SHORT REPORT

# Norplant in South Africa the first 100 patients

Paul C Duminy

High maternal mortality rates coupled with poor socioeconomic conditions in developing countries indicate the need for those truly dedicated to improvement of maternal well-being to investigate advances in methods of pregnancy regulation and to implement those found to be effective and acceptable.

In a trial involving 100 patients at Tygerberg Hospital that commenced in December 1993, Norplant was found to be highly acceptable and easy to use.

It is strongly recommended that the acceptability of Norplant to the general South African population be evaluated further and then, hopefully, made available to all our people without undue delay.

S Afr Med J 1997; 87: 226-227.

The harsh realities of an unacceptably high maternal mortality rate - 630 - 1 000/100 000 women in parts of Africa1 due, in part, to repeated or unplanned pregnancies and unsafe abortion - and ever-increasing economic hardship must surely indicate the very real need for planned parenthood and effective long-term female fertility regulation.

This can be provided, inter alia, by Norplant (levonorgestrel (LNG) in silastic tubes),2 which has already had extensive clinical testing worldwide and is widely recognised as safe, effective and acceptable to patients. A progestogen-only method, Norplant is implanted subdermally3 in the early proliferative phase of the cycle or 6 weeks postpartum and affords at least 5 years' effective and compliance-free contraception. It is effective within 24 hours of insertion<sup>4</sup> and no plasma LNG is detectable more than 96 hours after removal. Resumption of ovulation is swift -100% within 7 weeks.5,6

Norplant causes a pronounced increase in cervical mucus viscosity,7 suppresses ovulation8 and renders the endometrium unreceptive to implantation.3 No clinically important side-effects have been noted in respect of carbohydrate and lipid metabolism, liver functions,9-11 blood coagulation12 and hormone levels, except in the case of oestradiol levels, which may fluctuate.15

Although contraindicated in pregnancy and in patients with undiagnosed genital bleeding, breast dysplasia, benign or malignant liver tumours, acute liver disease and acute thrombophlebitis or thrombo-embolic disease, Norplant

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Stellenbosch and Tygerberg Hospital, Tygerberg, W. Cape

Paul C Duminy, MB ChB, MMed (O&G), FRCOG, Hons B (B&A)

appears to be eminently suitable for those needing longterm fertility regulation, especially if oestrogen or an intrauterine contraceptive device is contraindicated. It is also a excellent contraceptive for sexually active adolescents. 13

The first year of the Tygerberg trial of this drug, aimed a determining its acceptability as well as its side-effects in our local population, is now complete. Experience to date is reported here.

## Aim of study

To determine whether Norplant (LNG subdermal implants) would be an acceptable alternative method of fertility control for the patients cared for by the family planning service of Tygerberg Hospital.

## Sample population

Patients were recruited from those attending the family planning clinics served by Tygerberg Hospital for the first time; all were informed about Norplant and out of those who showed interest and gave written consent, 100 consecutive patients were entered into the study. Twenty-five white, 70 coloured and 5 black patients were included. This distribution is representative of the current demographic trends at the hospital.

### Material and methods

A descriptive study was undertaken on a pre-registration trial basis at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecolog Tygerberg Hospital. Biochemical and haematological parameters were not investigated as the extensive world literature available had already covered this aspect adequately.9-11,13,14

The insertions and removals were performed according to standard procedure13 under local anaesthesia by medical staff in the clinic setting. Ten insertions were done under specialist supervision by registered nurses qualified in family planning. Four lactating mothers received Norplant.

Follow-up was undertaken at the clinic at 3-monthly intervals and patients were questioned about acceptability, pain on insertion, bleeding and other disorders, infection at the implantation site and effect on lactation where applicable.

In an attempt to obviate bias, data capture was by independent and otherwise uninvolved nursing personnel. Data were subsequently entered onto standardised questionnaires.

## Results (Table I)

Ninety-four patients are currently continuing on Norplant. Of the 6 removals, 2 have been for pain at the insertion site, 1 for severe mood changes, 1 to have another pregnancy, 1 because of a perceived shift in her fat distribution, and 1 because her husband simply did not like the method. All removals were easily accomplished and uncomplicated.

GYNAECOLOGY

ain on insertion was noted by 4 patients. In 3 cases, w and infection was suspected clinically but no removals wire required after antibiotic therapy. No adverse effect on la tation has been noted to date. Occasional cases of headache, bloatedness, dizziness and weight gain have bean recorded, while 4 patients experienced appreciable har loss

Table I. Results of a 1-year trial of Norplant at Tygerberg Hospital (N = 100)

		Yes	No	%
R	novals	6	94	6
P	n on insertion	4	96	4
In	plant site 'infection'	3	97	3
L	tation affected	0	4	0
В	eding disorders	52	48	52
T	atment required	13	39	25
*	er' disorders: mastalgia 2, hair loss 4, weight gain 3.			

s expected, breakthrough bleeding has been the most mmon side-effect, occurring in 52% of patients, 13 (25%) whom required treatment with cyclic ethinyl oestradiol at osage of 0.05 mg per day for 21 days and repeated for 3 nths. While it may as yet be too early to evaluate this result, all patients have responded excellently to treatment a d subsequent amenorhoea has been the rule. F assurance sufficed for the remainder and all are

#### Conclusion

ntinuing on Norplant.

om the above it appears that Norplant has performed very √ ∋II in this group of patients, a finding comparable with v orld experience.

