Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research December 2013; 12 (6): 1003-1010 ISSN: 1596-5996 (print); 1596-9827 (electronic) © Pharmacotherapy Group, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City, 300001 Nigeria. All rights reserved.

> Available online at http://www.tjpr.org http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v12i6.20

Original Research Article

Evaluation of Antibacterial and Antitumor Activities of Some Turkish Endemic Plants

Arzu Ucar Turker* and Arzu Birinci Yıldırım

Abant Izzet Baysal University, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Art, Bolu, Turkey

*For correspondence: Email: turker_a@ibu.edu.tr; Tel: +90 374 254 12 38; Fax: +90 374 253 46 42

Received: 15 January 2013

Revised accepted: 4 October 2013

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate the antibacterial and antitumor activities of the aerial parts of 8 different Turkish endemic plants (Phlomis russeliana, Phlomis armeniaca, Astragalus brachypterus, Astrantia maxima, Ptilostemon afer, Senecio castagneanus, Echium orientale and Arum euxinum).

Methods: Two different bioassays were performed to evaluate the antibacterial and antitumor activities of the endemic plants. For each plant, 3 types of extracts (aqueous, methanol and ethanol) were prepared, giving a total of 24 extracts tested. The disc diffusion assay was used to screen for antibacterial activity against 10 bacteria including Streptococcus pyogenes, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Escheria coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella typhimurium, Serratia marcescens, Proteus vulgaris, Enterobacter cloacea, and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Five different antibiotics (ampicillin, carbenicillin, chloramphenicol, erythromycin and tetracycline) were used as positive controls. Antitumor activity was evaluated using potato disc diffusion bioassay with camptothecin as positive control.

Results: The highest antibacterial activity was observed for all extracts of A. brachypterus against S. pyogenes (15.0 - 16.3 mm inhibition zone). The aqueous extract of S. castagneanus showed the strongest antibacterial activity against S. pyogenes (14.3 mm). Furthermore, alcohol extracts (ethanol and methanol) of P. russeliana exhibited moderate activity against S. epidermidis (9 and 8.5 mm, respectively) and S. pyogenes (9.5 mm). High antitumor activity was observed for all extracts of A. brachypterus (91.7 - 100 % tumor inhibition). In addition, ethanol extract of P. russeliana (75 % inhibition) exhibited strong antitumor activity.

Conclusion: The present study reveals the strong antibacterial and antitumor activities of A. brachypterus. However, the active components of the plant extracts needs to be identified in future studies.

Keywords Arum euxinum, Astragalus brachypterus, Astrantia maxima, Echium orientale, Ptilostemon afer, Phlomis armeniaca, Phlomis russeliana, Senecio castagneanus, Antibacterial, Antitumor.

Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research is indexed by Science Citation Index (SciSearch), Scopus, International Pharmaceutical Abstract, Chemical Abstracts, Embase, Index Copernicus, EBSCO, African Index Medicus, JournalSeek, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), African Journal Online, Bioline International, Open-J-Gate and Pharmacy Abstracts

INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants are the richest bioresource of drugs in traditional systems of medicine, modern medicines, nutraceuticals, food supplements, folk medicines, pharmaceutical intermediates and chemical entities for synthetic drugs [1]. The use of most medicinal plants discovered by traditional societies has not been verified scientifically and bioassays can provide initial screening data about the biological activities of these plants. The scientific verification of the biological activity of endemic plants that are found exclusively in a particular area may be important in screening for the potential value of these peculiar plants [2].

There are few studies on the biological activities of tested plants. Generally, *Echium* species have been used in folk medicine as diuretic, diaphoretic, febrifuge, expectorant, analgesic, vulnerary, sedative, anxiolytic and in the treatment of upper respiratory tract infections [3-6]. *Astragalus* species have been used traditionally to raise immune resistance, improve physical endurance and lower blood pressure [4]. *Astragalus* species have anti-inflammatory, analgesic, hypotensive, sedative, cardiotonic, hepatoprotective, antioxidative, antiviral and immunostimulant properties [7-11].

The roots of *Astragalus* species are used to treat leukemia and for wound healing in Turkish folk medicine [12]. *Phlomis* species have been used to treat various conditions such as diabetes, gastric ulcer, hemorrhoids, inflammation and wounds [13]. Demirci *et al* [14] revealed that *Phlomis* essential oils might be an alternative to conventional antimicrobials in various foods. Ozcelik *et al* [15] determined the antiviral, antibacterial and antifungal effects as well as cytotoxicity of selected Turkish *Phlomis* species. Caffeic acid-containing phenylpropanoid glycolsides found in *P. armeniaca* showed activity against several kinds of cancer cells [16].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the antibacterial and antitumor activities of eight endemic plants found in Bolu, Turkey.

