Quinolones Resistance And R-Plasmids Of Clinical Isolates Of *Pseudomonas* Species

Daini O A¹, Effiong MJ², Ogbolu OD³.

ABSTRACT

Background: There has been reported incidence in the emergence of Quinolones resistance in clinical isolates in Nigeria and the level in resistance has been on the increase.

Objective: To determine the antimicrobial resistance patterns and plasmids profiles of 67 clinical *Pseudomonas* species from a teaching hospital and diagnostic laboratory in Nigeria.



Materials and methods: The *Pseudomonas* species were identified and confirmed by standard procedures. The antibiotic susceptibility patterns were determined by Agar Disk Diffusion method. Plasmids DNA was isolated, separated and stained as previously described.

Results: 30 of these clinical isolates were found to be resistant to 6 quinolones antibiotics with ciprofloxacin being the highest [52.24%] and norfloxacin [38.81%] the lowest. All the strains that were resistant to any antimicrobial agents were also resistant to ciprofloxacin. A total of 54 plasmids ranging in molecular sizes from 3.55kb to 19.95kb were extracted from the resistant strains and grouped into 5 plasmid profiles. Transformation experiment revealed that 66.67% of the resistant strains carried a common R plasmid of size 15.85kb. Plasmid –mediated resistant to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin were found.

Conclusion: The results highlighted diverse plasmids profile and wide spread antimicrobial resistance patterns of some clinical *Pseudomonas* species from Nigeria.

Key words: Quinolones, R-plasmids, Pseudomonas.

seudomonadaceae as a family are highly resistant to antibiotics¹⁻³. The level of resistance has been on the increase and treatment using the older drugs are gradually failing⁴. Fluoroquinolones are the newest effective antimicrobial agents just being introduced into Nigeria by some Pharmaceutical companies under different trade names. These quinolones are used for various diseases ranging from urinary tract infections, enteric infections, septic wound, septicaemia etc.

Quinolone resistance is increasing in clinical isolates and has reached a minimum inhibitory concentration(MIC) in *Esecherichia coli* at 265mg\ ml for ciprofloxacin^{5,6}.

Multiple antibiotic resistance in bacteria is most commonly associated with the presence of plasmids which contain one or more resistance genes, each encoding a single antibiotic resistance phenotype⁷⁻⁹. Reported incidence of emergence of resistance to the quinolones by Oni et al¹⁰ and Ozumba¹¹has prompted this present this paper describes study. Thus. the antimicrobial susceptibility testing and Plasmid screening of *Pseudomonas* species isolated from clinical specimens in Lagos Teaching Hospital, Lagos University and Pathfinder Diagnostic Laboratory, Festac, Lagos respectively.

¹Departments of Biochemistry and ²Medical Microbiology/Parasitology, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Remo Campus,P. M. B. 2005, Ikenne,Ogun State, Nigeria. ³Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, College of Health Sciences, Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria.

Correspondence: Dr. O. A. Daini <u>ibioadaini@yahoo.com</u>. Phone +2348076617539

METHODOLOGY

Bacteriology

Sixty-seven strains of Pseudomonas procedures¹² species isolated by Standard from 710 clinical specimens sent to the diagnostic laboratory Medical of Microbiology and Parasitology laboratory of Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos and Pathfinder Diagnostic Laboratory, January to Lagos, respectively from December 2006.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Antimicrobial disc susceptibility tests were out on the isolates using Stokes carried technique¹³ on freshly disc diffusion prepared Muller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, England) and Standardized by the method Committee for of National Clinical Laboratory Standard¹⁴, using the following discs; Pefloxacin [Pef] 5µg, antibiotic Ciprofloxacin [Cip] 5µg, Ofloxacin [Ofx] 5µg, Levofloxacin [Luv] 5µg, Norfloxacin [Nfn] 5µg, and Sparfloxacin [Spn] 5µg. Pseudomonas (NCTC 10662) obtained from Central Public Health Laboratory, London, was used as control. Plates with antibiotic discs were incubated for 24hours at 37 c and sensitivity pattern was compared with that of the control organism.

