ABSTRACT
The use of a tight binding macrocyclic ligand to complex a metal ion so that this serves as a new receptor for a targeted metal ion, with potential for environmental remediation and restoration applications. Ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) based co-polymer systems with either acrylamide or 4-vinyl pyridine as binding monomers are examined. These monomer choices provide strong non-covalent interactions with complementary structural elements in the MIP. The nickel(II) ion whose chemistry is a reasonable substitute for many of the common environmentally important metal ions was examined as prototype. With \((N,N',N'',N''\prime\text{-tetra(2-carbamoylethyl)}-1,4,8,11\text{-tetraazacyclotetradecane})\text{nickel(II)}\) perchlorate, \(A\text{AcyclamNiCL04h}\) serving as imprint, the MIP's have yielded competitive rebinding affinities (17 - ~95%) excelling traditional documented levels for noncovalent interactions with magnitudes dependent on monomer identity and rising with its content. Suppressed relative rebinding magnitudes apply for non-templated 'blind' polymer controls. For the 4-vinyl pyridine case at the imprint: monomer level of 1:2 and 1:6, the contrasted MIP vs. control values are 17% vs. 14% and 95.6% vs. 35.6% respectively while for acrylamide monomer at the 1:4 and 1:12 imprint: monomer levels, the corresponding magnitudes are 18% vs. 16% and 74.4% vs. 21.2% respectively. This validates an imprinting effect, which gains prominence at higher monomer excesses. These polymer materials were shown to be robust and durable even under severe heat stress conditions. Evaluation of durability in terms of thermal stress (120°C; 24h) for the 4-vinyl pyridine MIP (imprint: monomer = 1:2) yielded an affinity improvement from 17 to 26%. For re-use operations, affinity increments to 19, 23, 24, 27, and 31% were recorded for five re-cycles.

INTRODUCTION
Following their discovery (Wuff and Sarhan 1972, Wuff 1995) molecularly imprinted polymers (MIP's) have seen rapid expansion in terms of applications in such fields as racemate resolution, artificial antibody mimics, chemosensor technology, selective catalysis, artificial enzymes, targeted drug delivery systems, demanding synthesis or separation protocols and numerous unfolding scientific applications as testified by the many patents the field continues to generate (Arnold and Dhal 1992, Hedborg et al. 1993, Walton et al. 1997). Scientific knowledge regarding the mechanism, molecular level phenomenon and fundamentals involved in recognition and imprinting has likewise expanded. The technique involves the preparation of a polymer in the presence of a print molecule (guest) whereby specific interactions are obtained between functional monomers and the imprint. The product polymer, after template removal thus contains the specific chemical "memory" in sites that selectively and discriminately recognize the imprint and are thus capable of its reuptake. The MIP-to-imprint interaction types are covalent and or non-covalent, with the later often a preferred mode in an increasingly large number of applications (Nishide and Tsuchida 1976, Kuchen and Schram 1988, Ramstrom et al. 1993, Sellegren and Shea 1993, Chen et al. 1997, Garcia et al. 1998, Sellegren 2000) on account of the rapid
reversible uptake it affords and the similarity to natural systems such as enzymes and antibodies. The hydrogen bonding and ligation interactions examined here for acrylamide and the weakly basic vinyl pyridine monomer respectively fall into this category. The imprint is a tightly bound metal ion complex of a tetraazamacrocyle; \(\{N,N',N'',N'''\text{tetra(2-carbamoylthethyl)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane}\}\) nickel(II) perchlorate, A4cyclam Ni(ClO\(_4\))\(_2\) (1). Its rational structural features capitalize on the inherent superior metal binding capability of tetraazamacrocycles (Wainwright 1980, Eyring and Petruci 1990, Busch 1993). The four pendant arms which terminate in amide functions afford multiple interactions with complementary amide functions on the polymer (from acrylamide (2) leading to special optimized four-centre 2H-hydrogen bonds 3 expected to confer superior effectiveness beyond the traditional single two-centre H-bond version. Vinyl pyridine monomers 4 coordinate as appropriate to the metal centre via the nitrogen donor as shown in 5. Rigidity of the polymer framework calls for a high content of ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) cross linker 6 and use of a suitable non-competing solvent to render such polymers macroporous for rapid access of sites during rebinding equilibria. Representations of the bound imprint interacting with acrylamide monomers and the MIP recognition site are as in 7 and 8.