EXPERIMENTAL

Plant material and extraction

The aerial parts of the eight endemic plants were collected from Bolu, Turkey [17]. All plant samples and their treatments are presented in Table 1.

Three types of solvents - water, methanol (MeOH) and ethanol (EtOH)] were used for extraction. For aqueous extraction, each plant sample was extracted with water at 80 °C for 12 h. Water was removed from the extract by freeze-drying (Christ Alpha 1-2 LD Freeze dryer). For alcohol extractions, the plant samples were Soxhlet extracted with MeOH or EtOH at 60 °C for 12 h. The extracts were then vacuum evaporated. For bioassays, each residue was dissolved in sterile distilled water to obtain a final concentration of 100 mg/ml.

Antibacterial bioassay

The disc diffusion assay was used to screen for antibacterial activity [18]. *Streptococcus*

pyogenes (ATCC[®] 19615), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC[®] 25923) and Staphylococcus epidermidis (ATCC[®] 12228) which are Grampositive bacteria and Escherichia coli (ATCC[®] 25922), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC[®] 27853), Salmonella typhimurium (ATCC[®] 14028), Serratia marcescens (ATCC[®] 8100), Proteus vulgaris (ATCC[®] 13315), Enterobacter cloacae (ATCC[®] 23355) and Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC[®] 13883) which are Gram-negative bacteria were used.

The turbidity of each broth culture of bacteria was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard and then Mueller Hinton agar plates were inoculated using cotton swabs. All extracts were sterilized by filtering through a 0.22 μ m filter (Millex[®]) and sterile filter paper discs (6 mm in diameter) were impregnated with 13 µl of extract. There were five replicates in each plate and two plates for each extract tested for each bacterium. Positive controls consisted of five different antimicrobial susceptibility test discs (Bioanalyse[®]) (Table 2). Water was used as a negative control. Inoculated plates were placed in a 37°C incubator. After 16 to 18 hrs of incubation, inhibition zone diameter (mm) was measured. All experiments were repeated three times.

Potato disc tumor induction assay

The antitumor activity of the extracts was assessed using potato disc method as modified by McLaughlin *et al* [19]. A suspension of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (ATCC[®] 23341) was standardized as determined by an absorbance value of 0.96 ± 0.02 at 600 nm [20]. All extracts and control solutions were filter sterilized.

Potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) were surfacesterilized by immersion in 10 % commercial bleach (Domestos[®]) for 20 min. Cylinders (10 mm diameter) were removed from the center of potato tissue using a cork borer and cut into 0.5 cm discs after excluding 1 cm end pieces. These discs were transferred to 24-well culture plates containing water-agar. Each disc was overlaid with 50 µl of appropriate inoculum. Plates were incubated at 28 °C in the dark. After 2 weeks, discs were stained with Lugol's reagent and tumors on each disc were counted. The experiments were repeated three times.

Bacterial viability testing

Bacterial suspension was serially diluted to 1×10^3 CFU. Bacterial viability was determined by incubating 1 ml of each plant extract with 1 ml of bacterial suspension. At 30 min after inoculation,

0.1 ml of inoculum (bacteria + extract) was removed and inoculated on YEM media with spread plate technique. After 24 h incubation of the inoculated plates at 28 °C, colony counts were made [20].

Data analysis

All data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and mean values were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Tests using SPSS software, version 15 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Twenty-four different extracts prepared with three types of solvent (water, methanol and ethanol) of eight different endemic plant species were tested and the results are shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3. S. pyogenes was the most susceptible bacterium against tested extracts (Table 2). Good antibacterial activity was observed for all the tested extracts (water, EtOH and MeOH) of A. brachypterus against S. pyogenes (15, 17 and 16.3 mm, respectively). The aqueous extract of S. castagneanus also exhibited strong antibacterial activity against S. pyogenes (14.3 mm) while alcohol extracts of S. castagneanus and P. russeliana showed moderate antibacterial activity against S. pyogenes (8.8 and 9 mm). Moderate inhibition was also observed for alcohol extracts of P. russeliana against S. epidermidis (8.5 and 9 mm). All the tested extracts of E. orientale showed little inhibition against K. pneumonia (7.5 - 7.8 mm). Although E. cloacae was susceptible to only the ethanol extract of E. orientale (8.5 mm), this bacterium was not susceptible to other extracts (Table 2). All extracts of P. afer, P. armeniaca and A. maxima did not show any inhibitory activity against the pathogens (Table 2). S. aureus, S. marcescens, S. typhimurium, P. aeruginosa, P. vulgaris and E. coli were not sensitive to any of the extracts (data not shown).

Positive controls (reference antibiotics) generally showed antibacterial activity against the test microorganisms (Table 2). Since the final concentrations of all extracts were adjusted with distilled water, it was used as a negative control and the results show that there was no inhibition activity by the control solvent.