Isolation And Separation of Plasmid DNA

Plasmid DNA was isolated, separated and stained as previously described¹⁵. Plasmid profile groups were constructed by grouping strains possessing the same profile (containing the same number and molecular mass), or part of a profile constituting one plasmid group.

Genetic Transfer

Transformation was done as described by Hanahan¹⁶ using *Escherichia coli* K-12, HB101 (ara-14, galk2, hsd520, lacyl, leu, mt101, proA2, recA13, rps120, supE44, thi xyl-5) as recipient and plasmid pBR322 as the positive control. Co-transformation of

resistant character was determined by testing all transformants against all antibiotics to which the donor strains was resistant,

Extracts from transformants were obtained as described above and subjected to Agarose electrophoresis. Transformation was confirmed as positive only when

Resistant transformants were shown to contain a plasmid (s) of a size similar to that found in the original isolate.

Plasmid Curing:

The curing of the resistant plasmids of the clinical *Pseudomonas* isolates was done as described by Vivyan et al¹⁷.

RESULTS

The sources of the clinical *Pseudomonas* isolates are shown in Table 1.

The isolates were from various body sites. Of the 67 clinical *Pseudomonas* strains isolated, 30 were resistant to most of the antimicrobial agents tested.

The frequency of susceptibility to Norfloxacin was the highest (61.19%), while the sensitivity to other fluoroquinolones was shown in Table 2. A total of 54 different plasmids with molecular mass ranging from 3.55kb to 19.95kb were observed in the antibiotic resistant strains. Plasmids were not detected in 10 of the resistant strains indicating that their resistance was probably chromosomally borne. Five different plasmid profile groups for the antibiotic resistant strains could be defined. The number of strain per plasmid profile group vary from 4-6 (Table3).

The most common antimicrobial resistance pattern was Luv, Pef, Nfn, Spn, Cip, Ofx. This was followed in decreasing order of occurrence by the R-types resistant patterns: Luv, Pef, Cip, Spn, Ofx; Luv; Pef; Luv,Pef; Pef, Nfn; Luv, Cip, Nfn; and Luv, Nfn, Spn, Cip, Ofx, harboured the highest number of plasmids, while no plasmid was found in the strains with these resistance patterns viz Luv, Nfn, Spn, Cip, Ofx and Luv, Cip, Nfn.

| Clinical Specimen | Luth Pathfinder Laboratory | | finder oratory | Total | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----|-------------------|-------|--------|----|
| | Ν | n | Ν | n | Ν | Ν |
| Wound swab | 197 | 22 | 32 | 7 | 229 | 29 |
| Urine | 82 | 5 | 69 | 2 | 151 | 7 |
| Sputum | 42 | 5 | 29 | 3 | 71 | 8 |
| Ear swab | 25 | 3 | 17 | 4 | 42 | 7 |
| Throat swab | 10 | - | 16 | 1 | 26 | 1 |
| Catheter Aspirate | 6 7 | 1 | - | - | 6 7 | 1 |
| Semen | 39 | 1 | 17 | _ | 56 | 1 |
| Urethral Discharge | 14 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 28 | 2 |
| Eye swab | 25 | 2 | 3 | - | 28 | 2 |
| High vaginal swab | 40 | 5 | 26 | 3 | 66 | 8 |
| TOTAL | 487 | 46 | 223 | 21 | 710 | 67 |

Table 1: Sources of the Bacterial isolates.