Noncovalent MIP’s have traditionally yielded lower rebinding capacities, often below 20% of the theoretical sites available (Ramstrom et al. 1993, Sellegren and Shea 1993, Wuff 1995) as opposed to their covalent counterparts (values >80%). The rational structural elements in the MIP receptee afford multi-point (x4) H-bonding interactions in the case of acrylamide or x2 in the vinyl pyridine case that enhance the effective selectivity and affinity. A synergistic bimodal combination incorporating the two distinct monomers in the same MIP will be the subject of a later
report. Additionally this 'receptor within a receptor' approach involving two recognition events; i) metal ionligand step and ii) the resulting metal complex/polymer binding site step caters for enhanced overall effective selectivity. The target application, the sequestration of contaminant metal ion for environmental remediation and restoration, mimics nature's own mechanism and ground rules whereby certain soil bacteria secrete powerful enzymes (called siderophores) which dissolve and capture iron from chemically bound soil sources, and convey the resulting complex to the cell wall for recognition and admission (Telford and Raymond 1995). These roles are mimicked in this approach by the macro cyclic ligand and the templated polymer respectively. Two opportunities for the application of selectivity are thus: i) ligand recognizes the metal ion and ii) the resulting complex is recognized by the binding site on the MIP thus affording enhanced effectiveness and selectivity even in competitive circumstances. Nickel(II) has been chosen as a prototypic contaminant metal ion for these investigative protocols due to the simplicity and certainty with which its complex chemistry can be treated, the kinetic inertness of the square planar complexes it forms with tetraazamacrocycles plus the reasonable reproducibility it affords for many environmentally important metal ion contaminants. The noncovalent imprinting approach holds promise in environmental contaminant metal ion remediation and restoration applications where a prototypic soil poultice would entail adding the MIP to the irrigated test soil in the presence of the ligand which would capture the targeted metal ion for transfer to the MIP receptor sites. Recycle operations would then entail extraction of the loaded MIP to obtain a concentrated waste form for disposal.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

All starting materials supplied from commercial sources were used without further purification. Azo(bis)isobutynitrile was supplied by A TOFINA Chemicals Inc., USA, methyl cyanide was supplied by MSD S, methyl alcohol, acrylamide, N,N',N''-tetra(2-carbamoylethyl)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (A4cyclam), nickel(II) perchlorate hexahydrate, diethyl ether, diethyl ether were supplied by BDH Laboratory Supplies, Poole, BH151.TD England, Nitric acid 99.999% was supplied by ex Aldrich, USA.

**MIP preparations**

Polymerizations were carried out in sealed tubes at the I : 4:20 imprint : monomer: crosslinker level at 50°C (24 h) after degassing with argon both before and after addition of the initiator azo(bis)isobutynitrile (AIBN). A I: I MeCN/MeOH solvent mixture to the extent of 1 ml per g of solid was added as porogen. At completion, tubes were crushed and product dried at R.T. under vacuum (12 h) to remove porogen then ground and sieved to a 75-125 µm diameter working particle size. After a 24 h soxhlet extraction (MeCN) and vacuum drying (R.T.-12 h) the material is ready for rebinding equilibria. The control polymer preparation is exactly similar to its imprinted counterpart but omitting imprint.

**Synthesis of the tetraazamacroyclic ligand**

N,N',N''-tetra(2-carbamoylethyl)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (A4cyclam) was synthesized from cyclam and acrylamide, according to literature methods (Freeman et al. 1984). Acrylamide (12.63 g, 177 mmol) was dissolved in 150 ml of methanol and filtered. Solid cyclam
(5.08 g, 25.3 mmol) was added to the filtrate. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 48 h and then cooled to room temperature. The white precipitate that formed was collected by filtration, washed with ethanol and dried in vacuo. Further recrystallization from ethanol/water (5/1) afforded an analytically pure product. Yield: 6.2 g (50%). Purity was ascertained by elemental and spectral (NMR) analysis.

