Western Indian Ocean JOURNAL OF Marine Science

Volume 15 | Issue 2 | Jul - Dec 2016 | ISSN: 0856-860X

Chief Editor José Paula



$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Western Indian Ocean} \\ \textbf{J O U R N A L O F} \\ \textbf{Marine Science} \end{array}$

Chief Editor José Paula | Faculty of Sciences of University of Lisbon, Portugal

Louis CELLIERS

Copy Editor Timothy Andrew

Editorial Board

Serge ANDREFOUËT France Ranjeet BHAGOOLI Mauritius

Salomão BANDEIRA Mozambigue

Betsy Anne BEYMER-FARRIS USA/Norway

Jared BOSIRE Kenya

Atanásio BRITO Mozambique South Africa Lena GIPPERTH Sweden Johan GROENEVELD South Africa Issufo HALO South Africa/Mozambique Christina HICKS Australia/UK Johnson KITHEKA Kenya Kassim KULINDWA Tanzania Thierry LAVITRA Madagascar Blandina LUGENDO Tanzania Aviti MMOCHI Tanzania Nyawira MUTHIGA Kenva Brent NEWMAN South Africa Jan ROBINSON Sevcheles Sérgio ROSENDO Portugal Melita SAMOILYS Kenya Max TROELL Sweden

Published biannually

Aims and scope: The *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science* provides an avenue for the wide dissemination of high quality research generated in the Western Indian Ocean (WIO) region, in particular on the sustainable use of coastal and marine resources. This is central to the goal of supporting and promoting sustainable coastal development in the region, as well as contributing to the global base of marine science. The journal publishes original research articles dealing with all aspects of marine science and coastal management. Topics include, but are not limited to: theoretical studies, oceanography, marine biology and ecology, fisheries, recovery and restoration processes, legal and institutional frameworks, and interactions/relationships between humans and the coastal and marine environment. In addition, *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science* features state-of-the-art review articles and short communications. The journal will, from time to time, consist of special issues on major events or important thematic issues. Submitted articles are subjected to standard peer-review prior to publication.

Manuscript submissions should be preferably made via the African Journals Online (AJOL) submission platform (http://www.ajol.info/index.php/wiojms/about/submissions). Any queries and further editorial correspondence should be sent by e-mail to the Chief Editor, wiojms@fc.ul.pt. Details concerning the preparation and submission of articles can be found in each issue and at http://www.wiomsa.org/wio-journal-of-marinescience/ and AJOL site.

Disclaimer: Statements in the Journal reflect the views of the authors, and not necessarily those of WIOMSA, the editors or publisher.

Copyright © 2016 —Western Indian Ocean Marine Science Association (WIOMSA) No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without permission in writing from the copyright holder. ISSN 0856-860X



The spatial and temporal variations of nematofauna of recovering *Rhizophora mucronata* mangroves at Gazi Bay, Kenya

Mutua A. Kyalo

Department of Fisheries Management and Aquaculture Technology, South Eastern Kenya University (SEKU), Kenya akyalom@gmail.com

Abstract

The spatio-temporal variations in physical sediment characteristics and nematode community assemblages were investigated and compared between a natural, a 10-year reforested, and a degraded *Rhizophora mucronata* mangrove ecosystem in Gazi Bay, Kenya. PCA showed a clear separation of the degraded site from both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites based TOM and silt/clay. The wet and the dry seasons of the natural and the 10 years reforested sites were also separated based on salinity and silt/clay. An nMDS and ANOSIM analysis on nematode community gave a clear spatial pattern, with both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites separated from the degraded site. The genera *Terschellingia* and *Pierickia* were dominant in the natural and the 10 years reforested sites, and the degraded site. The degraded site was characterised by high densities of the genus *Metachromadora*. This study shows that clear felling of mangroves leads to changes in nematode genera composition. It is also evident that the nematode community has fully re-established within 10 years of reforestation.

Keywords: mangroves, nematodes, reforestation, recolonisation, spatial, temporal.