KEY: N = Total Number of Clinical specimens, n = Total Number of *Pseudomonas* species, LUTH = Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos

| Table 2: Antibiotic sensitivity | attern of clinical iso | olates of Pseudomonas |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|

| Antibiotics | Number sensitive | % sensitive | % resistant |
|---------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Levofloxacin | 33 | 49.25 | 50.75 |
| Pefloxacin | 38 | 56.72 | 43.28 |
| Ciprofloxacin | 32 | 47.76 | 52.24 |
| Norfloxacin | 41 | 61.19 | 38.81 |
| Ofloxacin | 37 | 55.22 | 44.78 |
| Sparfloxacin | 39 | 58.21 | 41.79 |

| Plasmid Profile | No Of Strains | Molecular Mass(Kb) Of Plasmids |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0 | 10 | NO Plasmids |
| 1 | 6 | 3.55, 3.98, 15.85 |
| 2 | 4 | 3.55, 3.98, 4.47, 15.85 |
| 3 | 6 | 3.55, 3.98, 4.47, 15.85, 17.78, |
| | | 19.95 |
| 4 | 4 | 3.55, 3.98, 4.47, |
| | | 5.01,15.85,19.95 |

TABLE 3: Plasmid Profile Groups of Antibiotic Resistant Clinical Pseudomonas Strains

Transformation experiment showed that 66.67% of the resistant strains that harboured plasmids were able to transfer their resistance plasmids to *E.coli* K-12 HB101. Plasmid- determined resistance to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin was found. It is noteworthy that all the R-plasmids isolated in this study have a common molecular size of 15.85kb (Table 5). All

the strains harbouring R-plasmids were cured of their plasmids upon treatment with sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) with resultant loss of their plasmid-associated properties. This indicates that the antibiotic resistant genes of the *Pseudomonas* strains used in this study were plasmid borne.

Table 4: Antimicrobial Resistance Patterns of 30 Clinical *Pseudomonas* Isolates In Relation To Plasmid Contents

| Antimicrobial Resistance | No Of Isolates | % | No With Plasmids |
|--------------------------|----------------|------|------------------|
| Patterns | | | |
| Luv, Pef,Nfn,Spn,Cip,Ofx | 15 | 50 | 12 |
| Luv,Nfn,Spn,Cip, Ofx | 1 | 3.3 | NIL |
| Luv,Pef, Cip,Spn,Ofx | 4 | 13.3 | 1 |
| Luv,Cip,Nfn | 1 | 3.3 | NIL |
| Pef, Nfn | 2 | 6.7 | 1 |
| Luv, Pef | 2 | 6.7 | 1 |
| Pef | 2 | 6.7 | 2 |
| Luv | 3 | 10 | 3 |

KEY: Luv= Levofloxacin, Pef = Pefloxacin, Nfn = Norfloxacin, Spn = Sparfloxacin, Cip =Ciprofloxacin Ofx = Ofloxacin

| Pseudomonas Isolate (Code) | Plasmids Molecular Ssize(Kb) | Antibioticgene Transferred To E.Coli Hb101 | Transformant R- Plasmid Size (Kb) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 35 | 3.55,3.98,15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 24 | 3.55,3.98,15.85,19.95 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 38 | 3.55, 3.98, 4.47 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 32 | 3.55, 3.98, 15.85 | Cip,Luv | 15.85 |
| 45 | 3.98, 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 41 | 3.98, 4.47, 15.85 19 95 | Cip,Luv | 15.85 |
| 42 | 3.55, 3.98, 15.85 | Cip ,Luv | 15.85 |
| 17 | 3.98, 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 28 | 3.55, 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 33 | 3.98, 14.47, 5.01, 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 30 | 3.98, 4.47,15.85 | Cip,Luv | 15.85 |
| 14 | 3.55, 3.98, 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 15 | 15.85 | Luv | 15.85 |
| 6 | 15.85 | Luv | 15.85 |
| 12 | 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 39 | 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 43 | 3.55, 3.98, 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 20 | 3.98, 4.47 ,15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 5 | 3.98, 4.47, 15.85 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| 31 | 3.98, 15.85,17.78, 19.95 | Cip, Luv | 15.85 |
| | | | |

Table 5: Characteristics of Some of the Clinical Pseudomonas R- Plasmids

KEY: Cip = Ciprofloxacin, Luv = Levofloxacin

Discussion

In this study, most of the *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa were isolated from surgical wound infections (12.66%), sputum(11.27%), and urinary specimen (4.64%). This is consistent with earlier findings¹⁸ for the three sites, and Daini et al.¹ as regards wound infection. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a well – known community acquired wound infections even in immuno

competent patients and are multi- resistant to commonly used antimicrobial agents^{19,20}. The comparative disc sensitivities shown in Table2 is similar to that obtained by the author and others^{1,11}. The increasing resistance of *Pseudomonas* strains to fluoroquinolones [table2] is in agreement with previous studies^{8,10,21}.