A4cyclamNi(C10)2 (I)
A variant of the literature methods (Wainwright 1980, Wainwright 1983) was adapted for the purpose of preparing compound (I). CAUTION! Perchlorates present a potential explosion hazard and should be handled with care. A4cyclam (1.62 g, 3.34 mmol) was dissolved in 50 ml of water and heated to reflux. Nickel(II) perchlorate hexahydrate (1.23 g, 3.36 mmol) in 5 ml water was added dropwise with stirring and solution allowed to reflux for two minutes. The reaction mixture was rapidly cooled to room temperature in an ice bath and evaporated to dryness under a stream of air. The resulting oily blue residue was redissolved in 25 ml of acetonitrile and filtered. Anhydrous diethyl ether was added to the filtrate with vigorous stirring until blue green tacky oil separated. After the supernatant was decanted off, the tacky oil was treated with diethyl ether while stirring to yield a blue precipitate, which was collected by filtration, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum (RT). Yield: 1.69 g (68%). The product was characterized by using MS and elemental analysis.

Evaluation of rebinding affinity
A weight of polymer (26 mg) was equilibrated with a 0.2 M MeCN solution of the imprint (24 h) to effect rebinding. The loaded polymer was filtered and washed with chilled MeCN (dry ice) and vacuumed (RT) to expel solvent. A weighed amount was then extracted (12 h) with 99.999% pure grade nitric acid, and extract assayed for Ni(II) by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES). Similar extraction of the MIP for which no rebinding has occurred furnishes an imprint residual content designating that in inaccessible polymer sites.

Evaluation of polymer durability
To assess thermal stress durability, a weight of unloaded MIP (26 mg) was heated at 120°C (48 h) and subsequently equilibrated as appropriate to rebind imprint for affinity evaluation.

To evaluate affinities during re-cycles, the following procedure was adopted:
A weight of unloaded MIP (26 mg) was equilibrated with the MeCN solution of the imprint as per affinity evaluation protocols above and the extracted material retained on a sinter filtration medium. After several MeOH rinses and drying under vacuum (RT- 4 h), the MIP was subjected to the rebinding process to determine the new affinity. The extracted material was reretained as described above for subsequent repeats as necessary. A slight yellow shade was seen to develop with progress of recycle.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Increasing rebinding monomer content in MIPs has a striking effect on the rebinding affinity determined as expressed above. For the case of acrylamide monomer, the starting value of 18% at the no excess molecularity (imprint : monomer = 1:4) represents an encouraging performance for this class, exceeding traditional noncovalent imprinting affinities (Sellegren, et al. 1988, Sellegren and Shea 1993, Wuff 1995). The no excess molecularity being the stoichiometric ratio of the imprint to the
The affinity rises by about a fourfold to 78% at the threefold (imprint: monomer = 1:12) excess, Figure 1. Care is necessary with higher monomer excesses. The lower crosslinking values they entail may compromise the rigid macroporous polymer framework for which the literature guidelines for MIP's generally indicate a ≥ 80% crosslinking value for effective retention of recognition. All polymerization runs conform to this guideline. For the case of 4-vinyl pyridine, as levels rise from 1:2 (a no excess molecularity) to a generous 1:6, the rebinding capacity rises from barely detectable to 95%. (Fig. 2).

The most dramatic affinity surges occur with excess binding sites for both monomers. The later rebinding affinities are some of the highest on record for this class of materials and are supportive of binding site excess as a viable MIP optimization strategy capable of record affinities. An imprinting effect is valid for all test MIP's as validated by affinity data for imprinted polymers always excelling the blank controls - see table. The work of Wuff and others (O'Shanessy, et al. 1989, Sellegren and Shea 1993, Wuff 1995) has underpinned the importance of generous monomer excesses for enhanced imprinting performance. Optimization, stabilization
and homogenization of pre-polymerization binding site assemblies and molecularities are favoured by, among others, generous binding monomer excess, low polymerization temperature and strong interacting monomer choices (O'Shanessy et al. 1989, Sellegren and Shea 1993, Wuff 1995).

