

# MUTAGENIC EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFICIENCY OF GAMMA RAYS ON VARIABILITY FOR YIELD ATTRIBUTING TRAITS IN FONIO (*DIGITARIA EXILIS* [KIPPIST] STAPF.)

\*S. Nura,<sup>1</sup> A.K. Adamu,<sup>2</sup> M.A. Adelanwa,<sup>2</sup> I.S. Usman,<sup>3</sup> H.E. Negbenebor,<sup>4</sup> M.A. Aminu<sup>5</sup> and K. Shehu<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Science, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Computing and Applied Science, Baze University, Abuja, Nigeria.

<sup>5</sup>School of Basic and Remedial Studies, Ahmadu Bello University, Funtua, Nigeria.

<sup>6</sup>Kano State College of Education and Preliminary Studies, Kano, Nigeria.

\*Corresponding Author Email Address: [salisunura40@yahoo.com](mailto:salisunura40@yahoo.com)

Phone: +2348056918860

## ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to determine the mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of different doses of gamma rays in inducing variability that could be exploited in the genetic improvement of fonio. Seeds of five accessions of fonio were irradiated with five different doses of gamma rays (100 Gy, 200 Gy, 400 Gy, 500 Gy and 0 Gy as control). The seeds were sown in a plot in a completely randomized design with three replications to rise the M<sub>1</sub> generation which was advanced to M<sub>2</sub> generation. The result obtained from the M<sub>2</sub> mutants revealed highly significant difference (P≤0.01) in the effects of different gamma rays doses on the growth and yield traits of fonio. This implies the presence of high genetic variability induced by the mutagen in fonio. The effect is concentration dependent, increase with decrease in irradiation dose. More so, the effectiveness of the mutagen decreases with increase in dose. Seven different chlorophyll deficient mutants were found in the form of: Albina, Chlorina, Lustescent, Striata, Viridis, Viriscent and Xantha, with Xantha, Chlorina and Albina as the most frequent chimeras. The result indicated that lower dose of gamma rays (100 Gy) is more effective and efficient as it induces favorable mutation that could be utilized in the genetic improvement of fonio. Accession Nkpowas was found to respond more to the mutagenic treatment.

**Keywords:** Accessions, Fonio, Gamma Rays, Mutation.

## INTRODUCTION

Fonio (*Digitaria exilis* [Kippist] Stapf.) is known popularly as Acha, hungry rice, petit mil, fundi or findi (Jideani, 1999). The English name "Hungry Rice" attributed to fonio was believed to have been coined by Europeans (Kwon-Ndung and Misari, 1999; Ibrahim, 2001). Fonio is a minor cereal crop cultivated in the savanna of West Africa as a staple food or food for hunger period. The crop supplies food to 3-4 million people (Vodouhe and Achigan Dako, 2007). Besides these, fonio is one of the world's fastest maturing cereals producing grains just 6 to 8 weeks (42 to 56 days) after planting for the extra early varieties (Ibrahim, 2001). The late varieties take up to 150 days to grow (CIRAD, 2004). It is traditionally grown in the savannah zone of West-Africa where it has high socio-cultural and economic value. Fonio is also highly prized by nutritionists due to its rich contents of two vital amino acids (methionine and cysteine) that are vital to human health and deficient in today's major cereals like wheat, rice, maize, sorghum

or barley (Barikmo and Ouattara, 2004).

