Removal of Hexavalent Chromium from Aqueous Solutions using Some Plants Bark Powder as Adsorbents
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Abstract

Heavy metals that have been associated only with chemists have now become a concern of environmentalist also. They impact the ecosystems when released into water bodies or soil by various human activities resulting in the environmental pollution. High concentration of Cr (VI) is harmful to animal and human health. The hexavalent chromium exists in aquatic media as water soluble complex anions and persist. These are concentrated in industrial waste water especially from the tannery industries and release of effluents from industries adversely affects the environment. The removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions is carried out by different physical, chemical and biological methods. Now a days, use of plants waste products such as: barks, manures or lignocellulosic materials, for the removal of heavy metals from polluted water are becoming of more concern. In the present study, low-cost and easily available material such as plant barks for the removal of chromium VI from its aqueous solution was investigated. Bark powders of plants namely, Pongamia glabra, Tamarindus indicus, Tesphesia populnea, and Mangifera indica obtained from nearby saw mills, were used as adsorbent for the removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solutions in excess of maximum permissible limits. Adsorption for chromium (VI) was found to be highly dose dependent compared to the other parameters observed with almost 100 % efficiency at pH 2, contact time of 2h at room temperature with 3 g l -1 bark dosage. The adsorption capacities of all the plant barks for chromium (VI) was found to be comparable to other commercial adsorbents currently employed for the removal of heavy metals from aqueous wastes. The results have demonstrated the immense potential of plants bark powder as an alternative adsorbent for removal of Cr(VI) ions from polluted water.

INTRODUCTION

Water of high quality is essential for human existence and agricultural, industrial, domestic and commercial use and all these activities are also responsible for polluting the water. Majority of the industries are water based and a considerable volume of wastewater emanated from these is generally discharged into water sources either untreated or inadequately treated resulting in water pollution (Pandey and Carney, 1998). A study conducted by the Centre for Science and Environment, New Delhi, India, has suggested that over 70% of available water in India is polluted (C.S.E. Survey, 1982). The contamination of water due to toxic heavy metals through the discharge of industrial wastewater is a global environmental problem (Ajmal et al., 2003).

Currently, environmental pollution has become one of the most important issues faced by human being. It has increased exponentially in the past few years and reached to alarming levels in terms of its toxic effects on living beings. Although pollution due to tanneries in India dates back to 100 years, it received much attention only in the recent past. Toxic heavy metals are considered as one of the strong pollutants that have direct effect on humans and animals. Industrial wastewater containing lead, copper, cadmium, chromium etc. can contaminate groundwater resources and thus lead to serious ground water pollution problems (Nagham, 2010).

Heavy metal contamination of fresh water bodies and aquatic biota are increasingly becoming a matter of serious concern from human health point of view, since many of the aquatic organisms like fish, forms an integral part of human diet. Several studies have been reported on the pernicious effects of chromium in organisms and its carcinogenic compounds (Sala et al., 1995). Chromium has widespread industrial applications. The major industries that contribute to pollution by chromium are electroplating, aluminium conversion, cooling operations, fungicides, metal finishing, plants producing industrial inorganic chemicals, pigments and wood preservatives.
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(Trivedi, 1989; Udy, 1956 and Losi et al., 1994). The release of 40-50 ppm level of Cr has been reported in the effluents of these industries (Zayed and Terry, 2003).

Tannery effluent is one of the most polluting industrial wastes generating about 75,000 m³/day (Sahasranaman and Buljan, 2000). Significant and irreparable damage have already been caused to all forms of life by the tannery particularly in Tamil Nadu, India (Raj et al., 1990). The Central Pollution Control Board, an authority in India to implement the country’s environment legislation has placed the tanneries in the list of highly polluting industries under the red category (Bolton and Klein, 1971).

It is estimated that 40,000 tones of basic chromium sulphate (BCS) are used in Indian tanneries per year and since only 50 to 70% of BCS is taken up by leather, the balance 15,000 tones of it is discharged as waste in the effluent (Rajamani and Raghavan, 1995). These effluents have high concentrations of heavy metals (Shanker et al., 2005). Chromium (VI) has been reported highly toxic to most of the organisms (Merron et al., 1998; Wan Ngah and Hanafi, 2008). Carcinogenicity of Chromium in experimental animals is well documented by Cohen and Costa (1997).

