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Feller (1968) stated that the mathematical theory of probability gains practical value and an intuitive meaning in connection with real or conceptual experiments such as tossing a coin 100 times, throwing three dice, frequency of accidents, or determining from a group of people those with the same birthdays. All these descriptions are rather vague, and, in order to render the theory meaningful, we have to agree on what we mean by possible results of experiment or observation in question.

Consider an experiment of determining from a group of people, the probability of two people in the group having the same birthday. We will start by first assuming that there are 365 days in a year if leap years are neglected. This assumption is good enough as it takes four years before a leap year is realized and on condition that such a year is also not divisible by 100. Secondly, that each day has an equal chance of being a birthday. If we have for instance a group of say 370 people, it will be certain (a probability of one) that at least two people will have the same birthday since there are only 365 possible birthdays to go around. However, if on the other hand, there were only 2 people in the group, the chances that those 2 people share the same birthday to be quite small (a probability close to 0). But when the number in a group increases upward such that the product of any two of them is very small, for example with replacement from a population of size n will result in n possible ways. In order to obtain the probability of the event that no element appears twice (equivalent to sampling without replacement we obtain a permutation r, that is \( ^nP_r \). If we assume that all arrangements have equal probability, we obtain the probability of no repetition in our sample is

\[
P(X = r) = \frac{n(n-1)...(n-r+1)}{n^r} \quad \text{... (1)}
\]

Such that for our birthday problem we assume that the years are of equal length that is n=365 days neglecting the February 29 of leap years. Secondly we assume that the birth rates are constant throughout the year. We can then obtain the probability that all r birthdays are different equals

\[
P(x = r) = \frac{365^r}{365^r} = \frac{365}{365} \cdot \frac{364}{365} \cdot \frac{363}{365} \cdot ... \frac{365-(r-1)}{365} \quad \text{... (2)}
\]

which can be expressed as

\[
P(x = r) = \frac{365^r}{365^r} = (1 - \frac{1}{365})(1 - \frac{2}{365})(1 - \frac{3}{365})... (1 - \frac{(r-1)}{365}) \quad \text{... (3)}
\]

\[
p = 1 - \frac{365^r}{365^r} = 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{365})(1 - \frac{2}{365})(1 - \frac{3}{365})... (1 - \frac{(r-1)}{365}) \quad \text{... (4)}
\]

Equation 4 depicts the probability that at least two people share a birthday and so we use small p for the case of sharing the same birthday. We can derive a good numerical approximation to p when the r is small. This can be done by neglecting all cross products (what this means is that 1/365 through (r-1)/365 are small such that the product of any two of them is very small, for example...
$$\frac{1}{365} \times \left( \frac{r-1}{365} \right), \text{which is a small value.}$$

In essence

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - a_i) \approx 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i \quad \ldots (5)$$

That is neglecting terms shown in Equations 6 to 8

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-2} \sum_{i < j}^{r-1} a_i a_j \quad \ldots (6)$$

which is positive in value

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-3} \sum_{i < j}^{r-2} \sum_{i < k}^{r-1} a_i a_j a_k \quad \ldots (7)$$

negative in value

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-4} \sum_{i < j}^{r-3} \sum_{i < k}^{r-2} \sum_{i < l}^{r-1} a_i a_j a_k a_l \quad \ldots (8)$$

positive in value and the like. By this we will have that

$$P(x = r) \approx 1 - \left[ 1 + 2 + 3 + \ldots + \left( \frac{r-1}{365} \right) \right] / 365$$

$$= 1 - r(r-1)/(2(365)) \quad \ldots (9)$$

Since the sum of the first \( r-1 \) terms in a series in Arithmetic Progression is easily derivable to be \( (r-1)r/2 \). This implies that the probability that at least two people in a group of \( r \) people will have the same birthday will be \( p = r(r-1)/(2(365)) \)

Suppose we would like to know the maximum \( r \) such that \( p < 1/2 \) (case of median). Since there are 365 possible birthdays, it will be tempting to suggest that we would need just about half this number, which is 183. However, we require \( r = 23 \) for the above to happen (Feller, 1968; Ross, 1976; Snell, 1987). To show this, we observed the probability \( p \) using Equation 2 that in a group of \( r \) people \( r \neq 23 \) people, there is no duplication of birthdays, will be less than one half, that is

$$\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{365 \times P_{23}}{365} = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{365} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{365} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3}{365} \right) \ldots \left( 1 - \frac{r-1}{365} \right)$$

and taking logs of both sides and using the fact that \( \log(1-x) = -x \) for small \( x \), we have

$$\log(2) \leq 1/365 + 2/365 + \ldots + (r-1)/365 = r(r-1)/730 \text{ which has a solution } r = 23.$$  

Equations 3 and 9 give close results that for a given number people having different birthdays. For example when \( r = 10 \) Equation 3 gives \( p = 0.883 \) but Equation 9 gives \( p = 0.877 \), a difference in value of 0.014.