Despite its tremendous nutraceutical and economic importance, fonio is underdeveloped, because of lack of attention by research and extension services. Although very important for its organoleptic qualities, the crop is neglected because of its low yielding capacity and its tedious work requirement at postharvest transformation (Vodouhe and Diallo, 2004). Thus the plant is threatened by genetic erosion due to neglect by the authorities concerned. Mutations (changes in the genetic materials of an organism) are known to enhance the genetic variability of crop plants (Pathirana *et al.*, 2002). Induced mutations facilitate the development of improved varieties (Maluszynski, 1990). Induced mutations have been used to generate genetic variability and have been successfully utilized to improve yield components of various crops (Naik and Murthy, 2009). It provides raw materials for the genetic improvement of economic crops (Adamu *et al.*, 2004) by facilitating the isolation, identification and cloning of genes which would ultimately help in designing crops with improved yield, increased stress tolerance and longer life span with reduced agronomic inputs (Ahloowalia and Maluszynski, 2001). Gamma rays are widely employed for mutation studies as they have shorter wave length and therefore, possess more energy per photon than X-rays and penetrate deep into the tissue (Khin, 2006; Zhu *et al.*, 2006). Gamma radiation is used as a physical mutagen for fonio improvement due to the fact that its effects have been well studied and it is known to generate point mutations (Zhu *et al.*, 2006). It is known to induce chlorophyll deficiency (Kumari *et al.*, 2016) among mutants that served as markers in genetic, physiological and biochemical investigations. They are the most frequently observed and easily identified factorial mutations in M<sub>2</sub> generation serving as the most dependable index for determining the extent of induced genetic changes in the mutagenic treated population and effectiveness of mutagenic treatments (Gustafsson, 1940). Improvement in the frequency and spectrum of mutations in a predictable manner and thereby achieving desired plant characteristics for their either direct or indirect exploitation in the breeding programme is an important goal (Patil *et al.*, 2017). This research therefore aimed at investigating the frequency and spectrum of gamma rays-induced mutagenesis and variability in some agronomic traits of fonio that could be used in the genetic improvement of the crop.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study Site

The research was conducted at the Botanical Garden of the Department of Biological Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, located within the tropical guinea savanna zone of Nigeria (Lat 11° 11' N; Long 7°N 38'E and on alt 660m above sea level) (Abbas and Arigbede, 2012) during the 2015 wet season.

### Sources of the Seeds

Seeds of five different accessions of fonio (Dinat, Jakah, Jiw 1, Jiw 2 and Nkpowas) used were obtained from the National Cereal Research Institute, Badeggi, Niger state.

### Treatment and Experimental Design

1000 g of the seeds of five fonio accessions (200 g from each accession) were exposed to <sup>60</sup>Co gamma rays at four different doses (100 Gy, 200 Gy, 400 Gy, and 500 Gy) at the Plant Breeding and Molecular Biology Laboratory, International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Vienna, Austria. The irradiated seeds were kept sealed in air-tight container to prevent oxygen effect until the period of sowing. The control is pre-soaked in distilled water for four hours, allowed to dry over night at room temperature on Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The irradiated treated seeds were sown in the field plots along with untreated control at 20 cm and spacing between stands. The seeds soaked in distilled water served as control. The seeds were planted in a plot in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) in a factorial arrangement with three replications to rise the first mutant generation (M<sub>1</sub> generation). The M<sub>1</sub> generation mutants showing desirable characters were selected and were advanced to M<sub>2</sub> generation. All the recommended agronomic and cultural practices such as planting, fertilizer application, weeding and thinning as well as harvesting methodologies were done according to the procedures described by NAERLS (2012) to raise a good crop. The chlorophyll deficient mutants were categorized from the M<sub>2</sub> generation and mutation frequency was estimated as below:

$$\text{Mutation frequency (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of mutants/plant}}{\text{Total number of plants scored}} \times 100$$

Mutation effectiveness and efficiency were estimated according to Sasikala and Kamala (1988) as follows:

$$\text{Mutagenic effectiveness} = \frac{\text{Mutation frequency}}{\text{Dose (Kr x time)}}$$

$$\text{Mutagenic efficiency} = \frac{\text{Mutation frequency}}{\text{Percentage lethality in M}_1} \times 100$$

### Statistical Analysis

Based on the observations recorded on quantitative traits of both the five accessions of fonio were subjected to Multivariate Analysis of variance (MANOVA) using SAS (2008) Version 9.1. Duncan's multiple range tests (DMRT) was used to separate the means.

## RESULTS

The result for the effects of different doses of gamma rays on the M<sub>2</sub> generation of fonio is presented in Table 1. The result showed highly significant difference (P≤0.01) in the effects of different doses of gamma rays on growth and yield traits of fonio; indicating the presence of high variability induced by the mutagen in fonio. The gamma treatments produced mutants with reduced germination rates that are shorter in stature with high number of

leaves. Similarly, the gamma rays induced mutants produced high number of tillers and spikes that are longer and produce more number of seeds that weigh higher than the controls. Similarly, the number of days to maturity was significantly reduced among the gamma rays induced mutants of fonio. The effect is dose dependent, decreases with increase in radiation dose. Furthermore, the result of the responses of five accession of fonio to different doses of gamma rays was presented in Table 3. The result showed that accession Nkpowas showed the highest responses to the mutagen treatment.

