RESEARCH ARTICLE Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences

(P-ISSN 1595-093X: E-ISSN 2315-6201)

http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/sokjvs.v16i1.3

Agada et al./Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences, 16(1): 16 - 23.

Occurrence of brucellosis in small ruminants slaughtered in Lafia central abattoir, Nasarawa State, Nigeria

CA Agada¹*, AJ Ogugua² & EJ Anzaku³

- Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of 1. Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria
- Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Nigeria, 2. Nsukka. Nigeria
- 3. Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria

*Correspondence: Tel.: +2348036506966; E-mail: caysla@gmail.com

2018 Abstract

Copyright: Agada et al. This is an open-access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in anv medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

C

importance worldwide. Although present in Nigeria, there is a paucity of information regarding the occurrence of the disease in small ruminants in Nasarawa State. A crosssectional study was therefore carried out to determine the seroprevalence of the disease using Rose Bengal test (RBT) and competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (cELISA) among small ruminants slaughtered in the Lafia central abattoir. Of the total of 324 small ruminants (sheep and goats) sera collected and tested, 68 (21.0%) and 19 (5.9%) were positive by the RBT and cELISA tests, respectively. The prevalence of 23.8% (61/256) for RBT and 7.4% (19/256) for cELISA as well as 4.4% (3/68) for RBT and 0% (0/68) for cELISA were recorded in goat and sheep sera, respectively. Brucellosis is prevalent in small ruminants with that in goats being more than that of sheep slaughtered at the abattoir in Lafia, Nasarawa State. This is of public health importance to individuals that have regular contact with small ruminants in Nasarawa State. A coordinated surveillance of the disease among the livestock population in the state should be conducted.

Brucellosis caused by Brucella species is a disease of economic and public health

Received: 13-02- 2017 Accepted: 18-08-2017

Keywords: Brucellosis, Goats, Lafia, Nasarawa State, Sheep, Small ruminants

Introduction

Publication History:

Brucellosis is a disease of animals caused by bacteria of the genus Brucella. It is a zoonotic disease which occurs in different species of animals including cattle, sheep, goats, dogs, pigs and many wild species. The disease is ubiquitous although well controlled in most developed countries. It is endemic in developing countries due to lack of wellstructured control programmes and inadequate resources (Ayoola, 2014; Kaltungo et al., 2015). The disease is noted for its economic effects in the form of reduced milk production, abortion, infertility, sterility, reduced parity and in humans results in loss

of man hours (Swai & Schoonman, 2010; Adamu et al., 2012; Mai et al., 2012). It therefore impacts negatively on the economy and public health of endemic countries. The primary causative species are Brucella abortus, B. melitensis, B. suis, B. neotomae, B. canis and B. ovis which are responsible for the disease in cattle, goat, pig, desert wood rat, dog and ram, respectively (Whatmore, 2009). While the first four species are known as the smooth Brucella species, the latter two are the rough species due to the presence and absence of O-side chains, respectively (Baldi et al., 2000). Brucella species have

also been reported in marine animals: *B. pinnipidialis* in the pinnipeds and *B. ceti* in cetaceans (Foster *et al.*, 2007; Dawson *et al.*, 2008). Of the *Brucella* species, *B. melitensis* is the most readily transmissible and pathogenic to humans (Foggin *et al.*, 2000; Marianelli *et al.*, 2007).

The disease is transmitted in animals through sexual transmission, ingestion of infected material, maternal transfer in-vivo or in-vitro and by artificial insemination (Corbel, 2006; Lopes et al., 2010). The presence of the disease in the animal population translates to its occurrence in in-contact humans. It is transmitted to man through contact of abraded skin with infected materials and inhalation. It is, therefore, an occupational disease to livestock workers, laboratory personnel and abattoir workers (Aworh et al., 2013). The disease is also commonly transmitted through the consumption of non pasteurised milk and milk products originating from infected livestock (Sofian et al., 2008). In rare occasions, human-to-human transmission through venereal, childbirth, tissue transplant and blood transfusion have been recorded especially with B. melitensis (Vigeant et al., 1995; Falade, 2002; Poulou et al., 2006). In most endemic areas the risk of zoonotic transmission is high due to inadequate measures to protect persons at risk (Bukharie, 2009). Brucellosis is endemic in Nigeria as shown in several serological studies in livestock (Junaidu et al., 2010; Mai et al., 2012; Nanven et al., 2013; Akinseye et al., 2016). The prevalence of 4.9% was recorded among slaughtered cattle in southwestern Nigeria (Ogugua et al., 2015a); 5.5% in donkeys in Borno and Yobe States (Sadig et al., 2013); 14.1% in cattle screened among herds in Obudu, South-south Nigeria (Nanven et al., 2013); 0.6% in pigs in southeastern Nigeria (Onunkwo et al., 2011) and 9.8% in North-central Nigeria in small ruminants (Bertu et al., 2010).

