3 months, 73.3% at 6 months, 83.9% at 9 months, 73.3% at 12 months and 73.2% at 24 months. Incomplete immunisations were attributed to stock-outs (56%), lack of awareness of the immunisation schedule or of missed immunisations by the mother (16%) and lack of clinic attendance by the mother (19%). Of the mothers who had visited the clinic for baby immunisations, 49.8% had to make multiple visits because of stock-outs. Measles coverage (of at least one dose) was 85.2% at 1 year and 96.3% by 2 years, but 20.6% of babies had not received a second measles dose (due at 18 months) by 2 years. Immunisations were often given late, particularly the 14-week immunisations.

Conclusions. Immunisation rates in the rural Eastern Cape are well below government targets and indicate inadequate provision of basic primary care. Stock-outs of basic childhood immunisations are common and are, according to mothers, the main reason for their children's immunisations not being up to date. There is still much work to be done to ensure that the basics of disease prevention are being delivered at rural clinics in the Eastern Cape, despite attempts to re-engineer primary healthcare in SA.


Immunisation coverage of children is a cornerstone of primary healthcare (PHC) and an important gauge of the quality of the health service in a country, but is also a good indicator of how far a country is from preventable disease outbreaks, such as the measles outbreak that occurred in South Africa (SA) in 2010.

SA’s immunisation schedule has been significantly expanded since 1994, with the recent addition of the pneumococcal (PCV) and rotavirus (RV) vaccines in 2009, and is the most comprehensive in Africa. As part of the 2010 ‘Re-engineering of Primary Health Care’ policy, the South African National Department of Health (NDoH) has emphasised the importance of doing the basics of PHC right. However, there has been disagreement about how well the schedule has been implemented on the ground and the NDoH has disputed low WHO/UNICEF immunisation coverage figures. After the 2011 census provided revised estimates of the number of children under the age of 1 year, the NDoH immunisation indicators were adjusted downwards, but were still significantly higher that WHO/UNICEF estimates.

The quality of NDoH immunisation data gathered through the District Health Information System (DHIS) is poor and apart from one study, there are limited data on immunisation coverage in rural areas of SA and, to the best of our knowledge, nothing about coverage in rural Eastern Cape.

In this study we assessed immunisation coverage of the South African Expanded Programme of Immunisations (EPI-SA) during the first 2 years of life and the timeliness of immunisations in the first year of life. The study formed part of the Zithulele Births Follow up Study (ZIBFUS), a prospective, longitudinal cohort study, initiated in January 2013.

Methods

Setting

Zithulele Hospital is a 146-bed district hospital, serving a catchment area with a population of approximately 130 000 and fourteen clinics, and is situated in the King Sabata Dalindyebo (KSD) sub-district of the OR Tambo District in the Eastern Cape, a National Health Insurance
There has been a sustained and significant improvement in the quality of healthcare delivered at Zithulele Hospital since 2005. Outpatient numbers and in-facility deliveries at Zithulele Hospital tripled between July 2005 and July 2015, while perinatal mortality rates decreased from 42 per 1 000 live births to below 20 per 1 000, paediatric in-hospital mortality decreased from 10% to 2.5% and more than 5 000 patients were started on ARVs (personal communication – C B Gaunt, clinical manager, Zithulele Hospital, 27 October 2015).

The hospital clinical team also invested significantly in 10 PHC feeder clinics, by sending doctors, occupational therapists, physiotherapists, dentists, dieticians, speech therapists and audiologists to these clinics on a regular rotation. As a result, the level of support received by PHC clinics in the Zithulele Hospital catchment area is better than in most rural areas of SA.

Sample

We recruited a cohort at birth from Zithulele Hospital and the area covered by its closest PHC clinics between 14 January 2013 and 15 April 2013. All women who gave birth at Zithulele Hospital, at one of the ten closest clinics, on the way to a health facility, or at home in the area covered by the clinics during this time period were included in the study. Women who travelled to the hospital from outside this catchment area to give birth at the hospital were excluded, because of lack of resources for regular follow-up of women further afield. Informed consent was obtained from all women; in cases where a participant was under 18 years of age, informed consent was obtained from her and a parent or guardian as well. Ethical approval was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Committee at Stellenbosch University (N12/08/046) and permission for the recruitment to occur in government health facilities was granted by the Eastern Cape Department of Health through the Office of Epidemiological Research and Surveillance Management.