**Table 1:** M<sub>2</sub> Generation Mean Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Yield Attributing Traits of Fonio Accessions

| Dose (Gy) | % Germination (1 WAP) | Height at Maturity (cm) | No of Leaves      | No of Tillers      | No of Spikes      | Spikes Length (cm) | No of Seeds/spike   | 1000 Seeds Weight (g) | No of Days to Maturity |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 0         | 73.43 <sup>a*</sup>   | 71.27 <sup>a</sup>      | 3.33 <sup>a</sup> | 11.87 <sup>a</sup> | 3.40 <sup>c</sup> | 9.10 <sup>b</sup>  | 77.60 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.57 <sup>d</sup>     | 123.27 <sup>a</sup>    |
| 100       | 71.50 <sup>a</sup>    | 55.73 <sup>b</sup>      | 7.27 <sup>a</sup> | 17.80 <sup>a</sup> | 5.86 <sup>a</sup> | 10.86 <sup>a</sup> | 112.80 <sup>a</sup> | 0.61 <sup>a</sup>     | 94.53 <sup>a</sup>     |
| 200       | 62.90 <sup>b</sup>    | 52.25 <sup>c</sup>      | 5.60 <sup>b</sup> | 15.73 <sup>b</sup> | 4.80 <sup>b</sup> | 11.18 <sup>a</sup> | 104.13 <sup>b</sup> | 0.60 <sup>b</sup>     | 97.20 <sup>c</sup>     |
| 400       | 56.37 <sup>c</sup>    | 47.80 <sup>d</sup>      | 5.00 <sup>c</sup> | 13.53 <sup>c</sup> | 3.53 <sup>c</sup> | 10.64 <sup>a</sup> | 89.93 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.59 <sup>c</sup>     | 98.40 <sup>c</sup>     |
| 500       | 46.74 <sup>d</sup>    | 42.54 <sup>d</sup>      | 3.33 <sup>d</sup> | 10.66 <sup>d</sup> | 2.53 <sup>d</sup> | 8.27 <sup>c</sup>  | 63.40 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.55 <sup>e</sup>     | 105.80 <sup>b</sup>    |
| Means     | 62.19                 | 53.91                   | 4.91              | 13.92              | 4.02              | 10.01              | 89.57               | 0.59                  | 103.84                 |
| S.E (±)   | 5.84                  | 1.86                    | 0.70              | 1.78               | 0.76              | 0.88               | 6.45                | 0.01                  | 2.94                   |

**N.B:** \*1 Means within the columns with the same superscript letter(s) are not significantly different (P≤0.05)

**Table 2:** M<sub>2</sub> Generation Mean Responses of Fonio Accessions to Gamma Irradiation

| Accession | % Germination (1 WAP) | Height at Maturity (cm) | No of Leaves      | No of Tillers       | No of Spikes      | Spikes Length (cm)  | No of Seeds/spike  | 1000 Seeds Weight (g) | No of Days to Maturity |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| DINAT     | 63.60 <sup>a*</sup>   | 49.53 <sup>c</sup>      | 4.07 <sup>c</sup> | 13.67 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.87 <sup>b</sup> | 10.21 <sup>b</sup>  | 89.73 <sup>a</sup> | 0.59 <sup>a</sup>     | 102.00 <sup>b</sup>    |
| JAKAH     | 61.60 <sup>a</sup>    | 55.93 <sup>b</sup>      | 6.60 <sup>a</sup> | 19.20 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.47 <sup>b</sup> | 10.29 <sup>ba</sup> | 89.13 <sup>a</sup> | 0.58 <sup>ba</sup>    | 101.53 <sup>b</sup>    |
| JIW 1     | 61.81 <sup>a</sup>    | 52.67 <sup>b</sup>      | 4.33 <sup>b</sup> | 12.86 <sup>c</sup>  | 3.80 <sup>b</sup> | 7.81 <sup>c</sup>   | 91.33 <sup>a</sup> | 0.57 <sup>b</sup>     | 106.40 <sup>a</sup>    |
| JIW 2     | 60.33 <sup>a</sup>    | 56.32 <sup>a</sup>      | 4.80 <sup>b</sup> | 8.93 <sup>d</sup>   | 4.07 <sup>b</sup> | 10.83 <sup>ba</sup> | 90.33 <sup>a</sup> | 0.59 <sup>a</sup>     | 101.40 <sup>b</sup>    |
| NKPOWAS   | 63.59 <sup>a</sup>    | 55.13 <sup>a</sup>      | 4.73 <sup>b</sup> | 14.93 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.93 <sup>a</sup> | 10.92 <sup>a</sup>  | 87.33 <sup>a</sup> | 0.58 <sup>ba</sup>    | 107.87 <sup>a</sup>    |