In Nigeria, rearing of small ruminants is common among the rural populace. However, because these animals are allowed in most cases to roam the streets there is close contact between humans and these animals. This close contact increases the risk of human infection with *Brucella* organisms. Although, there are many studies on brucellosis in Nigeria most of the studies are focused on cattle. Moreover, there is dearth of information regarding the prevalence of the disease in Nasarawa State. To determine the occurrence of the disease in the State, this study was carried out among small ruminants slaughtered at Lafia abattoir using RBT and cELISA.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted at the Lafia central abattoir, Nasarawa State, North-central Nigeria. The State is one of the few that harbour a large population of goats and sheep in Nigeria.

Animal sampling, sample collection and handling

Small ruminants from the Lafia central abattoir were sampled using systematic random sampling. The number of animals slaughtered daily in the abattoir ranged between 100 and 200. Relevant information such as the breed, sex and age of each animal sampled were recorded. Blood samples from the slaughtered animals were collected and allowed to clot and transported to the laboratory of the Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, University of Agriculture, Makurdi where they were centrifuged at 3000rpm for 5 minutes to collect sera. The serum samples were decanted into serum bottles and stored at -20°c until required for assay. The serum samples were subjected to RBT and cELISA as described by Alton et al. (1988) and Perrett et al. (2010), respectively.

Rose bengal test (RBT)

RBT was carried out as describe by Alton *et al.* (1988) using antigen sourced from the Animal and Plant Health Agency, Weybridge UK (APHA). Briefly, equal volumes of 30μ l of *Brucella abortus* antigen and serum samples were mixed thoroughly with an applicator stick on an enamel plate for four minutes. Appearance of agglutination was recorded as positive while its absence was reported as negative.

Competitive enzyme-linked imnunosorbent assay (cELISA)

The cELISA was carried out as described by Perrett *et al.* (2010) using the cELISA test kit sourced from APHA. The reagents in the kit were reconstituted and test carried out according to the instruction of the manufacturers. The optical density (OD) was

| Table 1: Summary of results of RBT and cELISA tests in goats and sheep | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------------------|----------------|-----|-----------|---------|--|--|
| Test | Positive (%) | Negative (%) | x ² | OR | 95%CI | P-value | | |
| RBT | 64 (19.8) | 4 (19.8) 260 (80.2) | | 3.9 | | | | |
| | | | 26.13 | | 2.25-6.74 | 0.000 | | |
| cELISA | 19 (5.9%) | 305 (94.1) | | 1 | | | | |

measured at 450nm using microplate ELISA reader. A positive/negative cut off was calculated at 60% of the mean of the conjugate control wells. The samples that recorded OD less than the 60% cut off were positive, and those above were negative.

Data generated from the study were analysed using STATA version 12 software. The differences in the different groups as well as the two tests used in the

study (RBT and cELISA) were

obtained from sheep) and unadjusted odds ratio (OR).

were set at the value of

Fisher

test

chi-square

categorical

associations

Exact

(data

with

Data analyses

| Ta | ble 2 | : Preval | ence | of br | ucellosis | in goats | as measured | by the RBT |
|----|-------|----------|------|-------|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| | | - 1 | | | | - | | |

| | | sitive % | Negat | ive % | χ^2 | |
|------------|--------|----------|-------|---------|----------|-------|
| | | | | .1VE /0 | r | p- |
| | n | =61 | n=19 | 95 | | value |
| Overall | | 23.8 | 8 | 76.2 | _ | |
| Breed *Oth | ers 24 | 25.8 | 8 69 | 74.2 | | |
| WAD | 37 | 22.7 | 7 126 | 77.3 | 0.913 | 0.633 |
| Sex Male | 18 | 32.3 | 1 38 | 67.9 | | |
| Fema | ale 43 | 21. | 5 157 | 78.5 | 2.602 | 0.107 |
| Age ≤1ye | ar 9 | 18. | 7 39 | 81.3 | | |
| >1ye | ar 52 | 25.0 | D 156 | 75.0 | 0.876 | 0.349 |