Good antitumor activity was observed with all extracts of *A. brachypterus* (91.7 - 100 %) (Table 3). The alcohol extracts of *P. russeliana* and aqueous extract of *P. armeniaca* also exhibited strong antitumor activity (61.1 - 75 %). Among the tested endemic plants, *A. maxima* showed

least tumor inhibition (13.9 - 30.6 %). No tumor formation was observed with positive control, camptothecin (100% inhibition) (Table 3). The final concentrations of all the extracts were adjusted with distilled water which also served as negative control.

DISCUSSION

Kirby-Bauer test (disc diffusion method) is the most widely used standard method for antibacterial bioassay. It is currently performed by National Committee for clinical laboratory standards on disc diffusion susceptibility testing [18]. Gram-positive bacteria (*S. epidermidis* and *S. pyogenes*) were more susceptible to plant extracts than Gram-negative bacteria (Table 2). Susceptibility of Gram-positive bacteria may come from their cell wall structure consisting of a single layer, but the Gram-negative cell wall is a multi-layered structure and quite complex [2].

E. orientale extracts showed barely inhibitory activity against K. pneumonia and E. cloacae. Eight bacteria were not sensitive to E. orientale extracts in our study. Similarly, Mansouri [21] reported that ethanolic extract of Echium amoenum had no antibacterial activity against S. aureus isolates. Morteza-Semnani et al [22] demonstrated that Echium italicum essential oil exhibited concentration-dependent antimicrobial activity on all microorganisms tested. Kuruuzum-Uz et al [23] isolated kaempferol, uridine, lactic acid and rosmarinic acid from E. vulgare and compounds showed no detectable antimicrobial activity against E. coli, Bacillus subtilis, S. aureus and Candida albicans. E. vulgare contains pyrrolizidine alkaloids, allantoin, alkannins and mucilage. The alkannins are antimicrobial and allantoin help wounds to heal [4].

Strong antibacterial activity of A. brachypterus may explain why Astragalus species is used in folk medicine to treat skin conditions (caused by S. pyogenes) [12]. All tested extracts of A. brachypterus showed strong inhibition against only S. pyogenes in our study (Table 2). Similarly, alcoholic extracts of Astragalus gymnolobus exhibited little inhibition against only S. pyogenes [2]. A. gymnolobus extracts were also effective only on Aeromonas hydrophila among tested five different fish pathogens [24]. The moderate antibacterial activities of some members of Astragalus species (A. siculus, A. gummifer, A. membranaceus, A. malanophrurius and A. verrucosus) were recorded against Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria [10,25]. A. siculus showed antibacterial activity against S. aureus, S. epidermidis, Streptococcus faecalis,

Family	Botanical names	Collection #	Treatment	Yield (%)
Asteraceae			W	14.7
	Ptilostemon afer (Jacq.) Greuter	AUT-1948	E	7.0
	subsp. <i>eburneus</i>		М	15.9
			W	14.0
	Senecio castagneanus DC.	AUT-1952	E	15.0
			М	15.3
			W	6.2
	Phlomis russeliana (Sims) Bentham.	AUT-1946	E	8.6
Lamiaceae			М	20.0
			W	4.4
	Phlomis armeniaca Willd.	AUT-1954	E	8.9
			М	16.9
			W	8.6
Fabaceae	Astragalus brachypterus Fischer	AUT-1947	E	7.6
			М	13.2
			W	16.0
Apiaceae	Astrantia maxima Pallas	AUT-1949	E	17.5
	subsp. <i>haradjianii</i> (Grintz.) Rech.		М	20.0
			W	8.2
Boraginaceae	Echium orientale L.	AUT-1950	E	4.6
			М	10.6
			W	9.7
Araceae	Arum euxinum R. Mill	AUT-1951	E	30.8
			М	39.3

Table 1: Family, botanical names, collection numbers, yields (%) and extraction solvents of tested endemic plants. Means with the same letter within columns are not significantly different at p>0.05.

Note: W = aqueous extract; E = ethanol extract M = methanol extract

Proteus mirabilis, Citrobacter freundii, P. aeruginosa and Klebsiella oxytoca [25].

All extracts of *S. castagneanus* showed strong antibacterial activity against only *S. pyogenes* in our study (Table 2). On the other hand, Albayrak *et al* [26] evaluated the methanol extracts of six *Senecio* species growing in the Black Sea region of Turkey (*S. pandurifolius*, *S. trapezuntinus*. *S. integrifolius*, *S. hypochionaeus*, *S. hypochionaeus* and *S. lorentii*). *K. pneumoniae* was the most sensitive microorganism to the all extracts examined while *E. coli* and *Candida albicans* were the most resistant one.

