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#### Abstract

Background: Cervical cancer (CC) is the leading cause of death from cancer among women in developing countries.

Objectives: The study assessed the effects of an educational package on the knowledge of female undergraduates (FUs) on CC and its prevention using the Pap smear. Study Design: A quasi experimental design was adopted. Two universities in South west Nigeria was used. Forty subjects who were sexually active were recruited from each university. Instruments used were an educational package and a semi structured questionnaire. The experimental subjects were given access to free Pap smear as a preventive measure. Data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics and test. Ethical clearance was taken from the institutions while informed consent was taken from each subject.

Results: The results showed that $10 \%$ of experimental and $17.5 \%$ of the control subjects had good knowledge of CC and its prevention at pre-intervention. At post intervention, $92.5 \%$ of the experimental and $35 \%$ of the control group had good knowledge. During the intervention, $42.5 \%$ of experimental had Pap's Smear. Of these subjects that had Pap smear, $47 \%$ had abnormal results that required cytology and further investigation. At $\mathrm{p}=0.001$, there was a significant difference in the mean scores of both groups.

Conclusion: The introduction of a health education package and provision of Pap smear significantly improved the knowledge of FUs on CC and their uptake of Pap smear. It is recommended that health education on CC and prevention using HPV vaccines and Pap smear be given to University students.
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## INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer (CC) is one of the reproductive health problems faced by women worldwide. It is the second most common form of cancer in women and the leading female cancer in subSaharan Africa, Central and South America and Southeast Asia ${ }^{1}$. It was once among the leading causes of death in developed countries like America, United Kingdom and South Africa ${ }^{1}$ However, incidence of invasive cervical cancer
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have declined steadily over the years in these countries. This decline is primarily attributed to increased use of Papanicolaou (Pap) Smear, a screening test procedure that detects cervical changes (pre-cancerous) before cancer develops. Most CC develops slowly in the lining of the cervix and could take years (at most ten years) for the pre-cancerous lesions to develop into invasive $\mathrm{CC}^{2}$. This interval could be shortened depending on the overall health status of the affected woman. The exact cause of cancer of the cervix is not clearly defined but it has been linked strongly with Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) subtypes ${ }^{2,3}$ HPV infects the cells of the cervix to produce pre cancerous lesions. Above ninety-nine percent (99.7\%) of CC cases are attributable to HPV and approximately $6 \%$ are associated with multiple HPV infections ${ }^{3}$. HPV subtypes 16 and 18 are responsible for $70 \%$ of CC but most current methods for detection of cervical lesions do not assign causal HPV type ${ }^{4}$.

Global statistics in 2008 indicated that there were 10.9 million new cases, 6.7 million deaths, and 24.6 million persons living with cancer worldwide ${ }^{5}$. Of these, CC accounted for 371,000 cancers and is the third most common type of cancer after lung and breast cancers respectively. It is the leading cause of death from cancer among women in the developing countries ${ }^{6}$. In Africa the estimates indicate that 78,897 women are diagnosed every year and 61,671 die from the disease ${ }^{7}$. In recent times, statistics available have shown that in Nigeria, the estimated incidence rate of CC is 25 per 100,000 women; with an estimated 8000 new cases of CC diagnosed in the country each year. Shockingly, less than 0.1 per cent of Nigerian women have ever had CC screening in their
lifetime and less than 1 per cent is aware of the existence of this silent killer? ${ }^{7}$. Consequently, CC kills a woman every hour in the country. The World Health Organization (WHO) projects a 25 per cent increase over the next decade in the absence of widespread interventions. Unfortunately, according to WHO estimates, Nigeria has a population of 40.43 million women aged 15 years and older who are at risk of developing CC. Current estimates indicate that every year 14,550 women are diagnosed with CC and 9659 die from the disease ${ }^{6,8}$. Reports have shown that young teenagers are among the victims of CC and women are affected at a time of life when they are critical to social and economic stability. Prevention is an essential strategy in cancer management. Therefore, an intervention programme aimed at the prevention of CC among undergraduate students is a major approach to the prevention of CC.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A quasi-experimental design was adopted using female undergraduate students (FUs) residing at the Queen's hall, University of Ibadan, Ibadan as control group and those at the Moremi hall of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife as experimental group. Ethical clearance for this study was taken from the Institutional Review Board of Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospital complex (OAUTHC) Ile Ife. Permission to conduct the study was also taken from the Dean of Student Affairs of each institution. Verbal and written consents were taken from each subject. A purposive sampling was used to select a sample of 40 subjects each in the experimental and control groups from the selected institutions based on the following criteria; the subjects were sexually active,
resident in the University halls of residence and were willing to participate in the study. Two instruments were used for the study; a self administered questionnaire and an educational package. The questionnaire is semi structured with 20 items that asked knowledge questions on CC and its prevention. In scoring the items, 1 mark was given to every correct response. The scores were later coded as 'good knowledge' and 'poor knowledge'. All scores below 12 were considered 'poor' while those above 12 were considered 'good'. The educational package contains content on (i) anatomy of the cervix, (ii) causes, signs and symptoms of cervical cancer and (iii) preventive strategies- safe sex/ abstinence, vaccines and the Pap smear.