*Others = Sahel red and Kano brown

| Variable | Characteristic | | Serop | | | | |
|----------|----------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | | Positive | % | Negative | % | χ ² | p- |
| | | n=19 | | n=237 | | | value |
| Overall | | | 7.4 | | 92.58 | | |
| Breed | *Others | 9 | 9.7 | 84 | 90.3 | | |
| | WAD | 10 | 6.1 | 153 | 93.9 | 1.253 | 0.534 |
| Sex | Male | 4 | 7.1 | 52 | 92.9 | | |
| | Female | 15 | 7.5 | 185 | 92.5 | 0.008 | 0.928 |
| Age | ≤1year | 4 | 8.3 | 44 | 91.7 | | |
| | >1year | 15 | 7.2 | 193 | 92.8 | 0.07 | 0.792 |

Results

p<0.05.

tested

variables,

Probability

Significant

statistics for

ruminants (goats and sheep)

screened for brucellosis, 64 (19.8%) and 19 (5.9%) were found to be seropositive using RBT and cELISA, respectively. The prevalence of 23.8% and 7.4% were recorded among the goat population with RBT and cELISA, respectively with a significant difference occurring between the RBT and cELISA tests $(\chi^2 = 26.13; P = 0.000; OR: 3.9; 95\% CI: 2.25-6.74)$ (Table 1). The breed specific prevalence in the goat was 22.7% and 6.13% in the West African Dwarf (WAD) and 25.8% and 9.68% in the other breeds. Within the sexes, 32.14% and 7.14% prevalence was recorded in the males and 21.5% and 7.50% among the females. While the prevalence among the older goats (>1year) was 25.0% and 7.21%, that in the younger ones (≤1year) was 18.75% and 8.33% with the RBT and cELISA, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). In the sheep, the prevalence of 4.4% and 0% were recorded with the RBT and cELISA, respectively. Only the WAD (5.36%), females (5.36%) and older animals (4.41%) were found seropositive with the RBT while the cELISA recorded no positive case (Tables 4 and 5).

Discussion

The prevalence of 19.8% as recorded by the RBT in this study shows that brucellosis is enzootic in small ruminants slaughtered in Lafia abattoir. This is of public health importance to individuals who have

Out of the 324 small *Others = Sahel red and Kano brown

regular contact with livestock like the abattoir workers, flock owners as well as the members of the public; who engage in the consumption of unpasteurised milk and their products in areas where these animals were sourced from. Such risk of human infection is at maximum during lambing and kidding periods due to possible contact with the highly infective birth materials from infected animals (EC, 2001). Considering the fact that malaria and typhoid which show clinical signs similar to brucellosis are endemic in Nigeria (Igbeneghu et al., 2009; Eze et al., 2011), many brucellosis cases could be mistaken for these diseases resulting in wrong diagnosis and treatment failures of patients suffering from the disease (Baba et al., 1998; Bahador et al., 2012). The prevalence of 19.8% recorded in small ruminants in this study is lower than 26.5% recorded in sheep flocks in Kaduna (Kaltungo et al., 2015) but comparable to that of an abattoir study (22.93%) in goats in Sokoto (Junaidu et al., 2010). It is however higher than that of other studies: 2.83% in goats screened in selected states in Nigeria (Ogugua et al., 2015b), 9.8% in small ruminants in Plateau State (Bertu et al., 2010), 13.3% in small ruminants slaughtered in abattoirs in Ghana (Jarikre et al., 2014), 9.38% in small ruminants in Ethiopia (Negash et al., 2012); 3.13% in small ruminants flocks in Eastern Ethiopia (Teshale et al.,

| Variable | Characteristic | Seropositiv | e anin | nals based on | Fisher Exact Probability Test | |
|----------|----------------|-------------|--------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| | | Positive n | % | Negative n | % | p-value |
| Overall | | 3 | 4.4 | 65 | 95.6 | |
| Breed | **Others | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 100.0 | |
| | WAD | 3 | 5.4 | 53 | 94.6 | 0.55 |
| Sex | Male | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 100.0 | |
| | Female | 3 | 5.4 | 53 | 94.6 | 0.55 |
| Age | ≤1year | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 0.79 |
| | >1year | 3 | 4.8 | 60 | 95.2 | |

