Effect of a combination of infrared irradiation and magnesium sulfate wet compress on infection and healing of episiotomy incision in puerperae
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Abstract

Purpose: To investigate the effect of a combination of infrared irradiation and magnesium sulfate wet compress on infection and healing of episiotomy incision in puerperae during spontaneous delivery.

Methods: A total of 120 puerperae who underwent lateral episiotomy in Jinan Maternity and Child Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University from January 2019 to January 2020 were used as study subjects. They were randomly assigned to group A (n = 60) and group B (n = 60). Group B received external application of anerdian, while group A was treated with infrared irradiation and magnesium sulfate wet compress, in addition to receiving the treatment given to group B. The two groups were compared with respect to perineal edema, levels of inflammatory factors, wound pain grading, degree of incision healing, incision healing time, and incidence of infection.

Results: Group A patients had significantly lighter perineal edema and more pronounced pain relief than group B patients (p < 0.05). The number of puerperae with grade A healing and grade C healing in group A was significantly higher than that in group B (p < 0.05). Incision healing time and incidence of infection were lower in group A than in group B (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: The combination of infrared irradiation and magnesium sulfate wet compress effectively mitigates perineal edema in puerperae, reduces pain, enhances the healing of incision, and lowers maternal infection. Thus, this combination strategy may have some merit in clinical practice.
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INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous delivery, or vaginal delivery, the most common delivery mode for women, is likely to cause maternal perineal laceration. Therefore, lateral episiotomy is often used clinically to avoid the laceration [1-3]. However, lateral episiotomy and perineal laceration hurt the perineum and cause edema. This is irritating to puerperae, some of whom suffer from severe pain which impairs postpartum recuperation and breastfeeding. Therefore, puerperae who have undergone lateral episiotomy should be treated with perineal physiotherapy to accelerate their recovery.
healing [4-7].

External application of anerian is usually used for the treatment of lateral episiotomy. However, since it is slow in the elimination of the perineal swelling of the puerperae, it is not conducive to postpartum recovery. Infrared irradiation is a commonly-used method in wound treatment. It accelerates the repair of maternal tissue, while magnesium sulfate wet compress is effective for treating tumescence. On the basis of these qualities, this study was carried out in order to investigate the effect of the combination of the two methods on puerperae. A total of 120 selected puerperae who had lateral episiotomy and who were admitted to our hospital from January 2019 to January 2020 were used as the study subjects.

METHODS

General information

A total of 120 puerperae who underwent lateral episiotomy and who were admitted to our hospital from January 2019 to January 2020 were selected and randomly assigned to two groups: group A and group B, with 60 patients in each group (n = 60). There were no significant differences in general data between the two groups (p > 0.05; Table 1).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria

Puerperae and their families who had full understanding of the purpose of the study, and signed informed consent form; and those who had vaginal delivery and full-term pregnancy, were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

Puerperae in the following categories were excluded from the study: (1) those who had mental problems or disability in communication; (2) those who had other organic diseases; (3) puerperae with non-singleton pregnancy; (4) those whose duration of first stage of labor lasted more than 24 hours, and the second stage was above 2 hours; and (5) those with pregnancy complications.

The protocol has been approved by the Medical Science Research Ethics Committee of Jinan Maternity and Child Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, (approved no. 2018-23(014)) and followed international guidelines for human studies [8].

Treatments

Puerperae in two groups were instructed to keep the perineum clean and dry, and to adopt an appropriate posture during defecation to avoid wound dehiscence, and to clean the perineum thereafter. They were also advised to replace the perineal pad timely, and to eat balanced diets and foods that enhance wound healing. Puerperae in group B were treated with anerian which was applied externally, while those in group A received treatment with magnesium sulfate wet compress, infrared irradiation, and external application of anerian, in that sequence.

External application of anerian

Three-layer disinfected gauze infiltrated with 10% anerian solution (Shanghai Likang Disinfection High-tech Co., Ltd., Huwei Xiaozhengzi 2003 No. 0001) was applied onto the perineal incisions of puerperae for 30 min every morning and evening.

Magnesium sulfate wet compress

Puerperae were asked to lie down in supine position with knees dawn up, and the perineal incision wound, pubic region, labia majora and minora, buttocks and pubic region were disinfected,

Table 1: Comparison of general information between the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group A (n = 60)</th>
<th>Group B (n = 60)</th>
<th>X²/t</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (weeks)</td>
<td>24.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>24.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal mass (kg)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First stage of labor (h)</td>
<td>16.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>16.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second stage of labor (h)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.148</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incision suture time (min)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational weight gain (kg)</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.2</td>
<td>22.5 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.729</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in that sequence, followed by application of wet compress with 50% magnesium sulfate gauze (Zigong Honghe Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., GYZZ H51021263) for 30 min twice daily.

**Infrared irradiation**

The infrared therapeutic apparatus (Beijing Zhongke Zhaoyang Medical Technology Co. Ltd., no. 20172260575) was set at a voltage of 220 V and power of 250 W, placed on the location between the legs of each puerpera at a distance of 0.4 m from the skin, for 30 min twice daily for 3 days. During the treatment, the height of irradiation lamp was adjusted if puerperae indicated a feeling of burning sensation on their skin.

**Assessment of treatment outcome indices**

**Perineal edema**

The treatment outcomes were classified as excellent, good recovery or poor recovery, based on status of perineal edema and symptoms. If the edema and symptoms of puerpera disappeared after 3 days of the treatment, the outcome was regarded as excellent recovery; if the edema and symptoms of puerpera were significantly mitigated after 3 days of the treatment, the outcome was regarded as good recovery. However, if the outcome did not meet the above criteria, it was regarded as poor.

**Levels of inflammatory factors**

Levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) before and after treatment were compared between the two groups.