### Table 1: Affinities of imprinted MIP’s versus their controls to probe imprinting at varying acrylamide and 4-vinyl pyridine monomer levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binding monomer</th>
<th>Imprint to monomer ratio</th>
<th>Polymer (label; type)</th>
<th>Cross-linking (%)</th>
<th>Capacity (%)</th>
<th>*Affinity ratio (a)/(b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-vinyl pyridine</td>
<td>1:6</td>
<td>P34 (a)</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P34(0) (b)</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>P31 (a)</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P31(0) (b)</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylamide</td>
<td>1:12</td>
<td>P55 (a)</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P55(0) (b)</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>P10 (a)</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P10(0) (b)</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* (a) = Imprinted polymer (b) = Control

Comparing data on the affinity of selected MIP’s against the appropriate blanks (controls) furnishes useful indications as to the selectivity. Use of such data for exploring this variable (Table 1) indicates a favourable imprinting environment with higher monomer excess ranges. Scrutinising Table 1 verifies that improvements of magnitudes from 1.2 and 1.1 (MIPs versus blanks) are obtained in low ranges to 2.7 and 3.5 for the respective cases of 4-vinyl pyridine and acrylamide at high monomer excess. This is an added testimony of the essence and rationale for inclusion of adequate excess for which Sellegren and Shea (1993) and Wuff (1995) have recommended a three - to four - fold excess. The commonest binding monomers in use in imprinted polymer practice are methacrylic and acrylic acids undoubtedly on account of ready availability, cheapness and compatibility for electrostatic and Hbonding interactions with many naturally occurring substances compatible with the technology (e.g. Sellegren et al 1985, Sellegren and Shea 1993, Wuff 1995, Sellegren 2000). Reports examining the use of acrylamide in the literature are restricted and the target here is to document the imprinting capability of its unique Hbonding interaction with amide functionalities on imprints. Several reports cover the vinyl pyridines (e.g. Ramstrom et al 1993, Sellegren 2000) registering equal imprinting effectiveness for the 2- or 4-isomer. Kuchen and Schram (1988) unequivocally specify the 4-isomer for examining cross-linking polymerization of monomeric or oligomeric complex forming compounds in the presence of metal ions. Thus identification of optimal interacting monomers is critical and is dictated by the system. The 2- and 3-vinyl pyridine isomers, to be examined in a later report, will examine informative contrast for monomer structural requirements for this system.

The polymers have proven to be very durable in more aggressive conditions than are likely to be found in application environments. Severe thermal stress (120°C; 24h) for the 4-vinyl pyridine MIP (imprint: monomer = 1 :2) surprisingly
yields affinity improvement from 17 to 26%. Recycle operations (inclusive of repeated imprint stripping requiring HNO$_3$) has not diminished performance but elicited enhancements to 19; 23; 24; 27; 31% in five re-cycles. While the exact molecular level origin of these interesting and useful phenomena remains pending, the outcome certainly confers a desirable robustness and durability, on the test MIP’s.

**CONCLUSION**

Optimized non-covalent imprinting involving (i) generous monomer excess (ii) multi-point binding strategies and (iii) careful choices of monomers can produce MIP’s possessing phenomenal molecular recognition potential and record affinities uncommon with this category. Since the imprint is the complex of a metal ion with a tight binding macrocyclic ligand possessing rational structures for strong interaction with the MIP types investigated, the approach affords a novel sequestration strategy for environmentally important contaminant metal ion analogues. The two stage recognition phenomenon is well suited for contaminant metal ion remediation and restoration applications, as it is compatible with the aquatic environments encountered in common pollution scenarios. Additionally, the test ligand and metal complex are water soluble, a prerequisite for viability of the approach. The observed durability of the MIP materials as regards thermal stress and recycling is an added asset given the demanding environments targeted for their application.
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