Exposure of cells of organisms to chromate results in different types of DNA damage, including DNA interstrand cross links, DNA protein cross links, oxidative base damage and DNA strand breaks (Cupo and Welterhann, 1985). Exposure to chromium (VI) results in complications during pregnancy and childbirth in the form of toxicois and hemorrhages in women employees at a dichromate manufacturing factory (Shmitova, 1989). Nephrotoxicity, respiratory carcinoma, hepatotoxicity, cardiotoxicity and genotoxicity are reported in workers engaged in chromium based industries (Friberg et al., 1986 and WHO, 1988).

Chromium also causes renal failure leading to the loss of osmoregulatory ability and respiration in fish (Artillo and Melodio, 1988). Even short time chromium (VI) exposure severely damages kidney, intestine and lungs (Rahul Kundu et al., 2002). Chromium in polluted water causes asthma, ulcers, lung cancer, skin lesions, dermatitis, perforation of nasal septum (Veenamani et al., 2005). Chromium (III) causes DNA damage and inhibits topoisomerase DNA relaxing ability probably by preventing the formation of covalent link between enzyme and double helix (Andreja, 2002).

Removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions are carried out by a number of physical and chemical methods like electro-chemical precipitation, ultra filtration, ion exchange and reverse osmosis. But these methods are economically not feasible and have their technical limitations as well. Therefore, biological methods are used to remediate the heavy metals. These involve the use of plant products and microorganisms for the removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions (Nomanbhai and Palanisamy, 2005). In the recent years, the need for safe and economical methods for the elimination of heavy metals from contaminated waters has necessitated research interest towards the production of low cost alternatives to commercially available materials such as activated carbon. Therefore, there is an urgent need that possible sources of agro-based inexpensive adsorbents may be explored for the removal of heavy metals.


Krishnani et al. (2004) used biosorbents from rice husk, paddy straw, and bagasse for the removal of Cr (VI) at pH 2. They reported that Cr (VI) exist as oxo-anions which cannot bind to negatively charged carboxylic ligands at higher pH (Parimala et al., 2004; Krishnani et al. 2004). Dubey and Krishna (2007) reported that the maximum adsorption capacity of most investigated biosorbents were in the range of 1.6-13.4 mg/g for unmodified bio-sorbents.

Shrichand et al. (1994) has studied the effect of bagasse and coconut jute for the removal of Cr (VI). Logeswari et al. (2013) have reported that the utilization of eucalyptus bark is effective for the removal of chromium from synthetic tannery effluent. Utilization of Eucalyptus bark as an efficient chromium removal material was reported by Xavier et al. (2013). The efficiency of Eucalyptus tereticornis banks was also reported by Sharma and Goyal (2011). Rakesh Kumar et al. (2012) reported the adsorption of chromium (VI) on the waste tree bark. Shetie and Wassie (2013) used moringa seed powder for the removal of chromium from tannery wastes.

Mamatha et al. (2012) have studied the adsorption potential of Pongamia pinnata tree bark for the removal of ferrous and ferric ions from aqueous and industrial effluent. Pathania et al. (2009) has observed the interaction of copper ion on bark material follow a cation exchange mechanism which is supported by elution process in which recovery of about 90% of copper took place. Harman et al. (2007) studied the removal of heavy metals from polluted waters using lignocellulosic agricultural waste products.

In the present study, bark powder of plants: Pongamia glabra, Tamarindus indicus, Tesphesia populnea, and Mangifera indica have been used as adsorbent for the removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solutions. Effects of metal ions initial concentration; contact time and adsorbent dose have been investigated. Maximum metal sorption capacity of each studied adsorbent has also been determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Preparation of Cr (VI) Solutions
Chromium stock solutions were prepared by taking 3.734 g K₂CrO₄.6H₂O in a 1000 ml volumetric flask and made up to the mark using deionized water. All the required working solutions were prepared by diluting the stock solutions with deionised water. Analysis of standards and simulated samples was performed using an atomic absorption spectrometer.

Preparation of Plant Bark Powder
Bark of natural plants Tamarindus indicus (TIBM), Pongamia glabra(PGBM), Tesphesia populnea (TPBM) and Mangifera indica(MIBM) were collected from suburb of Chennnai, Tamil Nadu, India. The bark was washed with deionized water, dried at room temperature for 3 days and ground to get the particle size of 200 - 250 mesh and stored in moisture-free atmosphere before further use.

Chromium (VI) Ions Adsorption Study
In a typical run, 100 ml of Cr (VI) ions solution of given concentration was mixed with a known catalyst load in an Erlenmeyer flask at 25 °C maintained using a water thermostat. The pH of the solution was adjusted at 2 using 1M HNO₃ and the reaction mixture was magnetically
stirred at 100 rpm. Five ml of the reaction mixture was collected at 1 and 2 hrs, respectively, and filtered. The concentration of Cr (VI) in the clear filtrate was determined using an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer.