Introduction

Mangroves are precious resources for multiple socio-economic and ecological uses. In the recent past, there has been significant development in mangrove research in Kenya, covering structure and function (Mutua et al., 2011; 2013; Bosire et al., 2003; 2004; 2005; Bouillon et al., 2002; 2004a; 2004b; Mwashote and Jumba, 2002), which has provided a comprehensive understanding of this ecosystem. However, increased economic developments, witnessed in many countries, have led to massive destruction of these vital ecosystems (Netto and Galluci, 2003). Mostly, mangrove destruction is through unsustainable exploitation, eutrophication, unplanned coastal developments, and conversion for aquaculture. These activities are frequent along tropical and subtropical coastlines (Kairo and Abuodha, 2001; Netto and Galluci, 2003). Degradation of the floral component of mangrove ecosystems leads to direct impacts on the faunal structure

and function (Fondo and Martens, 1998; Bosire *et al.*, 2004). Among the marine benthos, Nematoda is a suitable taxon for use as an ecological indicator for benthic environments (Schratzberger *et al.*, 2000). According to Alongi *et al.* (1992), they are the most abundant meiobenthic group, have a ubiquitous distribution and high diversity. They are also restricted to the sediments throughout life and have a wide range of adaptations, which enables them exploit all littoral habitats (Higgins and Thiel, 1992; Kennedy and Jacobi, 1999). These traits ensure that the state and composition of nematode assemblages may be used to reflect the general health of the benthos (Kennedy and Jacobi, 1999).

According to Platt and Warwick (1980), nematodes are of major energetic importance, form a significant part of the diet of many other organisms, play vital roles in facilitating decomposition as well as influencing the stability of sedimentary environments, and



Figure 1. (a) Map of the Kenyan coast and (b) Gazi Bay, the study area. (1) Degraded site, (2) Natural site, (3) 10 years reforested site. (Adapted from Bosire *et al.*, 2004).

are potential indicators of environmental conditions. In addition, Giere (1993) notes that nematodes' diverse morphologies and adaptation to a wide variety of habitats makes them major players in the benthic ecosystem. Differences in benthic physico-chemical characteristics including temperature, depth, mean grain size, salinity, mangrove forest productivity and food availability are possible determinants of the development of different nematode communities among mangrove fringed estuaries (Alongi, 1987; Alongi and Sasekumar, 1992). Therefore, according to Platt and Warwick (1980), any general assessment of the ecology of intertidal habitats is incomplete if the nematofauna is not considered.

Several taxonomic descriptions of mangrove nematodes have been made from many parts of the world, especially in Australia by Nicholas *et al.* (1991), Brazil by Netto and Galluci (2003), and in India by Sasekumar (1994) and Chinnadurai and Fernando (2007). However, the Western Indian Ocean region, which includes the East African mangrove ecosystems, has received minimal coverage in nematofauna research. Only Muthumbi (1994) and Mwojoria (2007) have researched nematodes along the Kenyan coast. In addition, although mangrove restoration along the Kenyan coast was started in 1994 (Kairo and Abuodha, 2001), only Mwojoria (2007) studied nematode distribution in reforested S. alba forests. His study recorded higher nematode densities from reforested S. alba compared to the natural site, though no significant differences between the two sites were found. However, no studies have been conducted on the nematodes of restored R. mucronata mangroves, which form the largest restoration projects in Gazi Bay. This is despite the understanding that nematodes comprise a large fraction of marine benthic communities. They also form a crucial component of the functioning of mangrove ecosystems and play a pivotal role in mangrove ecosystem restoration success (Field, 1999). Consequently, to better understand the effects of mangrove habitat loss and restoration, studies on the nematofaunal diversity of these ecosystems are crucial. This study is the first to be conducted in Kenyan mangroves that compares nematode community assemblages from natural, 10 years reforested, and degraded R. mucronata forest stands, with a view to highlight the effects of mangrove ecosystem degradation and restoration on nematode community structure. The study tries to answer the following questions: (1) Does mangrove clear felling lead to changes in the physico-chemical environment, both spatially and seasonally? (2) Does mangrove clear felling lead to alteration of nematode density and community composition? (3) Did the restoration of the R. mucronata mangrove ecosystem successfully create (after 10 years), a nematode

community assemblage comparable in density, community composition and diversity to that of the natural mangrove stand? And (4) to what extent do nematode communities show seasonal variations?