**N.B:** \*1 Means within the columns with the same superscript letter(s) are not significantly different (P≤0.05)

The result obtained for the spectrum and frequency of chlorophyll deficient mutants induced by different doses of gamma irradiations in fonio was presented in Table 3. The result showed that seven different chlorophyll deficient mutants (albina, chlorina, lustescent, striata, viridis, viriscent and xantha) were induced by different doses of gamma rays in fonio; with Xantha, Chlorina and Albina as the most frequent chimeras and that the frequency increases with increase in irradiation doses. The frequency of chimeras showed that Xantha> Chlorina>Albina. Xantha was identified by its display of leaf color variation from orange-yellow to faint yellowish white. Chlorina was characterized by its possession of persistent green colored leaves and it is a viable mutation. Viridis was characterized by reduced height and showed a dark green foliage colour. Albina was white, lethal and survived for up to 10-20 days after germination. Striata was characterized by having longitudinal stripes of yellow color on the green colored part of a leaf or white stripes on the green leaf parts. Virescent was identified by yellow-green coloration of the leaves which later gradually changes to green. Lustescent was characterized by having green coloration of the leaves but later the pigments fade. The plants were slow growing and had low seed yield. Among the seven categories of chlorophyll deficient mutants observed, Xantha and Lustescent are lethal, while Chlorina, Striata and Virescent are viable. Albina and

xantha mutants were dying within 10 to 20 days after emergence. In some instances chlorina mutants survived. Similarly, the efficiency of the gamma rays induced mutagenesis in fonio

increases with increase in radiation dose. However, the effectiveness decreases with increase in the radiation dose

