Age-specific prevalence of cervical human papillomavirus infection and cytological abnormalities in women in Gauteng Province, South Africa
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Background. Women accessing the public health system in Gauteng province, South Africa are largely unscreened for cervical cancer and have a high background prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection.

Objectives. This cross-sectional study describes the age-specific prevalence of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and cytological abnormalities among this urban and peri-urban population.

Method. Over the period March 2009 - September 2011, 1 524 women attending public sector primary healthcare clinics were invited to participate in a cervical cancer screening study. All participants were screened with conventional cytology and HPV testing undertaken using the HPV linear array genotyping kit (Roche Molecular Systems).

Results. Of 1 472 women with valid cytology results, abnormalities were detected in 17.3% (n=255), of which 9.1% (n=134) were high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions, and 0.5% (n=8) suggestive of squamous carcinoma. Of the 1 445 women with complete data, the overall and high-risk HPV DNA prevalences were 74.6% (n=1 078) and 54.3% (n=784), respectively. HPV type 16 and/or 18 were detected in 19.5% (n=282) of women. Age-specific prevalence of HPV showed a plateau-shaped curve.

Conclusions. The prevalences of HPV infection and abnormal cytology were much higher than previously reported in general populations in South Africa and elsewhere. Higher age-specific prevalence and similar plateau-like age-specific epidemiological curves have previously only been described in studies among HIV-positive women. These findings have implications for planning and development of cervical screening programmes in developing countries with largely unscreened populations with a high background prevalence of HIV.

Approximately 6 000 new cervical cancer cases are diagnosed annually in South Africa (SA). Accurate contemporary data on cervical cancer incidence have not been available since the 1999 Cancer Registry was published. It is assumed that many cases are still undiagnosed and that more than half of diagnosed patients will die per year. The World Health Organization estimates the age-standardised incidence rate for SA to be 26.6 per 100 000 women. The current prevalence of pre-invasive cervical disease in SA is largely unknown. Data from published SA studies suggest important regional differences and an increase in the prevalence of cytological abnormalities when compared with historical data.

Because of the low sensitivity of cytology, it can be assumed that the true prevalence of pre-invasive disease is underestimated by all available data. A single test of cervical cytology, even if optimal, will probably identify fewer than half of all existing pre-invasive cervical disease when measured against the greater yield obtained at colposcopy and directed biopsy.

The identification of high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) types (the cause of cervical cancer) offers the prospect of improving cervical screening programmes through the introduction of hrHPV-based screening tests. Studies from developed countries provide convincing evidence that hrHPV DNA-based screening algorithms are cost-effective and clinically sensitive for the detection of precancerous lesions and invasive cervical cancer compared with cytology-based screening in women older than 30. Recently, this finding has also been confirmed in India, a developing country with a low human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) prevalence.

Over the last 20 years, the widespread HIV epidemic has increased the overall burden of HPV infection in sub-Saharan Africa. Accurate current knowledge of the genital hrHPV prevalence in developing countries is essential for cost analysis and planning for regionally tailored national prevention and screening programmes. The purpose of this study was to describe the type- and age-specific prevalence of HPV infection and cytological abnormalities among women in Gauteng.

Method

Study population

Women attending public sector primary healthcare clinics for routine gynaecological and non-gynaecological primary healthcare-related reasons were invited to participate in a cervical cancer screening study. The study was conducted at 5 clinics in the Tshwane District of Gauteng province that were known to have no cervical cancer screening services in place. The sampling period started in July 2008 and terminated in June 2011. All women with a history of previous or current sexual activity who were able to provide written consent were included in the study. Ethics approval was obtained from the Faculty of Science at the University of Pretoria.
of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee, University of Pretoria Ethics Board (Protocol no. 210/2008).

**Specimen collection and testing**

All participants were screened with conventional cytology (Pap smear) as per national protocol. Cytopathology reports were based on the Bethesda system.\(^{10}\) Specimens for HPV genotyping were either healthcare worker-collected dry cervical swabs \((N=951)\) or patient-collected tampon specimens \((N=573)\). Tampons were placed in a phosphate buffered saline and 10% methanol solution directly after collection. DNA extraction was performed in batches on washed cell pellets of the tampon specimens or directly on the dry swab specimens using the DNA Isolation Kit (Roche Molecular Systems, Branchburg, NJ) on the MagNA Pure automated extraction system. HPV genotyping was done using the HPV linear array (LA) genotyping kit (Roche Molecular Systems, Branchburg, NJ). The pool of primers is designed to amplify HPV DNA from 15 high-risk genotypes \((16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 68, 73 \text{ and } 82)\), among which 3 types \((26, 53 \text{ and } 66)\) and 19 low/undetermined-risk types \((6, 11, 40, 42, 54, 55, 61, 62, 64, 67, 69, 70, 71, 72, 81, 83, 84, IS39 \text{ and } CP6108)\). The \(\beta\)-globin gene was amplified concurrently to assess cellular adequacy, extraction and amplification for each individually processed specimen. Strict procedures were followed to avoid contamination, with negative and positive controls included in each run.

