Prevalence of *Listeria* species in some foods and their rapid identification
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**Abstract**

**Purpose:** To investigate the occurrence of *Listeria* spp., (particularly *L. monocytogenes*), in different foods and to compare diagnostic tools for their identification at species level.

**Methods:** Samples of high protein foods such as raw meats and meat products and including beef products, chicken, fish and camel milk were analysed for the presence of *Listeria* spp. The isolates were characterised by morphological and cultural analyses, and confirmed isolates were identified by protein profiling and verified using API *Listeria* system. Protein profiling by SDS-PAGE was also used to identify *Listeria* spp.

**Results:** Out of 40 meat samples, 14 (35 %) samples were contaminated with *Listeria* spp., with the highest incidence (50 %) occurring in raw beef products and raw chicken. Protein profiling by SDS-PAGE was used to identify *Listeria* spp. The results were verified with API *Listeria* system. Approximately 25 % of the identified isolates were *Listeria seeligeri*, *Listeria welshimeri*, and *Listeria grayi* (three positive samples), while 16.66 % of the isolates were *Listeria monocytogenes* (two positive samples); 16.6 % of the isolates were *Listeria innocua* (two positive samples), while 8.3 % of the isolates were *Listeria ivanovii* (one positive sample).

**Conclusion:** High protein foods contain different types of *Listeria* species; whole-cell protein profiles and API *Listeria* system can help in the identification of *Listeria* at the species level.

**Keywords:** *Listeria* spp, High protein food, Api *Listeria*, Protein profile

INTRODUCTION

*Listeria* is a Gram-positive rod-shaped bacterium measuring 0.4 - 0.5 by 0.5 - 2.0 μm, with rounded ends [1]. It is ubiquitously distributed in nature. These facultative anaerobic bacteria grow in psychrotropic, mesophilic environments and occasionally tolerate high temperatures as these may equally grow at low temperatures. These bacteria can be curved, forming single or short chains, often in a “V” shape. *Listeria monocytogenes* is motile due to one to five peritrichous flagella, which may be lost as the bacteria enter the human cell.

Filaments, ranging 6 - 20 μm in size may develop in old cultures. Older cultures may also stain irregularly. The genus *Listeria* includes 6 species: *L. monocytogenes*, *L. innocua*, *L. seeligeri*, *L. welshimeri*, *L. ivanovii*, and *L. grayi*. *L. monocytogenes* is considered the only pathogenic species, but scientists have reported three cases of human infection caused by *Listeria ivanovii* [2,3] and one case of infection caused by *Listeria seeligeri* [4]. Nevertheless,
among the six species, *L. monocytogenes* is the only species considered to be of public health [5,6].

*L. monocytogenes* cause severe in immunocompromised individuals such as pregnant women. In pregnant women listeriosis may cause abortion, fetal death, or neonatal morbidity in the form of septicemia and meningitis [7]. The mortality rate for infants born alive approaches 20 %, and the frequency of abortion and stillbirth increases the overall mortality rate to more than 40 % [7]. The presence of any *Listeria* spp, in foods is an indication of poor hygiene, and increases the probability of presence of *L. monocytogenes*. In Brazil, the occurrence of *Listeria* spp. was widely demonstrated in vegetables [8], shrimp (9), beef, milk and dairy products [10,11]; sewage [12] and soil [13].

*Listeria* spp. is widespread in the environment, but only *L. monocytogenes* is considered to be a significant human and animal pathogen [16]. Few studies have reported the incidence of the *Listeria* spp. in meat products in Saudi Arabia. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the occurrence of *Listeria* spp., particularly *L. monocytogenes*, in raw camel milk and raw meat products, including beef products, chicken, and fish. Whole-cell protein profiles of presumptive *Listeria* isolates were compared with the reference strains *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 and *L. innocua* ATCC 33090 on SDS page. High degree of similarity within standard strain was confirmed by biochemical identification using an API *Listeria* biotyping identification system.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

A total of 40 food samples, including raw beef products (10), raw chicken (10), raw fish (10) and raw camel milk (10) were obtained from a wholesale poultry market located in the northern part of Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia. The samples were transported at refrigeration temperature to the Food Microbiology Laboratory, College of Food and Agricultural Sciences, King Saud University.