Alcohol extracts of *P. russeliana* exhibited moderate antibacterial activity against *S. epidermidis*, *S. pyogenes* and *K. pneumonia* in our study. Demirci *et al* [14] tested *P. russeliana* essential oil against common food borne bacteria

such as E. coli, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus and S. typhimurium. They observed weak to moderate minimum inhibitory concentrations. P. armeniaca extracts did not show inhibitory activity against any of the tested pathogens in our study. However, Ozcelik et al [15] evaluated the antibacterial activity of petroleum ether and methanol extracts of seven Phlomis species (P. armeniaca, P. bourgaei, P. leucophracta, P. lunariifolia, P. lycia, P. pungens var. pungens, and var. hirta). Methanol extracts of some Phlomis species (Phlomis bruguieri, Phlomis herba-venti and Phlomis olivieri) exhibited antibacterial effects against E. coli, K. pneumonia, S. aureus, Staphylococcus sanguis and P. aeruginosa [13].

The inhibition of *A. tumefaciens*-induced tumors in potato disc tissue is an assay based on antimitotic activity and can detect a broad range

of known and novel antitumor effects [19,20]. The validity of this bioassay is predicated on the observation that certain tumorigenic mechanisms are similar in plants and animals. It was demonstrated that inhibition of crown gall tumor initiation on potato disc showed an apparent correlation with compounds and plant extracts known to be active in the 3PS (*in vivo*, murine leukemia) antitumor assay [20].

A prerequisite for potato disc tumor induction assay is that the extract or substance being tested should not have antibacterial activity toward *A. tumefaciens* [20]. Inhibition of crown gall formation on potato discs is caused by two effects: by anti-tumorogenesis or decreasing the viability of the *A. tumefaciens*. Viability tests were carried out with all extracts to distinguish between these possibilities. There was no difference in bacterial growth across the plates between control (only *A. tumefaciens*) and tested extracts (*A. tumefaciens* + plant extracts) in terms of colony counts (ranged from 9.2×10^3 to 13×10^3 CFU). All the extracts did not affect the viability of the bacterium. Thus, the observed inhibition of tumor formation for these extracts was on the formation of tumors.

Good tumor inhibition was observed with all the test extracts of *A. brachypterus* in our study. Triterpene saponins ingredient of *Astragalus* species may contribute to the strong immunomodulatory and anticancer activities

Table 2: Antibacterial activities of used plant extracts. Means with the same letter within columns are not significantly different at p > 0.05.

		Mean diameter of inhibitory zone (mm ± SEM)				
Botanical names	Treatment	S.epidermidis	S.pyogenes	K. pneumonia	E. cloacae	
	W					
P. afer	E					
	Μ					
	W		14.3 ± 0.9 fg			
S. castagneanus	Е		8.8 ± 1.0 i			
	Μ		9.0 ± 0.7 i			
	W					
P. russeliana	E	9.0 ± 0.9 de	9.5 ± 0.6 i			
	Μ	8.5 ± 0.9 e	9.5 ± 0.3 i	8.8 ± 0.3 d		
	W					
P. armeniaca	E					
	Μ					
	W		15.0 ± 0.0 efg			
A. brachypterus	E		17.0 ± 0.9 e			
	Μ		16.3 ± 0.3 ef			
	W					
A. maxima	E					
	Μ			<u> </u>		
	W			7.8 ± 0.3 ef		
E. orientale	E			7.5 ± 0.3 ef	8.5 ± 0.9 d	
	М			7.5 ± 0.3 ef		
	W					
A. euxinum	E					
	М					
	Ampicillin	40.0 ± 2.9 a	53.5 ± 1.2 a	12.5 ± 0.9 b	27.8 ± 0.5 c	
	Carbenicillin	41.5 ± 4.9 a	48.3 ± 1.0 b	8.3 ± 0.5 de	33.8 ± 0.9 a	
Controls	Chloramphenicol	36.0 ± 2.3 b	37.0 ± 1.7 d	30.0 ± 1.2 a	29.5 ± 0.9 b	
	Erythromycin	35.8 ± 2.5 b	37.8 ± 1.3 d	11.0 ± 0.4 c	8.8 ± 0.8 d	
	Tetracycline	_ 11.0 ± 0.6 cd	e 39.8 ± 1.8 c	29.3 ± 0.5 a	29.3 ± 0.8 b	