**Table 3:** Mutation Frequency, Effectiveness and Efficiency Induced by Gamma Rays on Fonio

| Accession | Dose (Gy) | No of Plants | Chlorina | Xantha | Albina | Viridis | Lutescent | Striata | Virescent | TOTAL  | % Lethality | Mutation Frequency | Mutation Effectiveness | Mutation Efficiency |
|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|-------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Dinat     | 100       | 46           | 2        | 3      | 2      | 1       | 0         | 0       | 1         | 9      | 34.33       | 19.57              | 1.96                   | 57                  |
|           | 200       | 42           | 2        | 5      | 1      | 2       | 1         | 1       | 2         | 14     | 42.34       | 33.33              | 1.67                   | 79                  |
|           | 400       | 44           | 4        | 6      | 3      | 3       | 2         | 3       | 3         | 24     | 54.67       | 54.55              | 1.36                   | 99                  |
|           | 500       | 41           | 6        | 8      | 5      | 4       | 3         | 4       | 4         | 34     | 66.67       | 82.93              | 1.66                   | 124                 |
|           | 0         | 0            | 0        | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0         | 0       | 0         | 0      | 0           | 0                  | 0                      | 0                   |
| Total     | 173       | 14           | 22       | 11     | 10     | 6       | 8         | 10      | 81        | 198.01 | 46.82       |                    | 24                     |                     |
| Jakah     | 100       | 38           | 2        | 4      | 2      | 0       | 0         | 2       | 0         | 10     | 38.67       | 26.32              | 2.63                   | 68                  |
|           | 200       | 42           | 4        | 6      | 3      | 1       | 0         | 2       | 0         | 16     | 43.67       | 38.09              | 1.91                   | 87                  |
|           | 400       | 47           | 5        | 6      | 4      | 3       | 1         | 2       | 0         | 21     | 52.34       | 44.68              | 1.12                   | 85                  |
|           | 500       | 44           | 6        | 7      | 5      | 5       | 2         | 3       | 1         | 29     | 69.00       | 65.91              | 1.32                   | 96                  |
|           | 0         | 0            | 0        | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0         | 0       | 0         | 0      | 0           | 0                  | 0                      | 0                   |
| Total     | 171       | 17           | 23       | 14     | 9      | 3       | 9         | 1       | 76        | 44.44  |             |                    |                        |                     |
| Jiw 1     | 100       | 32           | 4        | 4      | 3      | 0       | 0         | 1       | 0         | 12     | 39.34       | 37.5               | 3.75                   | 95                  |
|           | 200       | 38           | 4        | 5      | 4      | 1       | 0         | 2       | 2         | 18     | 40.67       | 47.37              | 2.37                   | 117                 |
|           | 400       | 41           | 5        | 6      | 5      | 3       | 1         | 3       | 3         | 26     | 66.33       | 63.42              | 1.59                   | 96                  |
|           | 500       | 40           | 5        | 6      | 5      | 4       | 2         | 4       | 5         | 31     | 69.00       | 77.5               | 1.55                   | 112                 |
|           | 0         | 0            | 0        | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0         | 0       | 0         | 0      | 0           | 0                  | 0                      | 0                   |
| Total     | 151       | 18           | 21       | 17     | 8      | 3       | 10        | 10      | 87        |        |             |                    |                        |                     |
| Jiw 2     | 100       | 38           | 2        | 3      | 1      | 0       | 0         | 1       | 0         | 7      | 40.00       | 18.42              | 1.84                   | 46                  |
|           | 200       | 40           | 3        | 3      | 2      | 1       | 0         | 2       | 1         | 12     | 37.00       | 30                 | 1.50                   | 81                  |
|           | 400       | 37           | 4        | 4      | 3      | 2       | 1         | 3       | 1         | 18     | 52.67       | 48.65              | 1.22                   | 92                  |
|           | 500       | 35           | 5        | 6      | 3      | 3       | 2         | 4       | 2         | 25     | 70.34       | 71.43              | 1.43                   | 102                 |
|           | 0         | 0            | 0        | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0         | 0       | 0         | 0      | 0           | 0                  | 0                      | 0                   |
| Total     | 150       | 14           | 16       | 9      | 6      | 3       | 10        | 4       | 62        |        |             |                    |                        |                     |
| Nkpowas   | 100       | 40           | 2        | 3      | 2      | 1       | 1         | -       | -         | 9      | 37.33       | 22.50              | 2.25                   | 60                  |
|           | 200       | 44           | 3        | 4      | 3      | 2       | 1         | -       | 1         | 14     | 42.34       | 31.82              | 1.59                   | 75                  |
|           | 400       | 41           | 4        | 4      | 5      | 3       | 2         | 1       | 1         | 20     | 64.67       | 48.78              | 1.22                   | 75                  |
|           | 500       | 43           | 5        | 6      | 5      | 4       | 3         | 2       | 2         | 27     | 70.67       | 62.79              | 1.26                   | 89                  |
|           | 0         | 0            | 0        | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0         | 0       | 0         | 0      | 0           | 0                  | 0                      | 0                   |
| Total     | 168       | 14           | 17       | 15     | 10     | 7       | 3         | 4       | 70        |        |             |                    |                        |                     |

**DISCUSSION**

Induced mutation was reported by Bartley *et al.* (1994) to be useful in the genetic control of carotenoid bio-synthesis in certain plants like *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Lycopersicon esculentum*, *Zea mays* and other species of plants. The whole range of chlorophyll mutation occurred due to the deficiency in either: chlorophylls, carotenoids or combination of both; resulting from mutation in a number of loci as reported by Adamu (1992) or in plastid genes causing variegation as reported by Kirk and Tilmey Basset (1978). Monogenic inherited sub-lethal changes such as albina, xantha or alboviridis-chlorophyll mutations in barley, spectabilis in *Antirrhinum majus* or anthocyanin factor in pea when heterozygous was reported by Maluszynski *et al.* (2001) to surpass the original pure line and express significant hybrid vigor. However, the presence of high frequency of Xanthas is in conformity with the findings of Bara *et al.* (2017) who reported similar result in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) but contradicts the findings of Umavathi and Mullainathan (2016) who reported the highest frequency of Viridis among gamma rays induced mutants of chickpea. Similarly, Kumari *et al.* (2016) reported increased in the frequency of chlorophyll deficient mutants in sesame with increased in the dose of gamma rays but with Chlorina as the most predominant. Chlorophyll deficient mutants may have certain deficiencies emanating from alteration/suppression of photosynthesis

pigments. This may include the survival rates of plants and their germination rates due to alteration in the quality and/or quantity of photosynthates to be formed. The higher mutagenic effectiveness in 100 Gy treated plants is in conformity with the findings of Sidhya and Pandit (2015) who reported similar result among gamma rays induced mutants of snake gourd (*Trichosanthes anguina* L.). The high mutagenic efficiency of higher gamma rays dose (500 Gy) could be attributed to the magnitude of damage induced in M<sub>1</sub> generation genes. This finding is in line with that of Sharma *et al.* (2006) in Urdbean.