**Detection and isolation procedure**

*Listeria* spp. were isolated from samples using cotton swabs and directly inoculated in test tubes containing 10 ml of *Listeria*-selective enrichment broth base (Oxoid, CM0862, Oxoid Limited, United Kingdom) supplied with selective enrichment supplements (Oxoid, SR0141). The culture was incubated at 37 °C for 24 h.

A single loop of bacteria was obtained from the enrichment broth and streaked onto *Listeria*-selective agar media (Oxoid, CM0856) containing selective supplements (Oxoid, SR0140). The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 - 48 h. Colonies morphologically resembling *Listeria* spp. were submitted for confirmatory examinations using Gram staining. The bacteria were further subjected to protein extraction using different enzymes.

**Preparation of cell extract**

An overnight culture of *Listeria* isolates was inoculated into fresh medium (Brain heart infusion broth, Oxoid, CM1135) and grown to an optical density (OD-620) of 0.6 to 0.8 (3 to 4 h). The cells were collected and weighed, and 250 mg of cells suspended in 100 μL of a TES buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, and 25 % sucrose). Approximately 10 μL of lysozyme (50 mg/mL) and 5 μL of mutanolysin (5000 μg/mL) were added to the suspended cells in TES buffer and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min.

Approximately 5 - 10 μL of 20 % SDS were added, and the contents were mixed until the suspension of the cell proteins became clear. The contents were used directly for separation in SDS-PAGE (12 % acrylamide) or stored at -20 °C for 1 to 2 d until further use.

Fifty microlitre extracts (references and isolated bacteria) were added to 4X protein loading buffer (Roth, K929.2) and were subsequently assessed with SDS-PAGE. Electrophoresis was performed at 25 °C in a vertical tank apparatus, using a constant-voltage power supply, until the bromophenol blue tracking dye reached the bottom of the gel. The gels were stained with 0.25 % Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (Bio-Rad) in water: methanol: acetic acid (6.5: 2.5: 1 v/v) for 18 h at room temperature. Gel destaining was performed through continuous agitation in a methanol: acetic acid: water (20:10:70 v/v) solvent until obvious bands of proteins were obtained.

The whole-cell protein profiles of presumptive *Listeria* isolates were compared with those of the reference strains *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 and *L. innocua* ATCC 33090 using SDS-PAGE. A high degree of similarity within the standard strains was confirmed through biochemical identification using an API *Listeria* system.
Biochemical identification of *Listeria* isolates

The biochemical identification of *Listeria*, using the BioMérieux API *Listeria* system (10300), involved a strip comprising 10 microtubes containing dehydrated substances, with each microtubule corresponding to an individual test and without additional tests. The 10 tests were arylamidase (DIM test), esculin, α-mannosidase, D-arabitol, D-xylene, L-rhamnose, α-methyl-D-glucoside, D-ribose, glucose-1-phosphate, and D-tagatose. One loop of the bacteria that was grown on nutrient agar (24 h) was suspended into 10 microtubes (100 µL for the DIM test and 50 µL for the other cupules). Subsequently, the strip box was closed and incubated at 37 °C for 18 to 24 h. After incubation, the presence or absence of arylamidase (DIM test) was detected through the addition of one drop of ZYM B (ZYM B reagent is used as an additional test for absence of arylamidase (DIM test)) was determined, according to the manufacturer's instructions for the biochemical identification of *Listeria*.

RESULTS

Incidence of *Listeria* spp. in different samples

As shown in Table 1, *Listeria* spp. was recovered from 35 % of the total tested samples (14 out of 40 samples). Fifty percent of the raw beef and chicken samples contained *Listeria* spp. and 30 % of the raw fish samples contained *Listeria* spp. *Listeria* spp., was observed in only 20 % of the raw camel milk samples. The highest positive samples were identified as *L. seeligeri*, *L. welshimeri* and *L. grayi* (n = 3, 7.5 %), followed by *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* (n = 2; 5 %). Only one sample contained *L. ivanovii* (n = 1, 2.5 %).