Most women were recruited from the maternity ward of Zithulele Hospital, where a field worker (FW) was stationed every day of the week (including weekends). To identify women who gave birth at home or in one of the feeder clinics, the research team relied on nurses at the clinics to identify these cases, and offered nurses a small airtime reimbursement to contact the FWs with the woman’s telephone number. The principal investigator, having previously worked as a medical officer in all of the feeder clinics, had built good relationships with the nurses there over many years. Links were also established with traditional leaders in the community, with the hope that they could help us to identify mothers giving birth at home. The majority of home births were referred to us by nurses at the time of the mother’s first visit to the clinic. We believe that virtually all home births during the recruitment period were identified in this way because of the strong incentive for mothers to visit a clinic or hospital soon after birth to acquire a Road-to-Health card (RtHC) for their baby. Not only is the RtHC a type of health ‘passport’ and an extremely important health record, but it is also used to apply for a child’s birth certificate. Furthermore, if the child’s immunisations were incomplete, mothers and caregivers who had been to the clinic were asked whether they had been instructed to return after a routine (immunisation) visit because of the unavailability of one or more immunisations.

Most women were recruited from the maternity ward of Zithulele Hospital, where a field worker (FW) was stationed every day of the week (including weekends). To identify women who gave birth at home or in one of the feeder clinics, the research team relied on nurses at the clinics to identify these cases, and offered nurses a small airtime reimbursement to contact the FWs with the woman’s telephone number. The principal investigator, having previously worked as a medical officer in all of the feeder clinics, had built good relationships with the nurses there over many years. Links were also established with traditional leaders in the community, with the hope that they could help us to identify mothers giving birth at home. The majority of home births were referred to us by nurses at the time of the mother’s first visit to the clinic. We believe that virtually all home births during the recruitment period were identified in this way because of the strong incentive for mothers to visit a clinic or hospital soon after birth to acquire a Road-to-Health card (RtHC) for their baby. Not only is the RtHC a type of health ‘passport’ and an extremely important health record, but it is also used to apply for a child support grant (R250 per month in 2013) from the South African Social Security Agency (SASSA). FWs were recruited locally and were trained by experienced facilitators from Stellenbosch University for a period of 6 weeks. Interview techniques, the use of cell phones as a data collection tool, research ethics, and confidentiality were covered, and the birth questionnaire was piloted in the field. For each of the subsequent surveys, in-house training and piloting was performed. Questionnaires were initially written in English, and then translated into isiXhosa by the FWs, who are all proficient in English and familiar with the type of isiXhosa spoken in the part of the rural Eastern Cape where the study was performed. Questionnaires were then loaded onto cell phones using the programme Mobenzi Researcher, and all data entered into the Mobenzi Researcher platform in the field were checked by the research co-ordinator or on-site principal investigator in the presence of the relevant data capturer within a few days of entry. All interviews were voice-recorded, and an experienced isiXhosa-speaking researcher at Stellenbosch University reviewed a select number of interviews per FW each month, for further quality control and verification of the data’s accuracy. The project co-ordinator also conducted field visits on a weekly basis for the first year to further ensure the quality of data collected.

Birth interviews were usually performed within 1 – 2 days of birth at the hospital, and within 1 – 2 weeks if the child was born at home or at the clinic. Mother-infant pairs were then followed up at 3, 6, 9, 12 and 24 months. Ninety-two percent of follow-up interviews were conducted at the child’s home, while the rest were performed at another convenient location for the mother, such as a clinic, trading store or the hospital.

Immunisation status was checked at each follow-up visit, and FWs assessed whether all immunisations that should have been given by the relevant age had indeed been given. For the immunisations checked at each interview, see Table 2. If a single immunisation was missing, a child’s immunisations were classified as incomplete. If the mother or caregiver gave consent, the FW took a photo of the immunisation page in the RtHC, which allowed for confirmation of the immunisation status at each visit, and also enabled us to assess whether immunisations had been given at the correct time, as the date each immunisation is administered is noted on the card by the clinic nurse.