Artificial induction of mutation using gamma rays in crop plants have displayed significant role in the improvement of their growth and yield attributes. The increased in growth and yield components of fonio due to low doses of gamma irradiation is in conformity with the findings of Yadav *et al.* (2016) who reported significant improvements in growth and yield attributes among low doses induced-mutants of *Zea mays*. The decreased in the germination percentages of fonio with increase in the dose of gamma rays is in line with the findings of Kiong *et al.* (2008) who reported decreased in seed germination with increasing radiation dose as high doses of gamma radiation induce chromosomal damage that interferes with germination process by probably reducing growth regulators such as cytokinins by breaking them down or inhibits their synthesis. Low doses of gamma rays therefore were found to

induce growth stimulation signals by increasing the antioxidative ability of cells or by changing the hormonal signaling in plants as stressed by Ali *et al.* (2016).

Reduction in plant heights in fonio due to gamma irradiation was similar to the previous findings by Toker *et al.* (2005) who reported decrease in shoot length at high dose. However, disparity in the effects of gamma radiation on plant growth was reported by Melki and Marouani (2010). The induced reduction in plant height caused by gamma rays could be attributed to the ability of gamma rays to interrupt the metabolic pathway as stressed by Esnault *et al.* (2010) and Vandenhove *et al.* (2010) thereby slowing growth process. But Marcu *et al.* (2013) reported a contrary finding reporting growth stimulation by the effect of a low dose of gamma rays. However, the significant improvement in fonio yield due to low gamma rays exposure reported by the present study is in conformity with the work of Tshilenge-Lukanda *et al.* (2013) who reported similar observation among gamma rays induced mutants of groundnut. It therefore implies that it is possible to improve yield components of economic plants using a gamma dose of 100 Gy. This finding is in conformity with the work of Mokobia *et al.* (2006) in *Zea mays* and Arachis hypogaea, Rahimi and Bahrani (2011) in *Brassica napus*, Kara *et al.* (2016) in *Glycine max* and Suresh *et al.* (2017) in *Phaseolus lunatus*. More so, Mudibu *et al.* (2010) reported a result that was slight different from the finding of this research as they recorded highest grain yield increase in soy- bean among 200 Gy gamma irradiated mutants. Similar results have been reported by Kumar and Ratnam (2010) in sunflower. However, the findings of this study are almost similar to that of Animasaun *et al.* (2014) who reported 80 Gy gamma ray dose as low dosage form of gamma irradiation using cobalt (60) that could be utilized to increase variability and yield in *Digitaria exilis* but is contrary to the findings of Khan *et al.* (2005) who reported a significant increase of chick-pea grain yield using gamma irradiation at 600 Gy. The 100 Gy dose of gamma rays radiation is important for inducing genetic variation that can lead to genetic improvement among mutants.

### Conclusion

It was concluded that seven spectrums of chlorophyll deficient mutants were induced by gamma irradiations and 100 Gy is the most effective producing higher yield. Similarly accession Nkpawas response to the mutagens treatments is better. It was also found that the effects of the mutagen were dose dependent, decrease with increase in the radiation. Thus, 100 Gy is found to be the best dose for fonio improvement by induced mutagenesis using gamma rays.

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to show their gratitude to the Department of Botany, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria for the opportunity given to them to perform the experiment, The Plant Breeding and Molecular Biology Laboratory IAEA and Acha Research Department, NCRIBEN for their assistance.

### REFERENCES

Abbas, I.I. and Arigbede, Y.A. (2012). Green area mapping of Ahmadu Bello University Main Campus, Zaria, Nigeria using remote sensing (Rs) and geographic information system (Gis) techniques. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*, 5(10): 287-292,  
 Adamu, A.K. (1992). Irradiation induced mutation in popcorn (*Zea mays* var. *Praecox sturt*). An M. Sc thesis (Unpublished).