Whole-cell protein profiles of different *Listeria* species

The protein profiles of the isolates grown on the media containing *Listeria*-selective agar were compared with those of standard strains of *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 and *L. innocua* ATCC 33090 using SDS-PAGE. Fig 1 results demonstrate the presence of some common protein bands for 14 isolates, with similarities. The whole-cell protein profiling of the isolates was not closely associated with *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 and *L. innocua* ATCC 33090, and it was difficult to differentiate between these species. The SDS-extracted protein patterns were strictly species-specific.

API *Listeria* system

The results of the API *Listeria* test were incubated for 24 h and interpreted using the API LAB Plus bacterial computer identification programme. Specifically, the DIM results for all strains tested were unequivocal. Carbohydrate fermentations resulting in acid production were difficult to interpret, this may occur with slow bacterial growth and the positive results of some tests were analysed based on the changing the pH colour [27].

Haemolytic activity of *Listeria* spp.

Results in Figure (2) show the hemolytic activity on blood agar sheep medium after 24 hours of incubation at 37 °C to differentiate between the positive haemolysis of *L. monocytogenes* and *L. seeligeri* from the other *Listeria* spp., which were negative.

Table 1: Incidence of *Listeria* spp. from various high protein foods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of meat</th>
<th>Raw Beef (n=10)</th>
<th>Raw Chicken (n=10)</th>
<th>Raw Fish (n=10)</th>
<th>Raw Camel milk (n=10)</th>
<th>Total (n=40)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>L. monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. innocua</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. seeligeri</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. welshimeri</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. grayi</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. ivanovii</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Whole protein profile of *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 (Lane A), *L. innocua* ATCC 33090 (Lane B) and *Listeria* spp., isolates from different food sample (Lane, C-T)

Table 2: Identification of 15 *Listeria* strains with API *Listeria* system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th><em>L. monocytogenes</em> (n=2)</th>
<th><em>L. ivanovii</em> (n=1)</th>
<th><em>L. innocua</em> (n=2)</th>
<th><em>L. seeligeri</em> (n=3)</th>
<th><em>L. welshimeri</em> (n=3)</th>
<th><em>L. grayi</em> (n=3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esculin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrolysis a-Mannosidase</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Arabitol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Xylose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Rhamnose</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Methyl-D-glucoside</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Ribose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose-I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Tagatose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Hem</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:** + = positive reaction; - = negative reaction

DISCUSSION

Raw milk was the source of the first case of foodborne listeriosis reported in 1953 in Germany, and the first listeriosis outbreak associated with milk in the United States occurred in 1979 [14]. In a similar study in Fars and Khuzestan Provinces of Iran on different milk types, the authors used culture method for the isolation of *Listeria* spp. They found that 19 samples (7.3%) were positive for *Listeria* spp. The highest prevalence of *Listeria* was found in raw water buffalo milk.
(11.8 %), followed by raw bovine milk (10.6 %), raw ovine milk (7.1 %), and raw caprine milk (4.2 %) samples. All 37 camel milk samples from 20 camel breeding farms were negative for Listeria spp. Kosek-Paszewska et al. [22], detected Listeria spp. in 36 samples of raw chicken parts (51.4 %) and 7 samples of poultry minced meat (30.4 %). L. monocytogenes was also detected in these samples (5 and 1 samples, respectively). The predominant species among the isolated Listeria strains was L. innocua, which was detected in 27 samples (63 %), followed by L. welshimeri (7 samples – 16 %), L. grayi (2 samples – 5 %) and L. seeligeri (1 sample – 2 %).