Participants who did not have RtHCs were not included in the assessment of immunisation status. At the 3- and 6-month interviews, mothers and caregivers who had been to the clinic were asked whether they had been instructed to return after a routine (immunisation) visit because of the unavailability of one or more immunisations. Furthermore, if the child’s immunisations were incomplete, mothers and caregivers were asked why this was the case.

Data were analysed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS, USA).

Results

A total of 493 women fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Of this sample, 23 women (5%) refused or were unable to provide informed consent as a result of intellectual impairment, hearing impairment or psychiatric illness, and were therefore excluded from the study. There were nine sets of twins, but the second twin was excluded from this analysis, and therefore 470 mother-infant pairs were evaluated. The age range of the women was from 14 to 52, and 77 of the women were under 18 years old. The HIV prevalence rate of 28.5% was slightly lower than the prevalence rate of 29.8% for the OR Tambo District in the 2012 National Antenatal Sentinel HIV Prevalence Survey (76% of women in the study who remembered their antenatal care initiation date initiated antenatal care in 2012, which is when blood for syphilis and the Sentinel HIV Prevalence Survey would have been taken). Over 90% of households received some kind of government grant at the time of the birth survey. There were nine sets of twins, but the second twin was excluded from this analysis, and therefore 470 mother-infant pairs were evaluated. The age range of the women was from 14 to 52, and 77 of the women were under 18 years old. The HIV prevalence rate of 28.5% was slightly lower than the prevalence rate of 29.8% for the OR Tambo District in the 2012 National Antenatal Sentinel HIV Prevalence Survey (76% of women in the study who remembered their antenatal care initiation date initiated antenatal care in 2012, which is when blood for syphilis and the Sentinel HIV Prevalence Survey would have been taken). The women enrolled into the study reflect a poor rural population with little access to municipal services such as electricity and water (Table 1). While 56% had passed grade 9, only 7% had completed 12 years of schooling, 1% had a post-school qualification and nearly 5% of mothers had never attended school. Over 90% of households received some kind of government grant at the time of the birth survey. Less than a fifth of households had access to electricity at baseline, with two-thirds using wood as their primary fuel source. Only 30% had access to a communal water tap, with 48% relying on unsafe river water. At the 2-year follow-up, 36% of mothers had access to communal taps, 27% had access to mains electricity, and another 7% had gained access to government-supplied solar panels, indicating a modest extension of municipal services in the area surveyed. The vast majority of births were in a health facility (86%), and of those who delivered at home, only one woman had intended to do so. By 2 years,
34% of the children were being primarily cared for by someone other than their mother, with maternal grandmothers caring for these children in 55% of cases; this shift was mostly as a result of mothers returning to school or work.

Follow-up rates were between 84.7 and 92.1%, and were 88% at 2 years (Table 2). Twelve-month follow-up rates were bolstered by the 2014 platinum mining strike, which started in January and lasted 5 months. During this strike, most men employed in the mines (and also the mother-infant pairs participating in the study) were back home from the North West Province. Twenty-two infants died in the 1st year, two of whom were the second twin and are therefore not included in this analysis. No deaths were recorded in the 2nd year of the study.

A high proportion (between 89 and 96%) of mothers or caregivers had the children's RtHC available at the interview (Table 2), and at 2 years only 2% reported that the child's RtHC had been either lost or destroyed. Immunisation rates at the 3-month interview were less than 50%, but this had improved by 6 and 9 months (Table 2). At 12 months, the fully immunised group was again smaller, because some of the children in the study were missing either their measles containing vaccine 1 (MCV 1) or pneumococcal conjugate vaccine 3 (PCV 3) (or both), which is due at 9 months. MCV 1 had an 85% coverage rate at 1 year and MCV 2 a 79.4% coverage rate at 2 years. Just less than 4% of children had received neither MCV1 nor MVC2 by the time of the 2-year interview.

