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Introduction

Locomotor training for patients with spinal cord injuries has been investigated in the USA and Europe. It is a relatively new form of rehabilitation in South Africa and to our knowledge no studies have been reported on its use in this country.

This is a case study of a 24-year-old male diagnosed on 8 September 2006 with a C6 motor complete but sensory incomplete spinal cord injury (SCI). On 11 September 2006 a C7 vertebrectomy, bone graft, anterior fusion and internal fixation was performed. From 18 September to 19 December he received inpatient therapy for mobility and self-care skills. On discharge he remained sensory incomplete but some motor function had returned in the right ankle. On 22 February 2007 locomotor training began. The patient was hoisted in an alpine climbing harness (Black Diamond, USA) attached to an overhead tripod frame placed above a treadmill. Assisted walking began with two therapists manually moving the legs at 0.4 km.h⁻¹. In order for the stepping to be consistent a metronome was used. Initially the patient could complete a total of 3 minutes 20 seconds of walking in two bouts of 1 minute and 2 minutes 20 seconds respectively, at a speed of 0.4 km.h⁻¹. The patient could not stand up with the aid of a walking frame. Strength in the quadriceps and hamstring muscles was measured bilaterally on the Biodex isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex Medial Systems, New York) in passive mode. Peak torque was measured at a speed of 5.4° before and after 1 year of therapy (Table I). Locomotor training was performed 5 days per week as part of an exercise routine. The exercise routine also consisted of upper body cycle ergometry, mat exercises, core stability strengthening and upper body strength training. The entire therapy regimen and progression can be seen in Table II.

Discussion

Locomotor training as part of a rehabilitation programme for patients with spinal cord injury has been to adapt the environment to the patient. This is known as the ‘compensatory model’ and presupposes that the spinal cord is not malleable and capable of recovery. In contrast, the ‘recovery model’ is based on the premise that the spinal cord is malleable and capable of recovery. In the USA complete spinal transection (T12 - T13) was performed in adult cats. This caused complete loss of locomotor function in the hindlegs. The injured cats underwent training which consisted of walking on a treadmill for 30 minutes per day, 5 days per week for 5 - 7 months with therapists manually assisting their hindlegs. Five out of the 8 trained cats improved sufficiently to locomote (bearing their full body weight) for 10 - 20 minutes. A study using rats has also demonstrated the ability of the spinal cord to respond to stimuli without contact with the cerebellum. These studies demonstrate that the spinal cord has a significant level of plasticity in mammals.

Behrman et al. (2006) reviewed the studies on locomotor training and the injured human spine. Most have been case studies or studies with no control group and therefore their results have limited interpretability. There has only been one randomised clinical trial in this population group. This was a multicentre trial and found no difference in FIM-L scores (a walking ability score) and walking speeds between a locomotor training group and an overground mobility control group. FIM-L score and walking speed were the primary outcome measures but walking distance was not reported.

It is important to note that locomotor training cannot be done in an ad hoc manner. Afferent input to the spinal cord during locomotor training is important in order for training to be effective. Afferent input includes hip extension position during the transition from stance to swing phase (the hip should be in extension), heel strike and load on the lower limb. Maximal load should be taken on the lower extremities with minimal load on the upper extremities. Increased upper extremity weight bearing resulted in decreased EMG activity in the lower limbs.
A further challenge to this kind of therapy is the physical strain therapists are subjected to. Two therapists sit on the side of the treadmill and manually lift the patient's limbs. The limbs are lifted at the end of stance phase and then propelled forward and placed down in heel strike. This action tends to place strain on the lower back. There have been some recent developments in robotic assistive devices which take the place of the therapists, but they are very costly.

In conclusion, locomotor therapy formed part of a regimen that resulted in the patient being able to walk with modified crutches. This level of movement transferred into the patient being able to perform more activities of daily living. Future challenges to improved patient care are randomised multi-centre controlled trials designed to test the efficacy of duration, frequency and intensity of this type of training on the multiple classifications of spinal cord injuries. Furthermore, from a technology perspective, robotic assistive devices that can aid therapy for patients with spinal cord injuries should be developed.
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