Table 4: Prevalence of brucellosis in sheep as measured by the RBT

**Others = Balami, Uda and Yankasa

| Table 5: Prevalence of brucellosis in sheep as measured by the cELISA | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----|------------|-------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Variable | Characteristic | Seropositive animals based on cELISA | | | | Fisher Exact Probability Test | | |
| | | Positive n | % | Negative n | % | p-value | | |
| Overall | | 0 | 0.0 | 68 | 100.0 | | | |
| Breed | **Others | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 100.0 | | | |
| | WAD | 0 | 0.0 | 56 | 100.0 | 0.99 | | |
| Sex | Male | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 100.0 | | | |
| | Female | 0 | 0.0 | 56 | 100.0 | 0.99 | | |
| Age | ≤1years | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | | | |
| | >2years | 0 | 0.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

**Others = Balami, Uda and Yankasa

2006) and 14.7% in small ruminants in Iran (Zowghi & Ebadi, 1985). The prevalence of brucellosis in the area of study may be attributed to the fact that there is no control scheme for, and low knowledge of brucellosis in Nigeria (Onoja et al., 2008; Adesokan et al., 2013). Also, for the fact that brucellosis induced abortion rarely reoccurs in subsequent pregnancies (OIE, 2009), many obviously infected females are retained in flocks in Nigeria (Mai et al., 2012). This results in continued propagation of the disease especially in rural communities where small ruminants are left to roam freely and mate indiscriminately (Bertu et al., 2010; Kaltungo et al., 2015; Ogugua et al., 2015b). In addition, in pastoral communities small ruminants are allowed to graze in common with cattle herds increasing their risk of getting infected with other Brucella species (Ocholi et al., 2005). Moreover, movement of livestock within Nigeria and between the neighbouring countries is not controlled resulting in continued transmission of diseases like brucellosis (Ogundipe, 2001).

This study found the prevalence of brucellosis to be higher in goats (23.8%) than in sheep (4.4%) with the RBT. This is similar to what was recorded in other studies (Teshale *et al.*, 2006; Bertu *et al.*, 2010; Negash *et al.*, 2012; Adugna *et al.*, 2013; Jarikre *et al.*, 2014). This may be because goats are more susceptible to *Brucella* infection than sheep and also goats shed the organism in milk and semen for longer periods (Teshale *et al.*, 2006; CFSPH, 2009b; Adugna *et al.*, 2013). Also, *B. melitensis*, the major cause of brucellosis in small ruminants, is known to readily infect most breeds of goats but the susceptibility to infection with the organism varies to a great extent among different sheep breeds (CFSPH, 2009b).

Also, there was a significant difference between the RBT and cELISA results (χ^2 =26.13; P=0.000 OR: 3.9; 95% CI: 2.25-6.74) with the RBT recording higher prevalence of brucellosis than the cELISA. The cELISA has been shown to be of lower sensitivity and does not outperform the standard RBT in the diagnosis of sheep brucellosis (Marín et al., 1999). Indeed, the RBT has been advocated as the test of choice in small ruminants in areas such as Nigeria where vaccination is not generally practised (Marín et al., 1999; Ducrotoy et al., 2014). This is because, in the absence of vaccination, RBT is superior to cELISA (Ducrotoy et al., 2014) and the standard set by this test in the diagnosis of brucellosis is yet to be matched by any other serological test (Mcgiven, 2013). However, the possibility that the RBT positive but cELISA negative samples could be as a result of presence of antibodies to other Gram negative organisms like Yersinia enterocolitica 0:9, Vibrio cholera and Salmonella urbana group N may not be ruled out (Nielsen et al., 1996; Neta et al., 2008; OIE, 2009). There is therefore the need to involve bacterial isolation in subsequent studies of small ruminant brucellosis in the area.