The antimicrobial resistance pattern revealed a total of eight patterns. This indicates the emergence of resistance to the quinolones in our environment. Also these patterns depict the occurrence of multi-resistant strains. This is similar to that obtained by Odugbemi et al^{8,22,23}. All the strains that were resistant to any antimicrobial agents were also resistant to ciprofloxacin. Resistance to high levels of antibiotics has been ascribed in most instances to the presence of plasmids^{12,24,25}.

The most common plasmids encountered were 15.85kb in size. This is slightly higher than that reported in the litarture^{1,26}.66.67% of the drug resistant strains carried Rplasmids. Plasmid determined resistance to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin was found. The emergence of R-plasmids in this study could be due to over zealous desire to treat every infection, diagnosed or undiagnosed and to the over the counter availability of antibiotics^{4,27,28}. A different plasmid profile could be seen for each of the 20 R-plasmids ,and plasmids of the same molecular could be found in different strains. Thus the plasmid profile of these strains was diverse in nature. Plasmid profiling analysis distinguished more strains than the antimicrobial susceptibility patterns in agreement with the findings elsewhere^{8,29}. Plasmid profiling has been shown to be a good epidemiological tool in investigating epidemics or out breaks of bacterial diseases^{25,30,31}. The transformation experiment enabled us to detect non-self transmissible plasmids while curing of the resistant strains of the R-plasmids with SDS showed that their antimicrobial resistant genes were plasmid borne^{6,31 8,9}. Conclusion:

The results of our study highlighted diverse plasmid profiles and widespread

antimicrobial resistance patterns of some clinical *Pseudomonas* species from Nigeria and we hope that this information from this locality would be a useful base line for further epidemiological studies.

Acknowledgement: We wish to thank the Consultants and staff of Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos as well as the staff of Pathfinder Diagnostic Laboratory, Lagos, for their assistance.

References

1. Daini O A, Ogbolu D O and Ogunledun A. Quinolones Resistance and R-plasmids of some Gram- negative enteric Bacilli . Afr J Clin Exp Micro 2005; 6: 14- 20.

2. Erging C and Muti U. Clinical distribution and antibiotic resistance of *Pseudomonas* species. East J Med 1999; 4:65-69.

3. Odugbemi T, Kesah C N, Ogunsola F T. Antimicrobial resistance in Nigeria, an overview. Nig Qt J Hosp Med 1997; 7: 57 – 61.

4. Ibeachi R and Mbata JT. Rational and irrational use of antibiotics. African Health 2002; 24: 16-17.

5. Goldstein F W and Acar J F. Epidemiology of Quinolones resistance; Europe, North and South America. Drugs 1995; 2: 36-42.

6. Threfall E J, Cheasty T,Graham A, et al. High level resistance to ciprofloxacin in *Escherichia coli*. Lancet 1997; 349:403.

7. Daini O A,Ogunledun A, Lawal K T, et al. Plasmidborne streptomycin resistance of *Escherichia coli* in Sagamu, Nigeria. Afr J Med Pharm Sci 1998; 1: 18-23.

8. Daini O A ,Ogbolu D O and Ogunledun A. Plasmid determined ressistance to Quinolones in clinical isolates of Gram- negative enteric Bacilli. Afr J MD Med Sci 2006; 35: 437 – 441.