Note: W = aqueous extract; E = ethanol extract M = methanol

P. aferW19.6 \pm 3.1defg44.4P. aferE13.8 \pm 4.3cde61.1M14.7 \pm 2.6cde58.3W14.3 \pm 2.5cdef61.1S. castagneanusE21.5 \pm 3.4efgh38.9M14.9 \pm 3.4cdef58.3W22.4 \pm 2.4efghi38.8P. russelianaE8.7 \pm 1.3bcM14.4 \pm 3.6cde61.1W11.0 \pm 2.0bcd69.4P. armeniacaE20.0 \pm 2.6defg44.4M15.6 \pm 2.6cdef55.6W3.1 \pm 1.1ab91.7A. brachypterusE3.0 \pm 0.6ab91.7M0.0 \pm 0.0a100.0W31.3 \pm 3.2ij13.9A. maximaE29.5 \pm 3.5hij16.7M24.8 \pm 2.8fghi30.6W28.1 \pm 2.5cde61.1E26.0 \pm 3.4ghij27.8M13.8 \pm 2.5cde61.1	Botanical name	Treatments	Mean Nu	ımbe	r of T	umors (±SE)	% Tumor inhibition
P. afer E 13.8 \pm 4.3 cde 61.1 M 14.7 \pm 2.6 cde 58.3 W 14.3 \pm 2.5 cdef 61.1 S. castagneanus E 21.5 \pm 3.4 efgh 38.9 M 14.9 \pm 3.4 cdef 58.3 W 22.4 \pm 2.4 efghi 38.8 P. russeliana E 8.7 \pm 1.3 bc 75.0 M 14.4 \pm 3.6 cde 61.1 W 11.0 \pm 2.0 bcd 69.4 P. armeniaca E 20.0 \pm 2.6 defg 44.4 M 15.6 \pm 2.6 cdef 55.6 W 3.1 \pm 1.1 ab 91.7 A. brachypterus E 3.0 \pm 0.6 ab 91.7 A. maxima E 29.5		W	19.6	±	3.1	defg	44.4
M 14.7 \pm 2.6 cde 58.3 W 14.3 \pm 2.5 $cdef$ 61.1 S. castagneanusE 21.5 \pm 3.4 $efgh$ 38.9 M 14.9 \pm 3.4 $cdef$ 58.3 W 22.4 \pm 2.4 $efghi$ 38.8 P. russelianaE 8.7 \pm 1.3 bc 75.0 M 14.4 \pm 3.6 cde 61.1 W 11.0 \pm 2.0 bcd 69.4 P. armeniacaE 20.0 \pm 2.6 $defg$ 44.4 M 15.6 \pm 2.6 $cdef$ 55.6 W 3.1 \pm 1.1 ab 91.7 A. brachypterusE 3.0 \pm 0.6 ab 91.7 M 0.0 \pm 0.6 ab 91.7 A. maximaE 29.5 \pm 3.5 hij 16.7 M 24.8 \pm 2.8 $fghi$ 30.6 W 28.1 \pm 2.5 $ghij$ 22.2 E. orientaleE 26.0 \pm 3.4 $ghij$ 27.8	P. afer	E	13.8	±	4.3	cde	61.1
W14.3 \pm 2.5cdef61.1S. castagneanusE21.5 \pm 3.4efgh38.9M14.9 \pm 3.4cdef58.3W22.4 \pm 2.4efghi38.8P. russelianaE8.7 \pm 1.3bc75.0M14.4 \pm 3.6cde61.1W11.0 \pm 2.0bcd69.4P. armeniacaE20.0 \pm 2.6defg44.4M15.6 \pm 2.6cdef55.6W3.1 \pm 1.1ab91.7A. brachypterusE3.0 \pm 0.6ab91.7M0.0 \pm 0.0a100.0W31.3 \pm 3.2ij13.9A. maximaE29.5 \pm 3.5hij16.7M24.8 \pm 2.8fghi30.6W28.1 \pm 2.5ghij22.2E. orientaleE26.0 \pm 3.4ghij27.8M13.8 \pm 2.5cde61.1		М	14.7	±	2.6	cde	58.3
S. castagneanusE 21.5 \pm 3.4 efgh 38.9 M 14.9 \pm 3.4 cdef 58.3 W 22.4 \pm 2.4 efghi 38.8 P. russelianaE 8.7 \pm 1.3 bc 75.0 M 14.4 \pm 3.6 cde 61.1 W 11.0 \pm 2.0 bcd 69.4 P. armeniacaE 20.0 \pm 2.6 defg 44.4 M 15.6 \pm 2.6 cdef 55.6 W 3.1 \pm 1.1 ab 91.7 A. brachypterusE 3.0 \pm 0.6 ab 91.7 M 0.0 \pm 3.5 hij 16.7 M 24.8 \pm 2.8 fghi 30.6 W 28.1 \pm 2.5 ghij 22.2 E. orientaleE 26.0 \pm 3.4 ghij 27.8		W	14.3	±	2.5	cdef	61.1
M 14.9 \pm 3.4 $cdef$ 58.3 W 22.4 \pm 2.4 $efghi$ 38.8 P. russelianaE 8.7 \pm 1.3 bc 75.0 M 14.4 \pm 3.6 cde 61.1 W 11.0 \pm 2.0 bcd 69.4 P. armeniacaE 20.0 \pm 2.6 $defg$ 44.4 M 15.6 \pm 2.6 $cdef$ 55.6 W 3.1 \pm 1.1 ab 91.7 A. brachypterusE 3.0 \pm 0.6 ab 91.7 M 0.0 \pm 0.0 a 100.0 W 31.3 \pm 3.2 ij 13.9 A. maximaE 29.5 \pm 3.5 hij 16.7 M 24.8 \pm 2.8 fghi 30.6 W 28.1 \pm 2.5 ghij 22.2 E. orientaleE 26.0 \pm 3.4 ghij 27.8	S. castagneanus	E	21.5	±	3.4	efgh	38.9