**Grading of wound pain**

The maternal pain was assessed and classified into levels I - IV. Level I indicated that the puerpera had no pain and could breastfeed; level II was for puerpera who had slight pain and could breastfeed in lateral position; level III was for puerperae with obvious pain and inability to breastfeed. Puerperae in level IV were those who had severe pain and needed drugs for pain relief. Thus, levels I and II reflected mitigation of pain, while there were no pain relief in levels III – IV.

**Healing of incision**

Incision healing was categorized into three levels. Level A reflected ideal healing condition, with the puerpera showing no adverse symptoms. Level B was for presence of inflammatory reaction at incision, but without occurrence of suppuration, while level C reflected unhealed incision wound, and suppuration reaction [10].

**Incision healing time**

Incision healing time was compared between the two groups of puerperae.

**Incidence of infection**

Infection was indicated if the perineum was reddish and swollen, with tenderness and discharge. The number of puerperae with infection was counted and the proportion was calculated.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS 20.0 software, while graphics were carried out with GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA). Measurement data were analyzed with t-test, while enumeration data were analyzed using χ² test. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) indicated statistically significant differences.

**RESULTS**

**Perineal edema**

Perineal edema in group A was significantly smaller than that in group B (\( p < 0.05 \)), as shown in Table 2.

**Table 2: Comparison of recovery from perineal edema between the two groups \([n (%)]\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Excellent + Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>28 (46.7)</td>
<td>30 (50.0)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>58 (96.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>18 (30.0)</td>
<td>32 (53.3)</td>
<td>10 (16.7)</td>
<td>50 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X² 3.525 0.134 5.926 5.926

P 0.060 0.715 0.015 0.015

**Levels of inflammatory factors**

Figure 1 shows that group A had significantly lower level of inflammatory factors than group B (\( p < 0.05 \)). The horizontal axis in Figure 1 presents two points in time, before treatment and after treatment, while the vertical axis presents CRP levels (mg/L). The CRP values before treatment in groups A and B were 32.1 ± 7.9 and 32.8 ± 7.5 mg/L, respectively, while the corresponding post-treatment values were 11.5 ± 5.6 and 15.0 ± 5.8 mg/L, respectively. *\( P < 0.05 \).
**Wound pain**

There were 53 cases of pain improvement in group A, and 44 cases in group B. Group A significantly outnumbered group B in this aspect ($X^2 = 4.357$, $p < 0.05$). These results are shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 1: Comparison of inflammatory factor levels](image1)

**Grades of healing of incision wound**

There were no significant differences in the number of puerperae with grade A healing and grade C healing between group A and group B ($p < 0.05$). These results are shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Grade A</th>
<th>Grade B</th>
<th>Grade C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35 (58.3)</td>
<td>24 (40.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24 (40.0)</td>
<td>26 (43.3)</td>
<td>10 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.035</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>8.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$-value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Incision healing time**

The incision healing time for puerperae in group A was much shorter than that for puerperae in group B [(6.2 ± 1.2)d vs (8.3 ± 1.5)d] ($p < 0.05$).

**Incidence of infection**

The incidence of incision infection in group A was significantly lower than that in group B ($X^2 = 4.821$, $p < 0.05$). These data are presented in Figure 4.

![Figure 4: Comparison of incidence of infection [n (%)]](image2)

**DISCUSSION**

Lateral episiotomy is clinically used to reduce the probability of perineal tear and improve the possibility of successful delivery. However, the incision at this site which is close to the anus is easily affected by feces or lochia, which often leads to poor healing of incision in puerperae [11,12]. In addition, the perineum is a rich network of vascular tissue which is very sensitive to pain. Thus, puerpera are susceptible to pain which may impair their postpartum recovery and breastfeeding. Therefore, it is necessary to deepen studies on the healing of lateral episiotomy and prevention of infection to ensure the health of puerperae [13,14].

Anerdian, a popular topical drug, kills microorganisms without much irritation, which makes it readily acceptable to puerperae. Studies on previous results from external application of anerdian in the treatment of episiotomy have shown that this class of drugs has limited effect on wound swelling, and cannot reduce the possibility of incision infection [15]. Magnesium sulfate is also a well-known wet compress drug which uses high osmotic pressure to allow for quick wound detumescence. Therefore, it is extremely effective in reducing local edema in puerperae. This accounts for the significantly lower degree of perineal edema in group A than in group B.

In addition, infrared irradiation is a common method used to accelerate wound healing. Its...
mode of action involves dilation of blood vessels at the wound to enhance local blood circulation and metabolic capacity, thereby facilitating wound repair and absorption of inflammatory substances [16,17]. Therefore, there were significant differences in the number of puerperae with grade A healing and grade C healing in group A, when compared with group B. Moreover, incision healing time and the incidence of infection were significantly lower in group A than in group B. In a previous study, puerperae with lateral episiotomy (study group) received infrared irradiation, magnesium sulfate wet compress and anerdian external application, while puerpera in control group were given external application of anerdian. The results showed that incision healing time of puerpera in study group was significantly shorter than that in control group [17]. This indicates that this comprehensive physiotherapy method can accelerate the incision healing process in puerperae. Moreover, in the present study, more puerperae had reduced pain in group A than in group B, which was due to the fact that infrared irradiation removed the toxic components at the incision, reduced allergy, and relieved swelling and pain.

Limitations of the study

Inevitably, this study has several limitations regarding interpretations of results. First, this study was a single-center trial, and the findings might not have universality. Additionally, the sample size was relatively small, thus the results should be interpreted with cautions.

CONCLUSION

The results obtained in this study indicate that the combination of infrared irradiation and magnesium sulfate wet compress effectively optimizes the healing of episiotomy incision and reduces the possibility of infection. Thus, the combination treatment may have advantages in clinical practice.
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