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted at Gazi Bay ($4^{\circ} 25'$ S and $39^{\circ} 30'$ E) located on the southern part of the Kenyan coast (Fig. 1) about 50 km from Mombasa. The bay is protected from strong wave energy by the Chale Peninsula to the east and a fringing coral reef to the south (Tack and Polk, 1999). The site has natural mangrove forests, replanted sections, and totally degraded areas. Reforestation was started between 1991 and 1994 (Kairo, 1995, Kairo *et al.*, 2001).

Sampling and Sample processing

Sampling was done seasonally in September (Dry season) and December (Wet season) 2004. Three sampling plots measuring 25 m² each, and 50 m apart, were randomly selected at each site. In each of these plots, three sediment cores (6.4 cm diameter, 10 cm long) were collected at random for total organic matter (TOM) and grain size analysis. The TOM samples were oven-dried at 80°C for 24 h to remove all moisture. Thereafter, 10 g of the dried samples were ashed at 600°C for 6 h to obtain the ash-free dry weight (AFDW); TOM was calculated as the percentage of the ashed material. Interstitial sediment water samples were collected for measurement of salinity and temperature in a 5-10 cm hole dug in the sediment. Salinity was measured using an Atago optical refractometer. Additionally, from each of the sampling sites, 3 sediment cores for nematodes (3.2 cm internal diameter, 5 cm long) were taken at random and immediately fixed in 5 % formalin. In the laboratory, the samples were rinsed using tap water over a 1 mm sieve to exclude macrofauna and any debris, and collected

on a 38 µm sieve. The fraction retained on the 38 µm sieve was centrifuged three times at 6000 r.p.m. with $MgSO_4$ of specific density 1.28 for 10 minutes. Afterwards the supernatant was sieved over a 38 µm sieve to extract nematodes, rinsed with tap water to remove the $MgSO_4$ and stained with Rose Bengal. Nematodes were then counted under a dissecting microscope, and 200 individuals picked randomly. Afterwards, nematodes were fixed by transferring them from formalin to glycerol through a series of ethanol-glycerol solutions and mounted on glycerine slides (Warwick *et al.*, 1998). Identification of the nematodes was done to genera level using the pictorial keys of Platt and Warwick (1983; 1988) and Warwick *et al.* (1998).

Statistical analysis

Data on sediment physical characteristics and nematode community were analysed using PRIMER (v.5) and STATISTICA (v.6). Principal Component Analysis (PCA) ordination using Euclidean distances was used to reveal variation between sites and seasons based on physical sediment characteristics. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination of square root-transformed data using the Bray-Curtis similarity coefficient was used to reveal similarities between the study sites in terms of nematode community composition. Variability in nematode density between and within sites was tested using analysis of similarity (ANOSIM). Differences in environmental characteristics between sites and seasons, and nematode density, was analysed using ANOVA, while post hoc analysis was performed using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference test. Data was first tested for normality using Levene's test before being subjected to ANOVA.

Results

Environmental characteristics

The spatial and temporal variations in sediment physical characteristics are shown in Table 1.

 $\label{eq:table_$

Parameter	Sites and Seasons					
	WNat	DNat	WRefo10	DRefo10	WDegr	DDegr
TOM (%)	48.1±6.6	48.4 ± 5.4	32.1±3.9	33.9±0.3	3.3±0.7	2.8 ± 0.4
Sand (%)	39.1±9.8	19.2 ± 3.6	32.5 ± 2.6	28.1±2.6	78.7±1.8	81.6±6.2
Silt/Clay (%)	60.9 ± 9.8	80.8±3.6	67.5±2.6	71.9±2.6	21.3±1.8	18.4±6.2
Salinity (PSU)	30.3±2.1	38.3±0.6	32±1	39.7±0.6	43.3±1.5	46±1
Temperature (0C)	28.7±1.2	28.7 ± 0.4	29 ± 0.9	28 ± 0.2	31.3±1.4	34±1

Two-Way ANOVA showed significant differences in TOM between sites (ANOVA; F = 856. 63, df = 2, p < 0.05). However, there was neither any seasonal differences within sites observed, nor was the interaction between seasons and sites significant. The wet and dry seasons within the natural site recorded significantly higher mean sediment TOM (48.1 $\% \pm 6.6$ and 48.4 $\% \pm 5.4$ respectively) than all the other sites. The lowest TOM levels (3.3 $\% \pm 0.7$ and 2.8 $\% \pm 0.4$) were recorded from the degraded site during both wet and dry seasons respectively.