**Data and statistical analysis**

HPV genotypes were classified based on the results of Muñoz et al.\(^{15}\). Data and statistical analysis were performed using Stata software, release 11.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA).

### Results

**Characteristics of the study population**

Of the 1 524 women who participated in the study, complete age data and cytology results were available for 1 445 and 1 472 women respectively. Data excluded from the analysis were the result of no or incomplete specimens received \((n=11)\), no age data available \((n=41)\), cytology not adequate for analysis \((n=11)\) and/or invalid HPV results \((n=20)\). Cytology \((33.0\%, n=477)\) and HPV genotyping \((4.6\%, n=66)\) indicated as possibly inadequate because of lack of endocervical cells or low \(\beta\)-globin gene amplification were included in the analysis. The median age of participating women was 39 years \((\text{range } 16 - 83)\).

**HPV DNA prevalence and age distribution**

HPV DNA was detected in 74.6% \((n=1 078)\) of women, with the highest prevalence of 85% in women younger than 25 years. Overall, hrHPV DNA was present in 54.3% \((n=784)\) of subjects. The prevalence also peaked in women younger than 25 years and then slightly decreased with age (Table 1 and Figs 1 and 2).

**Multiple infections**

Among women with hrHPV infection \((n=784)\), 52.7% had infection with more than one type. Infection with more than one hrHPV type was more common in women younger than 40 years and again showed a rise in women older than 55 years (Fig. 3).

### Cervical cytology

Abnormal cytology was found in 17.3% \((n=255)\) of samples. ASCUS was reported in 4.7% \((n=69)\), LSIL in 3.0% \((n=44)\) and HSIL in 9.1% \((n=134)\) of patients. Eight patients \(0.5\%\) had cytology suggestive of squamous carcinoma (Table 2, Fig. 2). Abnormal cytology was highest in the 30 - 34-year-old age group \(25.5\%\) with a decline after age 44, matching the gradient of the hrHPV infection curve. HSIL lesions, or worse, were detected in 9.6% of women overall. These lesions were detected from a young age, with 8.8% prevalence in women <25 years of age, similar to the 9.5% prevalence in the 25 - 29-year age group. The prevalence peaked in the age group 30 - 34 years \(16.2\%\), with the second-highest prevalence among women aged 40 - 44 \(13.6\%\).

### Table 1. HPV age-specific prevalence percentage (95% CI) per HPV type or 'pooled' types (see text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>(N)</th>
<th>HPV+</th>
<th>hrHPV+</th>
<th>HPV 16+</th>
<th>HPV 18+</th>
<th>HPV 16/18+</th>
<th>HPV 'top 8'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85.0 (75.5 - 91.4)</td>
<td>66.0 (57.6 - 74.4)</td>
<td>17.0 (10.6 - 23.5)</td>
<td>8.0 (3.4 - 12.6)</td>
<td>24.0 (16.6 - 31.4)</td>
<td>49.0 (40.3 - 57.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 29</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>79.6 (73.1 - 85.1)</td>
<td>62.9 (56.7 - 69.1)</td>
<td>15.1 (10.5 - 19.6)</td>
<td>9.7 (5.9 - 13.4)</td>
<td>22.0 (16.8 - 27.3)</td>
<td>52.2 (45.5 - 58.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 34</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>79.4 (73.6 - 84.4)</td>
<td>61.8 (56.5 - 67.1)</td>
<td>18.0 (13.7 - 22.4)</td>
<td>9.9 (6.5 - 13.2)</td>
<td>24.5 (19.6 - 29.3)</td>
<td>51.1 (45.5 - 56.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 39</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>72.6 (66.1 - 78.4)</td>
<td>59.5 (53.9 - 65.2)</td>
<td>14.0 (9.9 - 18.1)</td>
<td>8.4 (5.2 - 11.6)</td>
<td>21.4 (16.6 - 26.2)</td>
<td>50.7 (45.0 - 56.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 44</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>77.2 (70.8 - 82.7)</td>
<td>55.8 (49.9 - 61.7)</td>
<td>14.1 (9.9 - 18.2)</td>
<td>6.3 (3.4 - 9.2)</td>
<td>18.5 (13.9 - 23.0)</td>
<td>42.7 (36.8 - 48.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 49</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>67.8 (60.4 - 74.5)</td>
<td>44.4 (38.0 - 50.9)</td>
<td>10.6 (6.6 - 14.5)</td>
<td>6.7 (3.4 - 9.9)</td>
<td>15.6 (10.9 - 20.2)</td>
<td>34.4 (28.3 - 40.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 54</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>64.4 (56.0 - 72.1)</td>
<td>40.4 (33.4 - 47.5)</td>
<td>10.3 (6.0 - 14.6)</td>
<td>5.5 (2.3 - 8.6)</td>
<td>13.7 (8.9 - 18.5)</td>
<td>27.4 (21.0 - 33.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥55</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>72.1 (64.9 - 78.5)</td>
<td>41.9 (35.7 - 48.1)</td>
<td>8.4 (4.8 - 12.0)</td>
<td>8.4 (4.8 - 12.0)</td>
<td>15.6 (10.9 - 20.3)</td>
<td>36.3 (30.3 - 42.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1 445</td>
<td>74.6 (69.5 - 79.1)</td>
<td>54.3 (50.0 - 56.5)</td>
<td>13.5 (12.0 - 15.0)</td>
<td>8.0 (6.7 - 9.2)</td>
<td>19.5 (17.7 - 21.3)</td>
<td>43.5 (41.3 - 45.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