W 22.4 \pm 2.4 efghi 38.8 P. russelianaE 8.7 \pm 1.3 bc 75.0 M 14.4 \pm 3.6 cde 61.1 W 11.0 \pm 2.0 bcd 69.4 P. armeniacaE 20.0 \pm 2.6 defg 44.4 M 15.6 \pm 2.6 cdef 55.6 W 3.1 \pm 1.1 ab 91.7 A. brachypterusE 3.0 \pm 0.6 ab 91.7 M 0.0 \pm 0.0 a 100.0 W 31.3 \pm 3.2 ij 13.9 A. maximaE 29.5 \pm 3.5 hij 16.7 M 24.8 \pm 2.8 fghi 30.6 W 28.1 \pm 2.5 ghij 22.2 E. orientaleE 26.0 \pm 3.4 ghij 27.8		М	14.9	±	3.4	cdef	58.3
P. russeliana E 8.7 \pm 1.3 bc 75.0 M 14.4 \pm 3.6 cde 61.1 W 11.0 \pm 2.0 bcd 69.4 P. armeniaca E 20.0 \pm 2.6 defg 44.4 M 15.6 \pm 2.6 cdef 55.6 W 3.1 \pm 1.1 ab 91.7 A. brachypterus E 3.0 \pm 0.6 ab 91.7 M 0.0 \pm 0.0 a 100.0 W 31.3 \pm 3.2 ij 13.9 A. maxima E 29.5 \pm 3.5 hij 16.7 M 24.8 \pm 2.8 fghi 30.6 W 28.1 \pm 2.5 ghij 22.2 E. orientale E 26.0 \pm 3.4 ghij 27.8		W	22.4	±	2.4	efghi	38.8
M 14.4 \pm 3.6 cde 61.1 W 11.0 \pm 2.0 bcd 69.4 P. armeniacaE 20.0 \pm 2.6 $defg$ 44.4 M 15.6 \pm 2.6 $cdef$ 55.6 W 3.1 \pm 1.1 ab 91.7 A. brachypterusE 3.0 \pm 0.6 ab 91.7 M 0.0 \pm 0.0 a 100.0 W 31.3 \pm 3.2 ij 13.9 A. maximaE 29.5 \pm 3.5 hij 16.7 M 24.8 \pm 2.8 fghi 30.6 W 28.1 \pm 2.5 ghij 22.2 E. orientaleE 26.0 \pm 3.4 ghij 27.8 M 13.8 \pm 2.5 cde 61.1	P. russeliana	E	8.7	±	1.3	bc	75.0
W11.0 \pm 2.0bcd69.4P. armeniacaE20.0 \pm 2.6defg44.4M15.6 \pm 2.6cdef55.6W3.1 \pm 1.1ab91.7A. brachypterusE3.0 \pm 0.6ab91.7M0.0 \pm 0.0a100.0W31.3 \pm 3.2ij13.9A. maximaE29.5 \pm 3.5hij16.7M24.8 \pm 2.8fghi30.6W28.1 \pm 2.5ghij22.2E. orientaleE26.0 \pm 3.4ghij27.8M13.8 \pm 2.5cde61.1		М	14.4	±	3.6	cde	61.1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		W	11.0	±	2.0	bcd	69.4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P. armeniaca	E	20.0	±	2.6	defg	44.4
W 3.1 \pm 1.1 ab 91.7 A. brachypterusE 3.0 \pm 0.6 ab 91.7 M 0.0 \pm 0.0 a 100.0 W 31.3 \pm 3.2 ij 13.9 A. maximaE 29.5 \pm 3.5 hij 16.7 M 24.8 \pm 2.8 fghi 30.6 W 28.1 \pm 2.5 ghij 22.2 E. orientaleE 26.0 \pm 3.4 ghij 27.8 M 13.8 \pm 2.5 cde 61.1		М	15.6	±	2.6	cdef	55.6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		W	3.1	±	1.1	ab	91.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A. brachypterus	E	3.0	±	0.6	ab	91.7
W 31.3 \pm 3.2 ij 13.9 A. maximaE 29.5 \pm 3.5 hij 16.7 M 24.8 \pm 2.8 fghi 30.6 W 28.1 \pm 2.5 ghij 22.2 E. orientaleE 26.0 \pm 3.4 ghij 27.8 M 13.8 \pm 2.5 cde 61.1		М	0.0	±	0.0	а	100.0
A. maximaE 29.5 \pm 3.5 hij 16.7 M 24.8 \pm 2.8 fghi 30.6 W 28.1 \pm 2.5 ghij 22.2 E. orientaleE 26.0 \pm 3.4 ghij 27.8 M 13.8 \pm 2.5 cde 61.1		W	31.3	±	3.2	ij	13.9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A. maxima	E	29.5	±	3.5	hij	16.7
W 28.1 ± 2.5 ghij 22.2 E. orientale E 26.0 ± 3.4 ghij 27.8 M 13.8 ± 2.5 cde 61.1		М	24.8	±	2.8	fghi	30.6
E. orientale E 26.0 ± 3.4 ghij 27.8 M 13.8 ± 2.5 cde 61.1		W	28.1	±	2.5	ghij	22.2
M 13.8 ± 2.5 cde 61.1	E. orientale	E	26.0	±	3.4	ghij	27.8
		М	13.8	±	2.5	cde	61.1
W 16.7 ± 2.7 cdef 52.8		W	16.7	±	2.7	cdef	52.8
A. euxinum E 22.6 ± 2.2 efghi 36.1	A. euxinum	E	22.6	±	2.2	efghi	36.1
M 21.3 ± 3.4 efgh 41.7		М	21.3	±	3.4	efgh	41.7
Controls Water 35.8 ± 4.5 j -	Controls	Water	35.8	±	4.5	j	-
Camptothecin 0.0 ± 0.0 a 100		Camptothecin	0.0	±	0.0	а	100