Though there was no significant difference, the study recorded higher prevalence in the male than female goats with the RBT. This is contrary to other findings (Teshale et al., 2006; Negash et al., 2012; Adugna et al., 2013; Jarikre et al., 2014; Kaltungo et al., 2015; Ogugua et al., 2015b) that recorded higher prevalence in does than in bucks. The higher prevalence in males may be attributed to the fact that although brucellosis transmission from the buck to the doe through natural means is not common (CFSPH, 2009b) due to the vaginal environment which is not conducive to the survival of Brucella deposited by the male (Chakrabarti, 2012), but bucks get infected while serving infected does (CFSPH, 2009b). Also, bucks are readily infected when they come in contact with infected semen deposited by other infected males during co-servings of does on heat (Godfroid et al., 2004). In addition, small ruminant males are known to exhibit homosexual behaviours and Brucella infection is readily established when the organism is deposited on abraded mucous membranes (EC, 2001; CFSPH, 2009a) an occurrence which is common with anal sex (Ungerfeild et al., 2014).

However, the study was undertaken in the abattoir and therefore not representative of the situation in the local herds/flocks in the area studied. In addition, the animals screened could not be traced to the farms of origin where information about the possible vaccination of these animals could be enquired since small ruminants slaughtered in the area of study had no identification tags. Nonetheless, many studies in Nigeria validly assume non vaccination of animal populations surveyed because in most cases there is no history of certified vaccination against brucellosis and more so, vaccination against the disease is not routinely carried out in local herds (Mukhtar & Kokab, 2008; Onoja et al., 2008; Bertu et al., 2010; Cadmus et al., 2013; Kaltungo et al., 2015). Also, there was no bacteriological confirmation of the disease among the seropositive small ruminants screened since the Brucella species responsible for the disease were not isolated. However, serology alone had been used for the study of brucellosis by other workers (Teshale et al. 2006; Mukhtar & Kokab, 2008; Onunkwo et al., 2011; Cadmus et al., 2013; Adamu et al., 2014; Jarikre et al., 2014).

Finally, this study found brucellosis to be prevalent in small ruminants slaughtered in Lafia abattoir though not significantly associated with the breed, sex or age of the animals screened. This may constitute a major risk of infection to individuals in the area as a result of close human-animal interaction in rural communities in Nigeria and other enzootic areas in Africa. Also, this study showed a significant difference between the two diagnostic methods used; therefore we advocate that it is not necessary to include cELISA in serological studies for brucellosis in the area of study except in cases where there are proofs of vaccination against the disease. However, further studies should involve isolation to confirm this as well as identify the Brucella species responsible for the disease in small ruminants in the area. In addition, given that slaughter animals were used in this study, subsequent studies in the state should focus on the flocks in their local settings and the risk factors facilitating the transmission of the disease among the animals and between animals and humans.

References

- Adamu M, Mshelia GD, Elelu N, Ouda L & Egwu GO (2012). Studies on farmer awareness on caprine abortion and the presence of *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis* in selected flocks in an arid zone of Nigeria. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Health*, **4**(2): 17–21.
- Adamu S, Tijjani A, Atsanda N & Adamu N (2014). Serological survey of brucella antibodies in cattle breeding herds in northeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Veterinary Advances*, doi:10.5455/jva.20140613111928.
- Adesokan HK, Alabi PI, Stack JA & Cadmus SI (2013). Knowledge and practices related to bovine brucellosis transmission amongst livestock workers in Yewa, south-western Nigeria. *Journal of South African Veterinary Association*, doi:10.4102/jsava.v84i1.121.
- Adugna W, Tessema TS & Keskes S (2013). Seroprevalence of small ruminants ' brucellosis in four districts of Afar National Regional State, northeast Ethiopia. Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health, **5** (12): 358–364.
- Akinseye VO, Adesokan HK, Ogugua AJ, Adedoyin FJ, Otu PI, Kwaghe AV, Kolawole NO, Okoro OJ, Agada CA, Tade AO, Faleke OO, Okeke AL, Akanbi IM, Ibitoye MM, Dipeolu MO, Dale EJ, Lorraine P, Taylor AV, Awosanya EA, Cadmus EO, Stack JA & Cadmus SI (2016). Sero-epidemiological survey and risk factors associated with bovine brucellosis among

slaughtered cattle in Nigeria. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research, doi.org/10.4102/ojvr.v83i1.1002.