Fifty-six percent of women whose children did not have all their immunisations up to date blamed stock-outs at the clinic (51.9% at 3 months and 62.6% at 6 months), 19% said that they had not gone to the clinic yet, while 16% said that they were unaware of the fact that the immunisations were incomplete. In these cases, some participants went for immunisations and were given one, but not all, of the required immunisations for the relevant date, but were not informed that the immunisations were incomplete; others were simply unaware that they needed to go for further immunisations. By 6 months, 49.8% of women in the study who had gone for immunisations, including those whose children had all immunisations up to date at the time of the interview, reported that they had to return to the clinic for an immunisation that was out of stock at least once.

Finally, as can be seen in Fig. 1, a significant proportion of immunisations were administered more than 2 weeks after they were due. This trend was most prominent at 14 weeks, when between 60%...
and 68% of the immunisations were given more than 2 weeks after their due date, while 50% of DTaP-IPV/HiB 3 immunisations were given more than 4 weeks late.

### Discussion

The NDoH has set ambitious targets for immunisation rates in the Health Ministry’s Annual Performance Plan 2014/2015 - 2016/7, with the stated aim of 95% coverage for immunisations by 1 year and 85% coverage for measles second dose (MCV2).[4] The ZIBFS demonstrates that in rural areas, clinics are falling short of national targets for immunisation coverage, even in an NHII pilot district with a well-functioning district hospital. The ZIBFS data show 1-year immunisation coverage of 73.3%, in comparison with the target of 95%, and measles second dose coverage of 79.4%, as compared with the 85% target. It is encouraging that 96.3% of babies had received at least one measles immunisation by 2 years. However, MCV1 immunisation coverage still falls well below the NDoH target of 95% at 1 year, which is also the level required for the development of herd immunity.[27] It is important to note that we were only able to assess immunisation status on children who had RTHCs available. Although RTHC availability was high – 96.4% at 3 months and 89.4% at 24 months – we are probably underestimating immunisation coverage slightly, as children without RTHCs are less likely to have been immunised, as clinic nurses require them for vaccinations to be given.

As a point of comparison, and not unexpectedly for an underserved rural area, our figures for 1-year immunisation coverage are lower than the NDoH national estimates for 2012/13, which vary between 94% (Annual Performance Plan)[49] and 83.4% (2014/15 District Health Barometer).[31] Interestingly, our figures are higher than WHO/UNICEF national estimates for 2012/3, which indicate that immunisation coverage was around 69%.[3]

In light of the prevention imperative of immunisations and the risk to herd immunity due to poor coverage, it is notable that stock-outs of immunisations had affected nearly 50% of the all the women and children participating in the study by the 6-month interview, necessitating one or more subsequent visits to ensure adequate coverage. Furthermore, 56% of women whose children did not have up-to-date immunisations attributed this to stock-outs, while only 19% of women indicated that they had not yet gone to their clinic for the required routine vaccinations. There may be some reporting bias here, in that women might try to justify their children’s incomplete immunisations by blaming nurses or clinics. However, the fact that 45% of the women who said they had been asked to return to the clinic at least once had children whose immunisations were all up to date indicates that stock-outs are not simply being used as an excuse, but that this is indeed an extensive problem. There is a tendency for healthcare workers to blame mothers for incomplete immunisations,[39] but our data indicate that nearly three-quarters of missed immunisations were due to a health system failure – either a stock-out (56%) or lack of information to women about their baby’s immunisation schedule (16%).

Furthermore, the knock-on effect of stock-outs, which delay routine immunisations and therefore cause other scheduled immunisations to also be delayed, is well demonstrated, with 38 - 50% of the 14-week immunisations given more than 1 month late. This finding, coupled

with the difficulty that many mothers have in accessing rural clinics, means that young infants are left potentially vulnerable to dangerous preventable diseases, and resultant malnutrition and developmental delay.[49]

Our data cannot be generalised to the whole of SA, but gives an indication of the immunisation coverage and timeliness of routine childhood immunisations in poor rural communities. It is clear that, despite SA’s impressive immunisation policies and the emphasis on the basics of PHC under the ‘Re-engineering of Primary Health Care’ strategy, immunisations are not always available to infants in the rural Eastern Cape. This places an unnecessary burden on rural women and their children and puts poor rural communities at risk of preventable disease outbreaks.
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