Department of Biological Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. 1-130 pp.  
 Adamu, A.K., Chung, S.S. and Abubakar, S. (2004). The effect of ionizing radiation (Gamma rays) on tomato (s.n.). *Nigerian Journal of Experimental Biology*, 5(2):185-193.  
 Ahloowalia, B.S. and Maluszynski, M. (2001). Induced mutation: A new paradigm in plant breeding. *Euphytica*, 118:167-173.  
 Ali, H., Ghori, Z., Sheikh, S. and Gul, A. (2016). *Effects of Gamma Radiation on Crop Production*. Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 27-50 pp.  
 Animasaun, D.A., Morakinyo, J.A. and Mustapha, O.T. (2014). Assessment of the effects of gamma irradiation on the growth and yield of *Digitaria exilis* [Haller]. *Journal of Applied BioScience*, 75: 6164-6172.  
 Bara, B.M., Chaurasia, A.K. and Verma, P. (2017). Gamma rays effect on frequency and spectrum of chlorophyll mutation in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6(3): 590-591.  
 Barikmo, I. and Ouattara, F. (2004). *Food Composition Table for Mali*. Oslo, Norway: Institut National de Recherche en Sante' Publique, Akershus University College.  
 Bartley, G.E., Scolnik, P.A. and Giulio, G. (1994). Molecular biology of carotenoid biosynthesis. *Annual Review in Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, 45: 287-301.  
 CIRAD/French Agricultural Research Centre for International Development (2004). Fonio: An African cereal crop. <http://www.cirad.fr/en>. Accessed 14th July, 2015.  
 Esnault, M.A., Legue, F. and Chenal, C. (2010). Ionizing radiation: advances in plant response. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 68(3): 231-237.  
 Gustafsson, A. (1940). . The mutation system of the chlorophyll apparatus. *Lunds. Univ. Arsskr.* 36: 1-40.  
 Ibrahim, A. (2001). Hungry Rice (Fonio): A neglected cereal crop. *NAQAS Newsletter* 1(4): 4-5.  
 Jideani, I.A. (1999). Traditional and possible technological uses of *Digitaria exilis* (fonio) and *Digitaria iburu* (iburu): A review. *Plant Foods and Human Nutrition*, 54:363-374.  
 Kara, Y., Vaizoğullar, H. and Kuru, A. (2016). Gamma radiation effects on crude oil yield of some soybean seeds: Functional properties and chemical composition of *Glycine max*-ataem-7 seeds. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Resesearch*, 15 (12): 2579-2585.  
 Khan, S., Wani, M.R., Bhat, M. and Parveen, K. (2005). Induced chlorophyll mutations in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 7: 764-767.  
 Khin, T. (2006). Rice mutation breeding for varieties improvement in Myanmar. *Plant Mutation Reports*, 1(1):34-36.  
 Kiong, P., Ling, A., Lai, A.G., Hussein, S. and Harun, A.R. (2008). Physiological responses of Orthosiphon stamineus plantlets to gamma irradiation. *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 2(2)  
 Kirk, J.T.O. and Tilmey-Basset, R.A.E. (1978). The plastids. Their chemistry, structure, growth and inheritance. 2 nd ed. Elsevier/ North Holland, Amsterdam.  
 Kumar, P.R.S. and Ratnam, S.V. (2010). Mutagenic Effectiveness and Efficiency in Varieties of unflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) by Separate and Combined Treatment with Gamma-Rays and Sodium Azide. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 9(39): 6517-6521.  
 Kumari, V., Chaudhary, H.K., Prasad, R., Kumar, A., Singh, A., Jambhulkar, S. and Sanju, S. (2016). Frequency and