- Alton GG, Jones LM, Angus ED & Verger JM (1988). *Techniques for the Brucellosis Laboratory*. Paris: Institute National De La Recherche Agronomique, Paris. Pp 192.
- Aworh MK, Okolocha E, Kwaga J, Fasina F, Lazarus D, Suleman I, Poggensee G, Nguku P & Nsubuga P (2013). Human brucellosis: Seroprevalence and associated exposure factors among abattoir workers in Abuja, Nigeria - 2011. Pan African Medical Journal, doi:10.11604/pamj.2013.16.103.2143.
- Ayoola MC (2014). Prevalence of Brucellosis in Slaughtered Cattle, Risk Behaviours and Predictors of Brucellosis among Livestock Workers at Selected Abattoirs in Ibadan, Oyo State. MVPH thesis, Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan. Pp 145-150.
- Baba MM, Moses AE & Ajayi BB (1998). Serological evidence of *Brucella* abortus infections in patients suspected of typhoid fever. *Nigeria Medical Practitioner*, **35** (1/2): 9–11.
- Bahador A, Noormohamad M, Davood E & Reza AS (2012). Brucellosis: Prevalence and retrospective evaluation of risk factors in western cities of Tehran province, Iran. *Journal of Bacteriology Research*, **4**(3): 33– 37.
- Baldi PC, Velikovsky CA, Braden BC, Giambartolomei GH, Fossati CA & Goldbaum FA (2000). Structural, functional and immunological studies on a polymeric bacterial protein. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, **33**(7): 741–747.
- Bertu WJ, Ajogi I, Bale JOO, Kwaga JKP & Ocholi RA (2010). Sero-epidemiology of brucellosis in small ruminants in Plateau State , Nigeria. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, **4**(19): 1935–1938.
- Bukharie HA (2009). Clinical features, complications and treatment outcome of *Brucella* infection: Ten years ' experience in an endemic area. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, **8**(4): 303–310.
- Cadmus SIB, Alabi PI, Adesokan HK, Dale EJ and Stack JA (2013). Serological investigation of bovine brucellosis in three cattle production systems in Yewa Division, south-western Nigeria. South African Veterinary

Association, doi.org/

10.4102/jsava.v84i1.217.

- CFSPH (Center for Food Security and Public Health). (2009a). *Brucellosis*. Center for Food Security and Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa. Pp 1–13.
- CFSPH (Center for Food Security and Public Health). (2009b). Ovine and Caprine Brucellosis: Brucella melitensis. Center for Food Security and Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa. Pp 1–5.
- Chakrabarti A (2012). A Textbook of Preventive Veterinary Medicine. Ludhiana, India, Rajinder Nagar. Pp 230–251.
- Corbel MJ (2006). Brucellosis in Humans and Animals. World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland. http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publicat ions/Brucellosis.pdf, retrieved 14-05-2014.
- Dawson CE, Perrett LL, Stubberfield EJ, Stack JA, Farrelly SSJ, Cooley WA, Davidson NJ & Quinney S (2008). Isolation and characterization of *Brucella* from the lungworms of a harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*). Journal of Wildlife Diseases, **44**(2): 237–246.
- Ducrotoy MJ, Bertu WJ, Ocholi RA, Gusi AM, Bryssinckx W, Welburn S & Moriyo I (2014). Brucellosis as an emerging threat in developing economies : Lessons from Nigeria. *PLos One*, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003008.
- EC (European Commisison). (2001). Brucellosis in Sheep and Goats (Brucella mellitensis). Report of the Scientific Committee on Animal Welfare. SANCO.C.2/AH/R23/2001.
- Eze EA, Ukwah BN, Okafor PC & Ugwu KO (2011). Prevalence of malaria and typhoid coinfections in University of Nigeria , Nsukka District of Enugu State , Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology, **10**(11): 2135– 2143.
- Falade S (2002). A case of possible brucellosis relapse in a veterinarian. *Tropical Veterinarian*, **20**(4): 226–230.
- Foggin PM, Foggin JM & Shiirev-Adiya C (2000). Animal and human health among seminomadic herders of Central Mongolia: Brucellosis and the bubonic plague in

Ovörhangay Aimag. *Nomadic Peoples*, **4**(1): 148–168.