These results demonstrate the significance of equipment and installations as sources of Listeria spp. and L. monocytogenes contaminations in the processing of beef and meat products. Microbiologically analysed Listeria species and L. monocytogenes contamination in fermented fish and meat samples purchased from the supermarket and the wet market. Listeria species were isolated from 17 (73.9 %) of the 23 samples of imported frozen beef, 10 (43.5 %) of the 23 samples of local beef and 14 (56 %) of the 25 samples of fermented fish obtained from the wet market. L. monocytogenes occurred in 15 (75 %) of the frozen beef samples, 6 (30.4 %) of the 23 samples of local meat and 3 (12 %) of the 25 samples from fermented fish. Listeria species were not isolated from any of the 23 samples of imported frozen beef obtained from the supermarket and the 5 samples of buffalo meat examined [23].

Only a few major bands appeared common to all species, and these bands were primarily located in the lower part of the gel. Large differences were observed between the protein profiles of L. monocytogenes, L. innocua, L. seeligeri, L. ivanovii and L. welshimeri, and L. grayi. The representation of these differences was assessed through the calculation of the average similarity, S, through visual comparisons. Despite the method of visual comparison, adequate reproducibility was obtained because of the high resolution of the gels. Analysis of the clusters confirmed the clear distinction between L. monocytogenes and the other species (S = 51 %). Some isolates could not be analysed because there was not a sufficient proteins yield after extraction or the observed bands did not resemble L. monocytogenes ATCC 19114 and L. innocua ATCC 33090. Any isolates showing discrepancies in the protein profile were excluded from confirmation of identification using the API Listeria system.

API 50 CH system successfully used for the identification of Listeria species through the selection of the markers currently used on the API Listeria strip. Rapid and inexpensive identification scheme that relies on the detection of haemolysis and two-carbohydrate (L-rhamnose and D-xylene) fermentation tests were performed on agar plates with one isolated colony growing on selective lithium chloride-ceftazidime agar plates after a 40-h incubation. Haemolysis can be difficult to assess; thus, various atypical L. monocytogenes strains (non-haemolytic, catalase negative, rhamnose negative, and nonmotile) would not be identified.

The identification of Listeria species has long been hampered through the small number of tests facilitating the differentiation between these closely related species: numerical phenetic studies did not solve this crucial problem. With the conditions described here, the API Listeria test provided three new markers: the fermentation of D-tagatose for L. welshimeri and glucose-1-phosphate for L. ivanovii (replacing the CAMP test with R. equi) and DIM (avoiding the need for the CAMP test with Staphylococcus aureus). DIM is a new test based on the detection of arylamidase, which is present in L. innocua and a majority of other non-L. monocytogenes strains but is absent in L. monocytogenes strains.

Spontaneous haemolysis, a major characteristic for Listeria species identification, may, in some cases (particularly for environmental and food isolates), be difficult to read on blood agar plates when differentiating L. monocytogenes from L. innocua. To circumvent this important problem, more sensitive methods, such as the use of microtitre plates, a CAMP test with S. aureus, or tests for pathogenicity have been suggested. Nevertheless, even with these tests, some true non-haemolytic L. monocytogenes isolates may be misidentified as L. innocua on the basis of their phenotypic behaviour. Lytic zones were observed on sheep blood agar, weak reaction which did not extend the edge of the streaking line as for L. monocytogenes. L. innocua strains were not haemolytic, while L. ivanovii produced clear zones of haemolysis on blood sheep agar.

L. welshimeri and L. seeligeri are well established as nonhaemolytic virulent species [3]. To date, only genomic methods can firmly identify these isolates. With DIM test, all of the L. monocytogenes strains studied thus far, including atypical non-haemolytic isolates, have been easily and clearly distinguished from L. innocua. Therefore, this test unambiguously
improves the speed and accuracy of species identification.

CONCLUSION

Six species of Listeria were found in different protein foods, but the real threat to consumer health is only Listeria monocytogenes which was isolated from only one sample, namely, chicken meat which is often associated with this pathogen and is destroyed by proper cooking of the meat. The high percentage of Listeria spp. isolated from different food samples is noteworthy.

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) of Listeria spp., proteins is an efficient technique for the classification of strains occurring in foods, based on phenotypical characteristics expressed by their protein profiles and can related directly to API Listeria system for verification of its positive reaction rapidly and easily.
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