hrHPV = high-risk human papillomavirus.
Discussion

HPV DNA prevalence and age distribution

The prevalence of cervical HPV infection, overall and by age, varies by continent, country, region and population subgroup. Reported prevalence is also affected by sampling method and the sensitivity of the chosen assay. The highest prevalence is reported in studies conducted in populations in sub-Saharan Africa and in HIV-positive cohorts. A study among women from urban and rural Tanzania demonstrated an hrHPV prevalence of 20.1% using the hybrid capture 2 (HC2) test (Qiagen).\[16\] Another population-based study from rural Nigeria used a modified MY09-MY11 polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based method and found an hrHPV prevalence of 14.7%.\[17\]

A smaller study (\(N=153\)) from our region reported an overall HPV prevalence of 58% using the LA.\[18\] Snijders et al. recommend that to accurately study HPV epidemiology, impact of HPV infection and monitor the efficacy of HPV vaccination programmes, the test with the highest analytical sensitivity possible must be used.\[19\] This shift toward highly sensitive PCR assays has affected findings in recent epidemiological studies, showing that HPV infections are more widespread than had previously been recognised.\[20\] In the current study, the highly analytically sensitive HPV LA was used. The overall HPV and hrHPV prevalence of 74.6% and 54.3% represents the highest prevalence ever reported in a sample of the general population.

HPV prevalence is described as peaking in women <25 years old, with a rapid fall in their 30s and 40s.\[21\] Inconsistent trends in HPV prevalence

Table 2. Cytological abnormality age-specific prevalence percentage (95% CI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Cytology abnormal</th>
<th>ASCUS</th>
<th>LSIL</th>
<th>HSIL</th>
<th>Cervical carcinoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>16.7 (10.0 - 25.3)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.2 - 6.9)</td>
<td>5.9 (2.2 - 12.4)</td>
<td>8.8 (4.1 - 16.1)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0 - 0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 29</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>19.6 (14.2 - 26.0)</td>
<td>5.8 (2.9 - 10.2)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.8 - 8.2)</td>
<td>9.0 (5.3 - 14.0)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.0 - 2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 34</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>25.5 (20.1 - 31.6)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.7 - 8.8)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.1 - 7.7)</td>
<td>15.7 (11.3 - 21.0)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.0 - 2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 39</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>19.1 (14.1 - 24.9)</td>
<td>5.0 (2.5 - 8.8)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.0 - 5.2)</td>
<td>11.8 (7.9 - 16.8)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0 - 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 44</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>22.0 (16.6 - 28.1)</td>
<td>5.6 (2.9 - 9.6)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.0 - 6.0)</td>
<td>12.6 (8.5 - 17.8)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.1 - 3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 49</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>10.4 (6.4 - 15.8)</td>
<td>5.0 (2.3 - 9.2)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.3 - 4.7)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.3 - 4.7)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.6 - 5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 54</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>11.4 (6.8 - 17.6)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7 - 6.7)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7 - 6.7)</td>
<td>6.0 (2.8 - 11.2)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0 - 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥55</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>8.8 (5.1 - 14.0)</td>
<td>4.4 (1.9 - 8.5)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.1 - 3.9)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.2 - 7.1)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0 - 2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1 472</td>
<td>17.3 (12.6 - 23.4)</td>
<td>4.7 (3.9 - 5.7)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.0 - 4.4)</td>
<td>9.1 (5.6 - 14.5)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2 - 1.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASCUS = atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; LSIL = low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; HSIL = high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion.