- Foster G, Osterman BS, Godfroid J, Jacques I & Cloeckaert A (2007). *Brucella ceti* sp. nov. and *Brucella pinnipedis* sp. nov. for *Brucella* strains with cetaceans and seals as their preferred hosts. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **57**(11): 2688–2693.
- Godfroid J, Bishop GC, Bosman PP & Herr S (2004). Bovine Brucellosis. In: *Infectious Diseases of Livestock* (JAW Coetzer, RC Tustin, editors). Oxford University Press, Cape Town. Pp 1510–1527.
- Igbeneghu C, Olisekodiaka MJ & Onuegbu JA (2009). Malaria and typhoid fever in Ibadan. International Journal of Tropical Medicine, **4**(3): 112–115.
- Jarikre TA, Emikpe BO, Folitse RD, Odoom TK, Fuseini A & Shaibu E (2014). Prevalence of brucellosis in small ruminants in three regions of Ghana. *Bulgarian Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, **20**(10): 1–7.
- Junaidu AU, Daneji AI, Salihu MD, Magaji AA, Tambuwal FM, Abubakar MB & Nawawi H (2010). Sero-prevalence of brucellosis in goat in Sokoto , Nigeria. *Current Research Journal of Biological Sciences*, **2**(4): 275– 277.
- Kaltungo BY, Saidu SNA, Sackey AKB & Kazeem HM (2015). Sero-prevalence of brucellosis in sheep in North Senatorial District of Kaduna, Nigeria. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Diseases*, **5**(2): 163–168.
- Lopes LB, Nicolino R & Haddad JPA (2010). Brucellosis - Risk factors and prevalence : A review. *The Open Veterinary Science Journal*, **4**(1): 72–84.
- Mai HM, Irons PC, Kabir J & Thompson PN (2012). A large seroprevalence survey of brucellosis in cattle herds under diverse production systems in northern Nigeria. *Biomed Central Veterinary Research*, doi:10.1186/1746-6148-8-144.
- Marianelli C, Graziani C, Santangelo C, Xibilia MT, Imbriani A, Amato R Cuccia M, Rinnone S, Marco V, Neri D & Ciuchini F (2007). Molecular epidemiological and antibiotic susceptibility characterization of *Brucella* isolates from humans in Sicily, Italy. *Journal* of Clinical Microbiology, **45**(9): 2923–2928.
- Marín CM, Moreno E, Moriyón I, Díaz R & Blasco JM (1999). Performance of competitive and

indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays, gel immunoprecipitation with native hapten polysaccharide, and standard serological tests in diagnosis of sheep brucellosis. *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology*, **6**(2), 269–272.

- Mcgiven JA (2013). New developments in the immunodiagnosis of brucellosis in livestock and wildlife. *Revue Scientifique et Technique (International Office of Epizootics)*, **32**(1): 163–176.
- Mukhtar F & Kokab F (2008). Brucella serology in abattoir workers. *Journal of Ayub Medical College Abbottabad*, **20** (3): 57–61.
- Nanven MA, Bale JO, Kwanashie CN, Ajogi I, Nanven MB, Ngbede EO & Maurice NA (2013).
 Bacteriological and serological studies of bovine Brucellosis on the Obudu Plateau, Cross River State, Nigeria. European Journal of Experimantal Biology, 3(6): 484–488.
- Negash E, Shimelis S & Beyene D (2012). Seroprevalence of small ruminant brucellosis and its public health awareness in selected sites of Dire Dawa region , Eastern Ethiopia. Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences, **4**(4): 61–66.
- Neta AVC, Stynen, APR, Paixão TA, Miranda, KL, Silva FL, Roux CM, Tsolis RM, Everts R E, Lewin HA, Adams LG, Carvalho AF, Lage AP & Santos RL (2008). Modulation of the bovine trophoblastic innate immune response by *Brucella* abortus. *Infection and Immunity*, **76**(5): 1897–1907.
- Nielsen KH, Kelly L, Gall D, Balsevicus S, Bosse J, Kelly W & Nicoletti P (1996). Comparison of enzyme immunoassays for the diagnosis of bovine brucellosis. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, **26**(1): 17-32.
- Ocholi RA, Kwaga JKP, Ajogi I & Bale JOO (2005). Abortion due to *Brucella* abortus in sheep in Nigeria. *Revue Scientifique et Technique* (International Office of Epizootics), **24**(3): 973–979.
- Ogugua AJ, Akinseye VO, Ayoola MC, Oyesola OO, Shima FK, Tijjani AO, Musa AN, Adesokan HK, Perrett L, Taylor A & Stack JA (2015a). Seroprevalence and risk factors of brucellosis in goats in selected states in Nigeria and the public health implications. *African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*, **43**(Suppl 1): 121–129.
- Ogugua AJ, Akinseye OV, Ayoola MC, Stack J & Cadmus SIB (2015). Risk factors associated