There were significant differences in sand content between sites, between seasons within sites, and the interaction between seasons and sites (ANOVA; F = 185.36, df = 2, p < 0.05; F = 8.29, df = 1, p < 0.05; F = 7.37, df = 2, p< 0.05 respectively). The degraded site recorded significantly sandier sediments (81.6 % \pm 6.2 and 78.7 \pm 1.8) during the dry and wet seasons, respectively, than the natural and the 10 years reforested sites.

However, only the natural site recorded significant seasonal differences in sand content where the dry season recorded significantly lower sand content (19.2 $\% \pm 3.6$) than the wet season (39.1 \pm 9.8), and lower than all the other sites (p < 0.05).

The proportion of silt/clay was significantly different between sites, between seasons, and the interaction between seasons and sites was also significant (ANOVA; F = 185.36, df = 2, p < 0.05; F = 8.29, df = 1, p < 0.05; F = 7.37, df = 2, p < 0.05, respectively). Both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites recorded significantly higher silt/clay content (p < 0.05) during both seasons than the degraded site. Significant seasonal differences in silt/clay within sites were recorded within the natural site, where the dry season recorded significantly higher silt/clay fractions (80.8 $\% \pm 3.6$) than the wet season (60.9 $\% \pm$ 9.8). The high sand content during the wet season in the natural site shows that surface runoff probably deposited sandy from the surrounding terrestrial systems. The wet and dry seasons within the degraded site recorded the lowest silt/ clay content (21.3 $\% \pm 1.8$ and 18.4 $\% \pm 6.2$ respectively).

The level of salinity was significantly different between sites, between seasons, and the interaction between sites and seasons was also significant (ANOVA; F = 120.25, df = 2, p < 0.05; F = 108.4, df = 1, p < 0.05; F = 8.81, df = 2, p < 0.05, respectively). The degraded site recorded significantly higher salinity (p < 0.05) during both dry and wet seasons (46 \pm 1 and 43.3 \pm 1.5 PSU, respectively), than the natural and the 10 years reforested sites, which recorded the lowest salinity during



Figure 2. Sediment physical characteristics: output of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on sites and seasons. WNat; Wet season Natural site, DNat; Dry season Natural site, WRefol0; Wet season 10 years reforested site; DRefol0; Dry season 10 years reforested site, WDegr; Wet season Degraded site and DDegr; Dry season Degraded site.

the wet season (30.3 ± 2.1 and 32 ± 1 PSU, respectively). Seasonal salinity differences were recorded from both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites, where the dry season recorded significantly higher salinity (p < 0.05) that the wet season. There were significant differences in temperature between sites, and the interaction between seasons and sites (ANOVA; F = 36.95; df = 2, p < 0.05; F = 5.95, df = 2, p < 0.05, respectively). However, no significant differences between seasons within sites were observed. The degraded site recorded significantly higher temperatures ($34 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 1$ and 31.3 ± 1.4) during the dry and wet seasons respectively (p < 0.05) than the natural and the 10 years reforested sites.

Figure 2 shows the ordination of sites and seasons within sites based on sediment physical characteristics data. The PCA output showed a clear separation of the degraded site from both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites. Principal components (PC) 1 and 2 explained 99 % of the variability (PC 1, 96 %; PC 2, 3 %). On the first principal component, the natural and the 10 years reforested sites, with the highest TOM and silt/clay, were separated from the degraded site having sandier sediments and low TOM. The separation of sites along the second principal component was less pronounced, though it separated the wet and dry

seasons within the natural and the 10 years reforested sites, based on salinity and silt/clay fraction.

Nematode community assemblages

A total of 76 nematode genera, belonging to 24 families were identified. Out of these, 62 genera belonging to 23 families were recorded from the 10 years reforested site, while 60 genera belonging to 23 families were recorded from the natural site. The degraded site recorded 33 genera belonging to 18 families. The dominant families in the natural site were Linhomoeidae (31 %) and Desmodoridae (14 %). The families Linhomoeidae (32 %) and Comesomatidae (26 %) were the most abundant families in the 10 years reforested site, while Desmodoridae (29 %), Cyatholaimidae (15 %) and Anoplostomatidae (14 %) contributed the highest relative densities in the degraded site.