Table 3: Mean number of tumors observed with used plant extracts. Means with the same letter within columns are not significantly different at p > 0.05.

lote: W = aqueous extract; E = ethanol extract M = methanol

[12]. Similarly, strong antitumor activity of Astragalus membranaceous was recorded with some studies [27-29]. Rittenhouse et al [27] reported that A. membranaceous may exert its antitumour activity by abolishing tumor-associated macrophage suppression. Tin et al [28] proposed that members of Astragalus may possess anti-tumorigenic potential in certain cancer cell types. The anti-carcinogenic effects saponin Astragalus extracts of were investigated in HT-29 human colon cancer cells and the results indicated that this extracts could be an effective chemotherapeutic agent in colon cancer treatment. Cho and Leung [29] isolated bioactive fractions from the roots of A. membranaceous. One of the fractions exhibited potent anti-tumor effects both in vitro and in vivo. Cho and Leung [30] also reported the immunomodulating and immunorestorative effects of same fraction. They concluded that A. membranaceous could exhibit both in vitro and in vivo anti-tumor effects, which might be achieved through activating the anti-tumor immune mechanism of the host [29,30]. On the

other hand, strong antitumor activity was not observed with Astragalus gymnolobus [2].

P. armeniaca showed moderate antitumor activity in our study and Saracoglu et al [16] reported that phenyl propanoid caffeic acid, phenylethyl alcohol and phenylethylalcohol glycosides isolated from P. armeniaca were found to show cytotoxic activity against several kinds of cancer cells. Alcohol extracts of P. russeliana exhibited stronger antitumor activity than alcoholic extracts of P. armeniaca. Conversely, antitumor activity of aqueous extract of P. armeniaca was better than aqueous extract of P. russeliana in our study.

CONCLUSION

The antibacterial and antitumor activities of 24 different extracts obtained from eight different endemic plants grown in Turkey were evaluated. Results obtained herein revealed the strong antibacterial and antitumor activities of A. brachypterus. Future studies should

focus on fractionation of the extracts of *A. brachypterus* in the hope of identifying active components. Furthermore, antiproliferative activity studies of *A. brachypterus* should be carried on using different types of cancer lines in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was supported by Abant Izzet Baysal University Research Foundation (BAP 2005.03.01.219).