with brucellosis among slaughtered cattle: Epidemiological insight from two metropolitan abattoirs in Southwestern Nigeria. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Diseases*, **5**(9): 930–936.

- Ogundipe GAT (2001). The roles of Veterinary Quarantine Services in monitoring the movements of animals and disease prevention in Nigeria. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal*, **23**(1): 1–15.
- OIE (2009). Bovine Brucellosis: Terrestrial Manual. Version adopted by the World Assembly of Delegates of the Office International des Epizooties in May 2009. Pp 1–35.
- Onoja II, Ajani AJ, Mshelia WP, Andrew A, Ogunkoya AB & Achi CR (2008). Brucellosis outbreak in a flock of seventeen sheep in Zaria. Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences, 7(2): 58–60.
- Onunkwo JI, Njoga EA, Nwanta JA, Shoyinka SVO, Onyenwe IW & Eze JI (2011). Serological survey of porcine brucellosis infection in SouthEast, Nigeria. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal*, **32**(1): 60–62.
- Perrett LL, McGiven JA, Brew SD, Stack JA & John A (2010). Evaluation of competitive ELISA for detection of antibodies to *Brucella* Infection in domestic animals. *Croatian Medical Journal*, **51**(4): 314–319.
- Poulou A, Markou F & Xipolitos I (2006). A rare case of *Brucella* melitensis infection in an obstetrician during the delivery of a transplacentally infected infant. *Journal of Infection*, **53**(1): 39–41.
- Sadiq MA, Tijjani AN, Auwal MS, Mustapha AR, Tijjani AO, Gulani I & Mohammed A (2013). Prevalence of *Brucella* antibodies in donkeys (*Equus asinus*) in Borno and Yobe

States, Nigeria. Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences, **11**(1): 7–12.

- Sofian M, Aghakhani A, Velayati AA, Banifazl M, Eslamifar A & Ramezani A (2008). Risk factors for human brucellosis in Iran: A case-control study. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, **12**(2): 157–61.
- Swai ES & Schoonman L (2010). The use of Rose Bengal plate test to asses cattle exposure to *Brucella* infection in traditional and smallholder dairy production systems of Tanga region of Tanzania. *Veterinary Medicine International*, doi:10.4061/2010/837950.
- Teshale S, Muhie Y, Dagne A & Kidanemariam A (2006). Seroprevalence of small ruminant brucellosis in selected districts of Afar and Somali pastoral areas of eastern Ethiopia : The impact of husbandry practice. *Revue Medecine Veterinaire*, **157**(11): 557–563.
- Ungerfeild R, Giriboni J, Freitas-de-Melo A & Lacusta L (2014). Homosexual behaviour in male goats is more frequent during breeding season in bucks isolated from females. *Hormones and Behavior*, **65**(5): 516–520.
- Vigeant P, Mendelson J & Miller, MA (1995). Human to human transmission of *Brucella melitensis. Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, **6**(3): 153–155.
- Whatmore AM (2009). Current understanding of the genetic diversity of *Brucella*, an expanding genus of zoonotic importance. *Infection*, *Genetics and Evolution*, **9**(6): 1168–1184.
- Zowghi E & Ebadi A (1985). Serological investigations on brucellosis in cattle , sheep and goats in Iran. *Revue Scientifique et Technique (International Office of Epizootics)*, **4**(2): 319–323.