Total nematode densities and major nematode genera

Total nematode densities within sites and seasons are shown in Figure 3. The natural and the 10 years reforested sites recorded higher densities during both wet and dry seasons (1635 ± 640 , 2110 ± 1100 , 1410 ± 356 and 1804 ± 958 Ind./10 cm² respectively) than the degraded site (436 ± 169 and 398 ± 182). There were significant differences between sites (ANOVA; F = 17, df = 2,



Figure 3. Spatial and temporal variations in nematode densities. WNat; Wet season Natural site, DNat; Dry season Natural site, WRefo10; Wet season 10 years reforested site; DRefo10; Dry season 10 years reforested site, WDegr; Wet season Degraded site and DDegr; Dry season Degraded site.



Figure 4a-f. Spatial and temporal variation in numerical abundance of the major nematode genera; (a) *Terschellingia*, (b) *Pierickia*, (c) *Haliplectus*, (d) *Trefusialaimus*, (e) *Metachromadora* and (f) *Anoplostoma*. WNat; Wet season Natural site, DNat; Dry season Natural site, WRefo10; Wet season 10 years reforested site; DRefo10; Dry season 10 years reforested site, WDegr; Wet season Degraded site and DDegr; Dry season Degraded site.

p < 0.05) with the natural and the 10 years reforested sites recording significantly higher densities than the degraded site. However, no seasonal differences were observed within sites.

The genera *Terschellingia*, *Pierickia*, *Haliplectus*, *Trefusialaimus*, *Metachromadora* and *Anoplostoma* were the most dominant in all the sites and accounted for 22 %, 11 %, 4 %, 4 %, 4 % and 3 % respectively) of the overall nematode density. Figure 4a-f shows the densities

of the major nematode genera recorded. *Terschellingia* (Fig. 4a) recorded the highest densities in both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites during both the wet and the dry seasons (296±148, 620±437, 286±50 and 537±238 Ind. /10cm² respectively). *Terschellingia* was totally absent from the degraded site. Densities of *Terschellingia* were significantly different between sites (ANOVA; F = 245.3, df = 2, p < 0.05) even though no seasonal differences within sites were observed.

The densities *Pierickia* (Fig. 4b) were highest in the 10 years reforested site during both dry and wet seasons (462 ± 390 and 211 ± 59 Ind. /10cm² respectively). The natural site recorded intermediate densities (114 ± 45 and 61 ± 32 Ind. /10cm²) during the dry and wet seasons. However, significantly lower densities of *Pierickia* (ANOVA; F = 82.57, df = 2, p < 0.05) were recorded in the degraded site (1 ± 2 and 2 Ind. /10cm²). No seasonal differences within sites were observed.

The genus *Haliplectus* (Fig. 4c) recorded high densities in the natural and the 10 years reforested sites (107 ± 35 , 73 ± 11 , 74 ± 38 and 63 ± 57 Ind. /10cm²) during the wet and dry seasons, respectively. The degraded site recorded significantly lower densities of *Haliplectus* only during the wet season (18 ± 10 Ind. /10cm²; ANOVA; F = 67.86, df = 2p < 0.05) than both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites. Only the degraded site showed significant seasonal differences in densities of *Haliplectus*, with no *Haliplectus* at all recorded during the dry season (ANOVA; F = 24.67, df = 2p < 0.05).

The genus *Trefusialaimus* (Fig. 4d) was not recorded in the degraded site while the natural and the 10 years reforested sites recorded densities of 92 ± 65 , 101 ± 68 and 80 ± 84 , 40 ± 19 Ind. /10cm² in the wet and dry seasons, respectively. Lack of *Trefusialaimus* in the degraded site explains the observed significant differences between sites (ANOVA; F = 92.13, df = 2, p < 0.05).

The densities of *Metachromadora* (Fig. 4e) was highest in the degraded site with densities of 134 ± 198 and 68 ± 34 Ind. /10cm² recorded during the dry and wet seasons, respectively. The densities of this genus were very low in the natural (45 ± 69 and 36 ± 24 Ind. /10cm²) and the 10 years reforested sites (6 ± 8 and 9 ± 3 Ind. /10cm²). Due to the great variation in densities of *Metachromadora*, especially in the degraded site during the dry season, no significant differences between sites and between seasons within sites were observed.