There were three phases in the study. A preintervention phase, an intervention phase and a post- intervention phase. The Pretest phase: the questionnaire was administered to subjects in the two groups. The completed questionnaires were collected back immediately and analyzed. The educational package was developed during this phase. This was done in the first two weeks of the study. At intervention phase: The educational package was used to educate the experimental subjects over three sessions for a period of two weeks. As part of the educational programme, the subjects were allowed to have free Pap smear done at the OAUTHC, Ile Ife. The result was communicated to the subjects that volunteered to undertake the Pap smear and those with abnormal results were referred for further investigation and management. Post intervention phase: The semi structured questionnaire used at pre intervention was used to assess the knowledge of participants in both groups at the end of 12 weeks. Data collected was fed into Statistical Package for Social

Sciences (SPSS) software version 17.0 and analyzed using both descriptive and test.

## RESULTS

All the subjects that participated in the study were university undergraduates, singles and sexually active and of a mean age of 18.8 years $\pm 0.55$ (experimental group) and 16.4 years $\pm 0.58$. (Control group). The socio demographic characteristics of the participants in the two groups are in table 1 . The results further revealed that $10 \%$ of the experimental group and $17.5 \%$ in the control group had good knowledge of CC and its prevention at pre- intervention. At post intervention, $92.5 \%$ of the experimental group and $35 \%$ of control had good knowledge of CC and its prevention. Using the t test, there was no significant difference in the mean scores of the experimental and control groups at pretest with $\mathrm{t}=1.09 \mathrm{df}=78$ at $\mathrm{P}=0.28$. At the post test, there was a significant difference in mean scores of the two groups with $\mathrm{t}=3.38 \mathrm{df}=78$ and $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ in their knowledge of CC and its prevention. Also, there was a significant difference in the mean scores between the pre test and post test scores of the experimental group with $\mathrm{t}=-7.91 \mathrm{df}=39$ and $\mathrm{p}=0.001$. Using Pap smear as a preventive strategy, only $10 \%$ of the control group and none of the experimental group had had Pap Smear prior to pre intervention. During the intervention, $42.5 \%$ of experimental group and none from the control group had Pap smear. The Pap smear results of the experimental group revealed $53 \%$ had normal Pap smear, negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy while $47 \%$ had abnormal pap smear that require cytology and further investigation.

## DISCUSSION

Our study revealed that at pre intervention, these undergraduate students had very low knowledge on CC. This low level of knowledge on CC was also reported by Nnodu et al ${ }^{9}$ and Mbamar et $\mathrm{al}^{10}$ in other Nigerian studies. The low level of knowledge demonstrated by these subjects throws a lot of challenges as they are all sexually active. HPV is a very common sexually transmitted virus that has a high correlation with cervical cancer. When the infection persists in $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ of infected women there is high risk of developing precancerous lesions of the cervix, which can progress to invasive cervical cancer ${ }^{11}$. Therefore these subjects are pre disposed to CC. Primary prevention of cervical cancer with HPV vaccines as well as secondary prevention with screening with Pap smear have been advocated as preventive measures for CC. Having personal knowledge regarding the link between HPV and CC will encourage FUs to decide on use of preventive measures. Evidences have shown that Pap smear and vaccination against HPV prevent and reduce the adverse outcome of cervical cancer ${ }^{12,13,14,15}$.