However when \( r \) is becoming larger an approximation can be obtained by Equation 11.

$$\log(p) \approx \left( 1 + 2 + 3 + \ldots + (r-1) \right) / 365 = r(r-1)/730 \quad \ldots (11)$$

Such that for \( r = 30 \) the Equation 11 gives the probability of 0.3037 where as by Equation 3 \( p = 0.294 \). A better approximation when \( r \) is becoming bigger is we increase more terms of the expansion, e.g., pair cross products, triple cross products etc.

**ERROR ANALYSIS**

Our concern will be to see how the approximation model will tend to differ from the theoretical probability model. We will study the situation that at least two people will share a given birthday, that is to say a given number people will not all have different birthdays. The diagram in Fig. 1 shows how Equations 4 (the birthday model) \( p_t \) and Equation 10 (the approximation model) \( p_a \) display the probabilities that for a given
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number of people with at least two of them sharing a birthday and the error incurred $d_1$ as a result of the approximation. The approximation in Equation 10 that is $p_2$ is good enough when dealing with groups of people below 16. Fig. 2 shows that as the number of people in a group becomes bigger, it will require that we increase more terms of the expansion in Equation 4 for a better approximation, that is it will require us to consider Equations 5, 6, 7, etc. Table 1 displays the various results under different number of people in a group. The probability $p_1$ indicates that obtained due to Equation 4, $p_2$ that due to Equation 10 when taking into consideration Equation 5, we obtain probability $p_3$ as

$$p_3 \approx p_2 - \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{i}{365} \cdot \frac{j}{365}$$

and $p_4$ as

$$p_4 \approx p_3 + \sum_{i=0}^{r-3} \sum_{j=1}^{r-2} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{i}{365} \cdot \frac{j}{365} \cdot \frac{k}{365}$$

while the differences (errors) of these $p$ values from $p_1$ values are $d_1 = p_2 - p_1$, $d_2 = p_3 - p_1$, $d_3 = p_4 - p_1$.

The models were coded in Pascal programming language in order to generate Table 1. The data in Table 1 were used to obtain Figs. 1 and 2 using Microsoft Excel. The program is presented as

```pascal
Begin
  clrscr;
  writeln;
  r:=2;
  writeln(‘r p1 p2 p3 p4 d1 d2 d3’);
  writeln(’------------------------------------------------’);
  Repeat
    c:=1; w:=0; s:=0; t:=0;
    for i:=365-r+1 to 365 do
      c:=c*i/365;
      p1:=1-c;
      p2:=r*(r-1)/730;
    for j:=2 to r-1 do
      for i:=1 to j-1 do
        s:=s+(i/365*j/365);
    for k:=3 to r-1 do
      for j:=2 to k-1 do
        for i:=1 to j-1 do
          t:=t+(i/365*j/365*k/365);
    for v:=4 to r-1 do
      for k:=3 to v-1 do
        for j:=2 to k-1 do
          for i:=1 to j-1 do
            b:=b+(i/365*j/365*k/365*v/365);
      p3:=p2-s; p4:=p3+t; d1:=p2-p1; d2:=p1-p3; d3:=p4-p1;
    r:=r+1;
    until r=m;
  end.
```

From Table 1 and Fig. 2, if we do not want to incur an error (difference between $p_1$ with others) of not more than .05, we will not wish to use $p_2$ as explained above when the number in a group does exceed 16. While for $p_3$ the number should not exceed 23. For $p_4$ the number should not exceed 29. The $p_2$ estimate fails to estimate well when the number in a group becomes large as for example 28 we see that the probability exceeds 1, which is outrageous. This is so because the product $r(r-1) = (28)(27)$ exceeds 730. $p_3$ never exceeds 1, but that it starts to decline when the number in a group is more than 28 which fails to approximate $p_1$. $p_4$ is better of the estimates, though it also exceeds probability of 1 when the number in a group exceeds 35. The $d_3$s' are quite smaller in value depicting how good the estimate $p_4$ of $p_1$. The $d_1$s' successively increases to more than 1 when the number in a group goes to beyond 40 due to the reason given of $p_2$ above.
Fig. 1 PROBABILITY OF AT LEAST TWO PEOPLE SHARING SAME BIRTHDAY

Fig. 2 PROBABILITY OF AT LEAST TWO PEOPLE SHARING A BIRTHDAY
From the above work, it is realized that the fewer the people in a group the greater the chance that they are born on different days of the year. When number of people in a group is as small as 23, the probability that at least two of them share a birthday is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$. This shows that we do not need have half the days of the year to attend the probability $\frac{1}{2}$. The approximation model gives good estimates when the number in a group is small, but requires more terms of the expansion when the number in a group becomes large.
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