The densities of *Anoplostoma* (Fig. 4f) were highest in the natural site (78±62 and 47±20 Ind. /10cm²) and the degraded site (69±48 and 45±42 Ind. /10cm²) during the dry and wet seasons, respectively. The 10 years reforested site recorded significantly lower densities (6±8 and 8±11 Ind. /10cm²) of *Anoplostoma* (ANOVA; F = 3.97, df = 2, p < 0.05) compared to the natural and the degraded sites.

An nMDS analysis (Fig. 5) of nematode genera densities and community composition produced two clear clusters. The natural and the 10 years reforested sites formed one cluster which was separated from the degraded site. However, no separation of seasons within sites was observed. ANOSIM further confirmed the spatial patterns within the nMDS, with the natural site being very similar to the 10 years reforested site irrespective of the season (R < 0.5). The degraded site was significantly different from both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites in all seasons (R > 0.5). In addition, ANOSIM showed no significant seasonal differences within sites (R = -0.111, 0.111 and 0.444) for the natural, the 10 years reforested and the degraded sites. SIMPER analysis showed that the genera Terschellingia, Pierickia and Haliplectus were the main genera responsible for the high similarity observed within the natural and the 10 years reforested sites. The genera Paracanthonchus and Metachromadora contributed to the similarity observed within the degraded site. The observed differences between the degraded site and both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites were mainly explained by the genera Terschellingia, Pierickia, and Trefusialaimus, among others. The degraded site recorded the lowest densities of these genera.

Discussion

Spatial variation

Mangroves are an important resource both ecologically and socio-ecomically because of the services and goods they provide. Along the Kenyan coast, mangroves have been clear cut in the past to provide goods such as fuel wood and building materials, leading to loss of ecosystem services (Kairo and Abuodha, 2001). Reforestation efforts have been initiated in order to remedy the effects of forest loss. One of the main aspects in the evaluation of the success of an ecological restoration project, is to determine how far all ecosystem components have re-established, and to what extent their functions have been restored (Ellison, 2000). In this respect, only the study by Mwojoria (2007) has documented the most abundant and species rich metazoan taxon, the nematode communities, in reforested S. alba mangrove sediments in Kenya. Additionally, data on nematode colonisation of reforested mangrove ecosystems on a global scale is also rare and most studies have dealt with macrofauna and meiofauna up to higher taxa level (Khalil, 2001; Bosire et al., 2004; Mutua et al., 2011; Mutua et al., 2013; Mutua et al., 2014). Therefore, these results provide the first account of nematodes associated with mangrove sediments in natural, reforested and degraded R. mucronata mangroves.



Figure 5. Nematode genera community assemblage: Output of non-metric Multi Dimensional Scaling (nMDS) on square root transformed nematode genera densities data showing affinities between sites and between seasons within sites, WNat; Wet season Natural site, DNat; Dry season Natural site, WRefo10; Wet season 10 years Reforested site; DRefo10; Dry season 10 years Reforested site, WDegr; Wet season Degraded site and DDegr; Dry season Degraded site.

The results of this study show that nematodes are very diverse within the studied mangrove sediments, with a total of 76 genera belonging to 24 families recorded. Mwojoria (2007) recorded 72 genera belonging to 24 families, with densities ranging from 1638 to 1292 Ind. /10cm² from S. alba mangroves in Gazi Bay, which is similar to the results of the current study (2110 to 1685 Ind. / 10cm²). The total density of nematodes and number of genera recorded are also similar to those reported from other mangrove systems in India (Chinnadurai and Fernado, 2007), Brazil (Netto and Galluci, 2003) and Zanzibar (Ndaro and Olafsson, 1999). The density of nematodes was not different between the natural and the 10 years reforested sites, despite the differences in TOM. These similarities can be linked to the fact that the supply of fresh organic material as food for benthos is more or less equal in both the reforested and the natural sites. In addition, Bosire et al. (2003) and Mutua et al. (2011; 2013; 2014) found that reforestation usually alters sediment physico-chemical conditions and ultimately restores the functional importance of nutrient fluxes, among other functions.