At post intervention, there was statistically significant difference between the post test scores of the experimental and control groups on their knowledge on CC and its prevention. This study has demonstrated that subjects in the experimental group benefitted from the intervention exposed to them through the educational package and free Pap smear screening. This was evidenced by improved level of knowledge and willingness of some of the subjects to go for Pap smear test during intervention. Many studies have advocated for the use of educational campaigns to help in the
prevention of CC and this study has confirmed that when education is coupled with provision of free Pap smear, there is an increased compliance to cervical screening. The Pap smear has been credited with reducing deaths from CC over recent decades. A Canadian report revealed that the fact that Pap smear-based screening is offered to women in Canada beginning at age 18 until age 70 has contributed significantly to a reduction in CC mortality of almost $50 \%{ }^{15}$. Therefore primary prevention measures, vaccination against HPV and screening, should be initiated and expanded to reduce morbidity from cervical cancer in Nigeria. Health education strategies / campaigns should be geared towards young women on the need for taking HPV vaccines and having the Pap smear. It has been suggested that the development of a comprehensive CC screening strategy will improve participation in the pap smear test as Pap smear, still remains the test accepted globally as the procedure for early detection and treatment of premalignant stages of cervical cancer. (NIH, 1996). ${ }^{16}$ While the benefits of Pap smear have been highlighted, Ayinde et al ${ }^{17}$ have identified some inhibiting factors to the acceptance of Pap smear. These are personal factors such as fear of the procedure, cultural or religious factors, lack of awareness of centers where such services are obtainable, ignorance of the importance of screening and the risk factors to the development of cervical cancer. These inhibiting factors must be appreciated in any strategy for educating young people in the use of Pap smear for prevention. Although the preventive vaccines currently under study have been found to be generally safe when given to women who are already infected with HPV, it is important for women to know that the vaccines protect against infection, and provide maximum
benefit, for a woman who is vaccinated before she is sexually active. This is because these vaccines do not treat infections but has the benefits of CC prevention in females and the prevention of warts in both males and females. Nigeria could learn from nations like Vietnam who has considered incorporating HPV vaccine with the expanded programme on immunization ${ }^{13}$. There is a need to increase awareness and incorporate cervical screening and HPV vaccines into the pre-existing university health services.

## CONCLUSION

The introduction of a health education package to FUs significantly improved their knowledge of CC and its prevention and their uptake of Pap smear. It is therefore recommended that programmes on CC and its prevention be planned and implemented at Nigerian universities. Such programme should include HPV vaccines and Pap smear. Future research should be carried on large scales targeting undergraduate students.

| Socio <br> Demographic <br> Variables | Experimental | Control Group | Total <br> Frequency <br> (\%) $\mathrm{N}=80$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Group | Frequency (\%) |  |
|  | Frequency (\%) | $\mathrm{N}=40$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}=40$ |  |  |  |
| Age | Mean age $=18.8$ | Mean age=16.4 |  |
| 15-19 | $\mathrm{SD}= \pm 0.55$ | $\mathrm{SD}= \pm 0.58$ | 35 (48.3) |
| 20-24 | 7 (17.5) | 28 (70.0) | 38 (47.5) |
| 25-29 | 28 (70.0) | 10 (25.0) | 7 (8.8) |
| Total | 5 (12.5) | 2 (5.0) | 80 (100.0) |
|  | 40 (100.0) | 40 (100.0) |  |
| Level of study |  |  |  |
| 100 level | 4 (10.0) | 25 (62.5) | 29 (36.3) |
| 200 level | 2 (5.0) | 4 (10.0) | 6 (7.5) |
| 300 level | 10 (25.0) | 6 (15.0) | 16 (20.0) |
| 400 level | 19 (47.5) | 4 (10.0) | 23 (28.8) |
| 500 level | 5 (12.5) | 1 (2.5) | 6 (7.5) |
| Total | 40 (100.0) | 40 (100.0) | 80 (100.0) |
| Discipline |  |  |  |
| Arts | 11 (27.5) | 8 (20.0) | 19 (23.8) |
| Socio sciences | 6 (15.0) | 0 (0.0) | 6 (7.5) |
| Sciences | 16 (40.0) | 14 (35.0) | 30 (37.5) |
| Technology | 5 (12.5) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (6.3) |
| Health Sciences | 2 (5.0) | 16 (40.0) | 18 (22.5) |
| Education | 0 (0.0) | 2 (2.5) | 2 (2.5) |
| Total | 40 (100.0) | 40 (100.0) | 80 (100.0) |


| Subjects level of | Experimental | Control Group | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledge on | Group | Frequency (\%) | Frequency (\%) |
| cervical cancer | Frequency (\%) | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | $\mathrm{N}=80$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=40$ |  |  |
| Good knowledge | 37 (92.5) | 14 (35.0) | 51 (63.8) |
| 60 \% -- 100\% |  |  |  |
| Poor knowledge | 3 (7.5) | 26 (65.0) | 29 (36.3) |
| 0\% --59\% |  |  |  |
| Total | 40 (100) | 40 (100) | 80 (100) |
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