Amount of metal ions adsorbed, 'Q' (in mg per gram adsorbent) was obtained by using the equation-

\[ Q = (C_i - C_e) \frac{(V/m)}{m} \quad (1) \]

Where \( C_i \) and \( C_e \) are the initial and equilibrium concentrations, respectively, of metal ions (in mg/L), 'm' is the dry mass of bark material in grams and 'V' is the volume of solution in liters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Percentage removal of Cr (VI) using bark powders of different plants at varying adsorbent load, Cr (VI) initial concentration and contact time are recorded in table 1 and figures 1 to 10.

Effects of Cr (VI) concentration

At the given adsorbent dose and the contact time, irrespective of the plant bark powder used as adsorbent, percent removal of Cr(VI) ions decreases with the increase of their initial concentration. It may be due to availability of lesser number of adsorbent active sites per Cr(VI) ion at higher concentrations. Also, at higher initial concentrations of Cr (VI) ions, a fewer binding sites on the adsorbent surface are left unoccupied for the adsorption of fresh Cr (VI) ions. Similar observations have been made by Yohannes and Devi Prasad (2014) during biosorption of hexavalent chromium on Cassia spectabilis bark. Our results are in consistence with those reported by Singh et al. (1994). Further, Modak and Natrajan(1995) have also observed an increase in the metal adsorption when the initial concentration of the metal ion was taken as low. Order of Cr (VI) ions removal efficiency of studied plant barks as adsorbent, was found to be: Tamarindus indicus > Tespesia populnea > Pongamia glabra > Mangifera indica.

Table 1: Percentage removal of Cr (VI) using bark powder of different plants at varying adsorbent load, Cr (VI) initial concentration and contact time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial concentration of Cr (VI) (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>1 hr</th>
<th>2 hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 g l⁻¹ bark</td>
<td>3 g l⁻¹ bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGBM*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.024</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.120</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.081</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIBM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.024</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.120</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.081</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPBM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.024</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.120</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.081</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIBM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.024</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.120</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.081</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* PGBM = Pongamia glabra; TIBM = Tamarindus indicus; TPBM = Tespesia populnea; AIBM = Mangifera indica
Figure 1 and 2: Effect of initial metal concentration on the removal of Cr (VI) using PGBM, TIBM, TPBM and MIBM
Figure 3, 4 and 5: Effect of contact time on the removal of Cr(VI) using PGBM, TIBM, TPBM and MIBM

Figure 6 and 7: Effect of bark dose on the removal of Cr (VI) using PGBM, TIBM, TPBM and MIBM
Figures 8, 9 and 10: Efficacy of the PGBM, TIBM, TPBM and MIBM plant barks on the removal of Cr (VI)
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Effect of Adsorbent Dose

The observed data presented in table 1 revealed that for each studied Cr (VI) ion initial concentration, removal of metal ions increased on raising the adsorbent dose from 1 to 3 g/L. It is obvious since at higher adsorbent dose the number of available adsorbent's active sites per Cr (VI) ion increases. Our results are in agreement with studies by Malkoc et al. (2006). They observed an increase in Cr (VI) uptake from 51.7 to 98.6 % as the dose concentration was increased from 5 mg/L to 15 mg/L. Findings in this study are also similar to those reported in literature for adsorption on coconut jude, bagasse (Shrirand et al., 1994), Garcinia cambogia (Chandrasekar et al., 2002) and rice straw (Samanta et al., 2000). Using 1 g/l adsorbent powder from Tamarindus indicus bark, it was possible to completely remove Cr (VI) ions from their 2.024 mg/L solution.

Effect of Contact Time on Cr (VI) Adsorption

For each studied Cr (VI) initial concentration and the adsorbent dose, the percent removal of metal ions increases on increasing the contact time for the adsorbate and the adsorbent. However, with the limited data, it is not possible to know the exact time required to establish sorption-desorption equilibrium for the studied sorbate-sorbent systems. Present results are corroborated with the work reported in literature (Samanta et al. 2000; Saravanane et al., 2002). Kalandar and Hiranmai (2014) have also reported the efficiency of plant barks for removal of cadmium from aqueous solutions.

CONCLUSIONS

Barks of Pongamia glabra, Tamarindus indicus, Tesphesia populnea, and Mangifera indica have been used as adsorbents for the removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solution using batch operation. The extent of removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solutions strongly depends on Cr (VI) ions initial concentration, contact time and adsorbent dose. It is observed that the studied, locally available, low cost plant barks can be used as alternative adsorbents for Cr (VI) remediation form polluted water.
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