Undoubtedly acceptability of Norplant will need to be sessed in the general South African population and more and larger trials should be undertaken, including trials to Valuate immediate postpartum insertion. Paramedical staff should also be trained in its use. Norplant is easy to use,13 effective and highly acceptable to our patients and should be made available to South African women as an alternative fertility regulation method without undue delay.

Some caution is, however, advocated with regard to the introduction of Norplant in South Africa and its public health Services. It will, for instance, be necessary to ensure adequate training of care providers, so as to avoid the negative experiences of some parts of the world, notably Indonesia. These have apparently been ascribed to insensitive launch handling as well as to lack of provider empathy. 15,16 Adequate service facilities must also be made available.

It must be noted that Norplant is not recommended for patients on phenytoin, carbamazepine or rifampicin (all commonly used in southern Africa) because of hepatic microsomal enzyme induction and consequent decreased efficacy of Norplant.17

#### Cost

As Norplant is not yet available in South Africa speculation

about its possible cost appears premature. It has been said, however, that costs in the USA are in the vicinity of \$350 and \$50 for private and state patients respectively. This apparent high cost must, of course, eventually be offset against that of unplanned (unwanted?) pregnancies.

The support of this trial by Leiras of Finland and Dr Heinrich Hoehler of South African Druggists is gratefully acknowledged.

- 1. Sai F. Keynote address. 1st Reproductive Health Priorities Conference. Rustenburg, South Africa, 21 - 24 June 1994.
- 2. Flattum-Riemers J. Norplant a new contraceptive. Am Fam Physician 1991; 44:
- Croxatto HB. Norplant: levonorgestrel releasing contraceptive implant. Ann Med
- 1993; 25: 155-160.

  4. Segal S. A new delivery system for contraceptive steroids. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1987: 157: 1090-1092
- 5. Croxatto HB, Diaz S, Pavez M, et al. Clearance of levonorgestrel from the
- following removal of Norplant subdermal implants. Contraception 1988; 38: 509-523.

  6. Ismail AA, Anwar MY, Youssef FM, et al. Ovulation detection following removal of levonorgestrel subdermal contraceptive implants. Contraception 1987; 35: 207-214.
- 7. Shoupe D. Horenstein J. Mishell DR jun, et al. Characteristics of ovarian follicular
- development in Norplant users. Fertil Steril 1991; 55: 766-770.

  8. Shaaban MM, Segal S, Salem HT, et al. Sonographic assessment of ovarian and
- endometrial changes during long-term Norplant use and their correlation with hormone levels. Fertil Steril 1993; 59: 998-1002.

  9. Konje JC, Odukoya OA, Otolorin EO, et al. Carbohydrate metabolism before and
- after Norplant removal. Contraception 1992; 46: 61-69.

  10. Singh K, Viegas OA, Loke DF, et al. Evaluation of liver function and lipid metabolism
- Singh N, Viegas OA, Loke Dr, et al. Evaluation of liver trutcion and lipid metabolism following Norplant implant removal. Adv Contracept 1993; 9: 41-47.
   Otubu JA, Towbola OA, Aisien AO, et al. Effects of Norplant contraceptive subdermal implants on serum lipids and lipoproteins. Contraception 1993; 47: 149-159.
   Singh K, Viegas OA, Koh SC, et al. Effects of long-term use of Norplant implants on haemostatic function. Contraception 1992; 45: 203-219.
   Duminy PC, Margolis K. Norplant® a new hope for our troubled sub-continent.

- Duminy PC, Margioris A. Norplante a new noje to dur troubled sub-continent.
   Obstetrics and Gynaecology Forum 1994; 4: 4-10.
   Moutos DM. Levonorgestrel subdermal implants: Norplant. Postgraduate Obstetrics and Gynaecology 1993; 13: 1-8.
   Rees H, Sai F, McGarry S. Reproductive and Family Planning Consultancy, National
- Review and Recommendations. Report Commissioned for the Commission of the European Union, Special Programme for South Africa, October 1993. European Union, 1993.
- 16. Zeidenstein G. Dilemmas of public sector contraceptive development. Ann Med 1993; 25: 47-50.
  Haukkamaa M. Contraception by subdermal implants is not reliable in epileptic
- patients on anticonvulsant treatment. Contraception 1986; 33: 559-565.

Accepted 16 Nov 1995