Fig. 1. Cumulative age-specific prevalence of any HPV DNA divided into HPV 16 and/or 18 positive, hrHPV other than type 16 or 18 positive, and only lrHPV positive.

Fig. 2. Age-specific prevalence of hrHPV, *top 8* cancer-causing hrHPV, HPV type 16 and/or 18 positive, cytological abnormalities† and estimated background HIV prevalence.§

- HPV DNA positive for one or more of 15 oncogenic HPV types.
- The eight most common hrHPV types found in invasive cervical cancer (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 45, 52, 58).\[15\]
- Classification by severity: atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASCUS), low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL), high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL), cervical squamous carcinoma (SqCa).
- Most recent population estimates.\[27\]

Fig. 3. Age-specific prevalence of single v. multiple high-risk HPV types (hrHPV) as a percentage of women with hrHPV infections.
are noted in older women, with most studies reporting a decrease of HPV infection in older ages while others document an increase or second peak.\[22\,23\] Elevated HPV prevalence across all age groups, with flat or plateau-like age curves, has been observed in the lowest-income areas of Asia and Nigeria along with high or very high incidence of cervical cancer and mortality. This finding has also been documented in communities with no or inadequate screening programmes\[22,25\] and among HIV-positive women living on different continents.\[21\]

The high HPV prevalence and plateau-like age curve observed in this cohort could reflect several factors. These include sexual behavioural patterns, the largely unscreened and therefore untreated status of the female population owing to the inadequate implementation of cervical cancer screening programmes,\[12,16,29-31\] as well as the high background HIV prevalence (Fig. 2) and associated immune compromise in SA women. From 2009 to 2011, it was estimated that 19.1 - 19.4% of SA women aged 15 - 49 years were HIV positive.\[32\] The national HIV prevalence survey, published in 2008, found that HIV prevalence peaks in females aged 25 - 29 years at 32.7%, and ranges from 21.1% in 20 - 24-year-olds, to 29.1% in the 30 - 34 age group, 24.8% in the 35 - 39 group, 16.3% in the 40 - 44 group, and 14.1% in the 45 - 49 group.\[29\] Subsequent antenatal survey data from 2007 to 2010 showed that HIV prevalence was increasing among women aged 30 and older,\[22\] with a shift of the peak HIV prevalence to the age category 30 - 34 years.\[26\] Several studies have demonstrated a significantly higher prevalence of cervical cancer, pre-cancerous lesions and HPV infection in HIV-infected women than among HIV-uninfected women.\[22,20\] HIV-infected women also have a higher frequency of infection with multiple HPV types,\[22,28\] and persistence or reactivation of infections is more likely.\[22,21\] Increased and accelerated progression rates to cervical cancer also lead to a younger mean age at diagnosis of pre-invasive and invasive disease.\[19\]

It is crucial that HIV testing be promoted among women being screened for cervical cancer. Importantly, cervical screening should become an integral part of the chronic care of HIV-positive women. It is known that the risk of both HPV infection and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia increases with increasing degrees of immunosuppression. In SA, the general uptake of HIV testing is low, with late initiation of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) at an average CD4 count of 87 cells/µl. In 2010, only 56% of those eligible for HAART in SA were receiving it.\[18\]

Multiple infection rate

Co-infection with multiple HPV types can be seen as an independent indicator of risk.\[19\] The high prevalence of multiple HPV infections and very high count of types in single patients probably reflects the combination of using a highly sensitive PCR assay and several risk factors in this population. The latter include: a high frequency of unprotected intercourse with multiple sexual partners in a population with a high background prevalence of HPV infection; a relative state of immune compromise in a large subset of the population owing to advanced and sub-optimally treated HIV infection or nutritional status; and genetic differences in host susceptibility.\[19\]

Cytology

Previous local data from 2006 showed an abnormal cytology rate of 7.3% in the Western Cape and 10% in Gauteng.\[21\] The higher abnormality rate of 17.3% detected in this study population is expected, when considering the high HPV infection rate. Traditionally, high-grade lesions are typically diagnosed in women 25 - 35 years old, while invasive cancer is more commonly diagnosed after age 40 years, typically 8 - 13 years after diagnosis of a high-grade lesion. Of particular concern is that HSILs were seen in more than 8% of women <25 years old.