- Spectrum of Mutations induced by Gamma radiations and Ethyl methane sulphonate in Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Scientia Agricola*, 14 (3), 2016: 270-278.
- Kwon-Ndung, E.H. and Misari, S.M. (1999). Overview of research and development of fonio (*Digitaria exilis* Kippis Stapf) and prospects for genetic improvement in Nigeria. In: *Genetics and Food Security in Nigeria*. Genetic Society of Nigeria Publication, Nigeria, 71-76 pp.
- Maluszynski, M. (1990). Gene manipulation in plant improvement. Vol. 2.
- Maluszynski, M., Szarejko, I., Barriga, P. and Balcerzyk, A. (2001). Heterosis in crop mutant crosses and production of high yielding lines, using doubled haploid systems. *Euphytica*. 120: 387-398.
- Marcu, D., Cristea, V. and Darban, L. (2013). Dose-dependent effects of gamma radiation on lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* var. capitata) seedlings. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, 89 (2013) 219–223.
- Melki, M. and Marouni, A. (2009). Effects of gamma rays irradiation on seeds germination and growth of hard wheat. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 10.1007/s 10311-0090222-1.
- Mokobia, C.E., Okpakorese, E.M. Analogbei, C. and Agbonwanegbe, J. (2006). Effect of gamma irradiation on the grain yield of Nigerian *Zea mays* and *Arachis hypogaea*. *Journal of Radiological Protection*, 26(4):23.
- Mudibu, J., Nkongolo, K.K., Kalonji-Mbuyi, A. and Kizungu, R. (2010). Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Morpho-Agronomic Characteristics of Soybeans (*Glycine max* L.). *American Journal of Plant Science*. 3(3): 331-337.
- NAERLS/National Agricultural Extension, Research and Liason Services (2012); Crop Production Training Manual for Agriculture Extension Workers. The U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). 1-37 pp.
- Naik, P.M. and Murthy, H.N. (2009). The effects of gamma and ethyl methane sulphonate treatments on agronomical traits of niger (*G. abyssinica* Cass.). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8(18): 4459-4464.
- Pathirana, R., Wijithawarna, W.A., Jagoda, K. and Ranawaka, A.L. (2002). Selection of rice for iron toxicity tolerance through irradiated caryopsis. *Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture*, 70: 83-90.
- Patil, M., Thakur, S.R., Singh, K.P. and Thakur, A. Frequency and spectrum of chlorophyll mutations and induced variability in ricebean (*Vigna umbellata* Thunb, Ohwi and Ohashi). *Legume Res.*, 40 (1) (2017) 39-46
- Rahimi, M.M. and Bahrani, A. (2011). Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Qualitative and Quantitative Characteristics of Canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 8 (2): 519-525.
- Sasikala, S. and Kamala, T. (1988). Mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of gamma rays on four gingelly cultivars. *Indian Journal of Botany*, 11(2): 118-122.
- Sharma, A.K., Singh, V.P. and Singh, R.M. (2006). Efficiency and Effectiveness of the gamma rays, EMS and their combination in Urd bean. *Indian Journal of Pulses Research*, 19(1): 111-112.
- Sidhya, P. and Pandit, M.K. (2015). Mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of gamma rays in snake gourd (*Trichosanthes anguina* L.). *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 7 (2): 649 – 651.
- Suresh, D., Poonguzhali, S., Sridharan, S. and Rajangam, J. (2017). Determination of Lethal Dose for Gamma Rays Induced Mutagenesis in Butter Bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L) Variety KKL-1. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(3): 712-717.
- Toker, C., Uzen, B., Canci, H. and Ceylan, F.O. (2005). Effects of gamma irradiation on the shoot length of *Cicer* seeds. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 73: 365-367.
- Tshilenge-Lukanda, L., Kalonji-Mbuyi, A., Nkongolo, K.K.C. and Kizungu, R.V. (2013). Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Morpho-Agronomic Characteristics of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *American Journal of Plant Science*, 4: 2186-2192.
- Umavathi, S. and Mullainathan, L. (2016). Induced mutagenesis in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) with special reference to the frequency and spectrum of chlorophyll mutations. *Journal of Applied and Advanced Research*, 1(1) 2016 49–53
- Vandenhove, H., Vanhoudt, N., Cuyper, A., Van Hees, M., Wannijn, J. and Horemans, N. (2010). Life-cycle chronic gamma exposure of *Arabidopsis thaliana* induces growth effects but no discernible effects on oxidative stress pathways. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 48: 778–786.
- Vodouhe, S.R. and Diallo, A.T. (2004). Promoting fonio production in West and Central Africa through germplasm management and improvement of post harvest technology. IPGRI Office for West and Central Africa(2004). [http://www.underutilizedspecies.org/fonio\\_rapport](http://www.underutilizedspecies.org/fonio_rapport) final. Retrieved January 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017.
- Vodouhe, R.S., Achigan Dako, G.E., Dansi, A., Adoukonou-Sagbadja, H. (2007). Fonio. A treasure for West Africa. In *Plant genetic resources and food security in West and Central Africa*. Regional Conference, Ibadan, Nigeria, 219-222 pp.
- Yadav, A, Singh, B. and Singh, R. (2016). Response of gamma irradiation on plant growth and yield of maize. *International Journal of Advanced Technology in Engineering and Sciences*, 4(3): 300.
- Zhu, X.D., Chen, H.Q. and Shan, J.X. (2006). Nuclear techniques for rice improvement and mutant induction in China National Rice Research Institute. *Plant Mutation Reports*, 1:25-28