9. Yah S C,Eghafona N Oand Forbi J C. Plasmid-borne antibiotics resistant markers of *Serratia marcescens:* an increased prevalence in HIV\ AIDS patients. Sci Res Essays 2008; 3:028-034

10. Oni A A,Bakare R A,Arowojolu O A, et al. Comparative in vitro activities of commonly available quinolones and other antibiotics in bacterial isolates in Ibadan, Nigeria. Afr J Med Sci 2001; 30: 35 - 37.

11. Ozumba UC. Antibiotic sensitivity of isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Enugu, Nigeria. Afr J Clin Exper Microbiol 2003; 4: 48 -51.

12. Jose MRM, Laurent P And Pratrice N. Plasmidmediated Quinolones Resistance in Australia. J Clin Infect Microbiol 2006; 12:99 -102.

13. Stokes F J,Regway GL (1987) Clinical Microbiology 6th Edition, Edward Arnold, London.

14. NCCLS. 2000,Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests; approved standard MZ-A7,7 ed. National Committee for clinical laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa. 15. Olukoya D K ,Daini O, Alabi S A,et al.Antimicrobial resistance patterns and plasmids of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, isolated in Nigeria. Eur J Epidemiol 1988; 4: 304-309.

16. Hanahan D. Studies in Transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. J Mol Biol 1983; 166: 557-580.

17. Vivyan E, HedgesRW,Datta N. Two modes of curing transimissible bacterial plasmids J. Gen. Microbiol, 1972, 70: 413-452.

18. Oduyebo O, Ogunsola F T, Kesah C N, et al. Characterisation of non-fermenting Gram- Negative Bacilli at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), A preliminary report. Nig Qt J Hosp Med1996; 6: 1- 12.

19. Brooks G F, Butel J S, Morse S A, Jawetz 2004, Melnick& Adelberge\s Medical Microbiology 23rd Edition , pp110-111.

20. Prescot L M, Harley J P, Klein DA. Microbiology 6th edition 2005, pp.288-289.

21. Nema S, Premchandani P, Asolker M V et al. Emerging bacterial drug resistance in hospital practice. Indian J Med Sci 1997; 51: 275 -280.

22. Zafur A. Prevalent nosocomial gram- negative aerobic bacilli and their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns in intensive care unit. J Path Med Ass 1999; 49:169-172.

23. Wallace W C, Cinat M E, Nastanki F, et al. New epidemiology for post operative nosocomial infection. Am Surg 2000; 66: 874-878

24. Foster T J. Plasmid – determine resistance to antimicrobial drugs and toxic metal ions in bacteria. Microbiol Rev 1983; 47; 361-409.

25. Yah S C, Eghafona N O, Oranusi S, et al. Widespread plasmids Resistance transfers genes among *Proteus* species in diabetic wounds of patients in the Ahmadu Bello Teaching Hospital (ABUTH) Zaria. Afr J Biotechnol 2007; 6:1757-1762.

26. Sachie Y ,Toyoji O, Ayako T, et al. Presence of *Pseudomonas* putida strains harbouring plasmids bearing the metallo-B-lactamase gene blaIMP in a hospital in Japan. J Clin Microb 2003; 41:4246 -4251.

27. Hart C. A. and Karinki S. Antimicrobial resistance in developing countries. BMJ 1998; 317: 647- 650.

28. Okeke I N ,Adebayo L, Edelman R. Socioeconomic and behavioural factors leading to acquire bacterial resistance to antibiotics in developing countries. Center for Disease C ontrol and Prevention, Atlanta GA. USA.1999.

29. Daini OA, Olukoya D K, Ogunjimi A. A Genetic analysis of tetracycline resistant plasmids in enteropathogenic *E. coli* isolated from patients in Nigeria. J Diarrhoeal Dis Res 1995; 13: 39-43.

30. Parisi J T,Hecty D W. Plasmid profiles in epidemiologic studies of infections by *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. J Infect Dis1980; 141: 637-643.

31. Mayer L W. Use of plasmid profiles in epidemiologic surveillance of disease outbreaks and in tracing the transmission of antibiotic resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev 1988; 1 :228 – 243.