Limitations

Full genotyping assays are not advocated as screening assays because of their very high analytical sensitivity and low clinical specificity, their high cost, and labour-intensive laboratory methodology. Studies among women with cytological abnormalities have shown HC2 to have lower HPV positivity compared with PCR assays such as the LA.\[20,22\] The observed high HPV prevalence can not, however, be attributed to the sensitivity of the assay alone, as reflected by the concurrent high prevalence of cytological abnormalities and the pattern of multiple and single HPV infections that appears to mirror the background HIV prevalence per age groups (Figs 2 and 3). In view of the well-known large regional and population differences in HPV epidemiology, the results of this study cannot be generalised to the whole region or to the country.

Implications for primary prevention

The high sensitivity of the LA assay is considered of significant value as an epidemiological tool for prevalence studies of HPV infections as well as for pre- and post-prophylactic vaccine intervention.\[22\] These data predict that prophylactic vaccination of females prior to their sexual debut in this and similar populations could potentially prevent a large proportion of cervical HPV infections attributed to HPV 16 and 18. Catch-up vaccination will be less beneficial and cost-effective, as at least 20% of women <25 years old are already exposed to HPV 16 and/or 18 (Fig. 2). HAART can effectively increase cell-mediated immunity among sexually active people, which will reduce the burden of HPV infection in the population. Patterns of sexual behaviour that influence the epidemiology of HPV infection are more difficult to change.

Implications for secondary prevention

If women in developing regions are screened, it is usually only once or twice in a lifetime. The South African National Department of Health has a target of 70% cervical cancer screening coverage with cytology repeated at 10-year intervals. In 2006, Denny reported that less than 10% of the targeted female population were actually screened. In some provinces, the coverage was as low as 2%.\[21\] It is of utmost importance to maximise sensitivity when a long screening interval is used and coverage is poor.\[20\] These data predict that an effective screening programme with a highly sensitive hrHPV DNA assay, in this and similar populations, will place an enormous burden on the healthcare system owing to the increase in the number of women requiring triage, follow-up and, ultimately, treatment. These results show that more than half of women will need further healthcare intervention if an hrHPV test-and-treat policy were to be introduced in women >30 years old. Highly sensitive non-discriminatory HPV DNA tests are therefore unsuitable for primary screening without further triage in low-resource settings. The ideal screening test should balance sensitivity with high clinical specificity or positive predictive value for disease.

To achieve 70% coverage of the population in SA, over 5 million women >30 years old would need to be screened every 10 years.\[21\] HPV genotyping or pooled partial genotyping of the ‘highest risk’ or ‘higher risk’ cervical cancer-causing HPV types will increase specificity while maintaining the sensitivity of the initial round of screening. The ‘highest risk’ viruses can be defined as HPV 16 and/or 18, while the most prevalent four, six or eight HPV types found in cervical cancer can be chosen as the ‘higher risk’ types for screening purposes. Depending on the choice, the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity can be made according to cost-effectiveness. With 100% coverage, screening for the top four types should prevent an estimated 80% of cancerous lesions, while screening for the ‘highest risk’ types only can be projected to prevent <70% in this context.\[20\]
Data from this study can assist in predicting the possible outcomes of different screening test choices in this context. The age-specific prevalence curve shows that approximately 1 in every 4 women aged 25 - 35, or 1 in every 5 women aged 35 - 45, will need treatment for positivity for HPV 16 or 18 is used as a stratification and treatment criterion. These percentages are comparable to the prevalence of cytological abnormalities in the same age groups. Screening for the presence of the ‘top 8’ HPV types will identify approximately 50% of women between 25 and 40 years and approximately 40% of women aged >40 who are at higher risk (Figs 1 and 2).

Conclusion
This unscreened female population, having a high background prevalence of sub-optimally treated HPV, is typical of many populations in the developing world. HPV infection, co-infection with several other HPV types and abnormalities on cytology were more prevalent than previously appreciated. The plateau-like curve seen among age groups <45 years is unique. All these phenomena probably reflect a combination of factors. Follow-up data are needed to clarify to what extent the high HPV prevalence in middle- and older-aged women is related to the persistence or reactivation of old infections, acquisition of new infections, or cohort effects.

The increased HPV prevalence determined in this sample of the SA population underscores the urgent need for improved cervical cancer screening and prevention programmes to prevent a marked increase in the incidence of cervical cancer in the next decade. These data provide an indication of the 40 - 50% of women who would require follow-up if primary HPV screening were introduced. It also indicates the magnitude of possible benefit were prophylactic HPV vaccination to be introduced. Future evaluations of the performance of new clinically sensitive and specific HPV screening tests are required to design practical and realistic screening programmes for SA.
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