REFERENCES

- Ncube NS, Afolayan AJ, Okoh AI. Assessment techniques of antimicrobial properties of natural compounds of plant origin: current methods and future trends. Afr J Biotechnol 2008; 7: 1797-1806.
- Turker AU, Koyluoglu H. Biological activities of some endemic plants in Turkey. Rom Biotech Lett 2012; 17: 6949-6961.
- Grieve M. A Modern Herbal, Vol 1, New York: Dover Publications; 1982.
- 4. Chevallier A. The Encyclopedia of Medicinal Plants. London: Dorling Kindersley Limited; 1996.
- Cakilcioglu U, Turkoglu I. An ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants in Sivrice (Elazığ-Turkey). J Ethnopharmacol 2010; 132: 165–175.
- Ahvazi M, Khalighi-Sigaroodi F, Charkhchiyan MM, Mojab F, Mozaffarian VA, Zakeri H. Introduction of medicinal plants species with the most traditional usage in Alamut Region. Iran J Pharm Res 2012; 11: 185-194.
- 7. Rios JL, Waterman PG. A review of the pharmacology and toxicology of Astragalus. Phytother Res 1997; 11: 411-418.
- Shirataki Y, Takao M, Yoshida S, Toda S. Antioxidative components isolated from the roots of Astragalus membranaceous Bunge (Astragali Radix). Phytother Res 1997; 11: 603-605.
- Toda S, Shirataki Y. Inhibitory effects of Astragali Radix, a crude drug in oriental medicines, on lipid peroxidation and protein oxidative modification by copper. J Ethnopharmacol 1999; 68: 331-333.
- Pistelli L, Bertoli A, Lepori E, Morelli I, Panizzi L. Antimicrobial and antifungal activity of crude extracts and isolated saponins from Astragalus verrucosus. Fitoterapia 2002; 73: 336-339.
- Cuellar MJ, Giner RM, Recio MC, Just MJ, Manez S, Cerda S, Rios JL. Screening of antiinflammatory medicinal plants used in traditional medicine against skin diseases. Phytother Res 1998; 12; 18-23.
- Yesilada E, Bedir E, Calis I, Takaishi Y, Ohmoto Y. Effects of triterpene saponins from Astragalus species on in vitro cytokine release. J Ethnopharmacol 2005; 96: 71-77.
- Amor ILB, Boubaker J, Sgaier MB, Skandrani I, Bhouri W, Neffati A, Kilani S, Bouhlel I, Ghedira K, Chekir-Ghedira L. Phytochemistry and

biological activities of Phlomis species. J Ethnopharmacol 2009; 125: 183-202.

- Demirci F, Guven K, Demirci B, Dadandi MY, Baser KHC. Antibacterial activity of two Phlomis essential oils against food pathogens. Food Control 2008; 19: 1159-1164.
- Ozcelik B, Orhan I, Kartal M, Konuklugil B. In vitro testing of antiviral, antibacterial, antifungal effects and cytotoxicity of selected Turkish Phlomis species. Acta Aliment Hung 2010; 39: 119-125.
- Saracoglu I, Inoue M, Calis I, Ogihara Y. Studies on constituents with cytotoxic and cytostatic activity of 2 Turkish Medicinal-Plants Phlomis armeniaca and Scutellaria salviifolia. Biol Pharm Bull 1995; 18: 1396-1400.
- 17. Davis PH. Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1967-1984.
- 18. Andrews JM. BSAC standardized disc susceptibility testing method. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004; 53: 713-728.
- McLaughlin JL, Rogers LL, Anderson JE. The use of biological assays to evaluate botanicals. Drug Inf J 1998; 32: 513-524.
- Coker PS, Radecke J, Guy C, Camper ND. Potato disc tumor induction assay: A multiple mode of drug action assay. Phytomedicine 2003; 10: 133-138.
- 21. Mansouri S. Inhibition of Staphylococcus aureus mediated by extracts of Iranian plants. Pharm Biol 1999; 37: 375-377.
- Morteza-Semnani K, Saeedi Majid, Akbarzadeh, M. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of essential oil of Echium italicum L. J Essent Oil Bear Pl 2009; 12: 557-561.
- Kuruuzum-Uz A, Guvenalp Z, Stroch K, Demirezer, LO, Zeeck A. Phytochemical and antimicrobial investigation of Echium vulgare growing in Turkey. Biochem Syst Ecol 2004; 32: 833-836.
- Turker H, Yıldırım AB, Karakas FP, Koyluoglu H. Antibacterial activities of extracts from some Turkish endemic plants on common fish pathogens. Turk J Biol 2009; 33: 73-78.
- Bisignano G, Lauk L, Kirjavainen S, Galati EM. Antiinflammatory, analgesic, antipyretic and antibacterial ativity of Astragalus siculus Biv. Int J Pharmacogn 1994; 32: 400-405.
- Albayrak S, Aksoy A, Hanizaoglu E, Ekici L, Budak U. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of Senecio species growing in the Black Sea region, Turkey. Acta Bot Gallica 2008; 155: 447-456.
- Rittenhouse JR, Lu PD, Lau BHS. Chinese medicinal herbs reverse macrophage suppression induced by urological tumours. J Urology 1991; 146: 486-490.
- Tin MMY, Cho CH, Chan K. Astragalus saponins induce growth inhibition and apoptosis in human colon cancer cells and tumor xenograft. Carcinogenesis 2007; 28: 1347-1355.
- 29. Cho WCS, Leung KN. In vitro and in vivo anti-tumor effects of Astragalus membranaceus. Cancer Lett 2007; 252: 43-54.
- 30. Cho WCS, Leung KN. In vitro and in vivo immunomodulating and immunorestorative

Turker & Yildirim

effects of Astragalus membranaceous. J Ethnopharmacol 2007; 113: 132-141.

Turker & Yildirim