This study further shows that the 10 years reforested site is similar in nematode community assemblage to the natural site, but the two are significantly different from the degraded site. This is a clear indication of the effects of mangrove clear felling on the structure, function and biodiversity of mangrove ecosystems. Mangrove clear felling removes vegetation cover exposing the sediment to tidal erosion which leads to removal of the fine sediments and detritus, since these are easily re-suspended by tidal currents. The dense root network in the natural and the 10 years reforested sites ensures that tidal currents are slowed down and re-suspension is reduced (Wolanski *et al.*, 1992), leading to fine sediment and organic matter deposition. Fine sediments, rich in detritus, form the food for benthic fauna, directly or indirectly by providing the medium which supports microphytobenthos growth, and in this way, forms essential food materials for benthic fauna (Snelgrove *et al.*, 1997; Pavlyuk, 2004; Chinnadurai and Fernado, 2007).

Mangrove derived detritus has been shown to be of low nutritional value (Bosire et al., 2005; Alongi and Christoffersen, 1992) and acts as a repellant to nematode colonisation due to high tannin content (Alongi, 1987). However, nematodes may excrete substances which stimulate soil micro-organisms, and produce exo-enzymes which initiate decomposition of complex molecules from mangrove detritus (Ruess et al., 2001; Ekschmitt et al., 1999). These substances would promote the establishment and growth of bacterial populations that take over organic matter decomposition, ensuring that both nematodes and bacteria feed on the nutritious 'soup' of dissolved organic matter (DOM) and particulate organic matter (POM) released (Snelgrove et al., 1997; Riemann and Helmke, 2002). It has also been shown that the bacterial biomass

associated with detritus may not be sufficient to meet detritivores' carbon and energy requirements (Blum *et al.*, 1988). However, the presence of fungi, in substantial proportions in the detritus, increases the microbial detrital biomass sufficiently to provide detritivores with their nutritional requirements (Blum *et al.*, 1988; Snelgrove *et al.*, 1997). This is in addition to the mangrove-derived detritus whose nutritional value is increased through microbial decomposition (Skov and Hartnoll, 2002).

Total canopy removal by clear-felling exposes mangrove sediments to intense solar radiation, which leads to increased interstitial water temperature and salinity. Bosire et al. (2003; 2004) recorded significantly higher interstitial water temperature and salinity in degraded R. mucronata sites compared to natural and reforested sites. Increased temperature and salinity impacts negatively on the benthic fauna due to increased environmental stress (Sasekumar, 1994). Salinity also affects the osmoregulation in meiofaunal species and hence could be a community regulator by determining the physiological activity of marine organisms (Ingole and Parulekar, 1998). Additionally, increased sediment temperature leads to desiccation, which kills or limits growth of microflora, removes water from plant cell cytoplasm and changes the chemical status of organic materials which are important media for microbial growth (Mfilinge et al., 2002).

Studies by Sjoling *et al.*, (2005) indicate that low Redox Potential in degraded mangrove sediments due to lack of oxygen, and ultimately accumulation of organic matter, also leads to increased anoxicity and high sulphide concentrations. This creates inhospitable habitats for most benthic fauna and ultimately leads to impoverished faunal abundances. This probably explains the low densities of nematodes recorded from the degraded site in the current study.

The genus *Terschellingia* is known to be a low oxygen consumer and is dominant in muddy sediments rich in organic matter (Schratzberger and Warwick, 1998a; 1998b). Therefore, its dominance in both the natural and the 10 years reforested sites reflect its ability to exploit these organically rich, but oxygen poor habitats. The genus *Metachromadora* was dominant in density in the degraded site which also recorded the highest sand content. Studies by Schratzberger *et al.* (2004) as well as those of Long and Othman (2005) have also documented high densities of *Metachromadora* in sandy sediments. Similarly, Mwojoria (2007) recorded

high densities of *Metachromadora* from degraded *S. alba* in Gazi Bay. These high densities were related to the ability of this genus to burrow, and hence increased competitive ability especially in search of food. *Metachromadora* is also known to be eurytolerant to fluctuating environmental conditions, probably due to its thick cuticle, hence its high abundance in the exposed degraded site (Long and Othman, 2005).

Seasonal variation

Seasonal variations of plant and animal populations are the rule in nature and several abiotic and biotic variables may account for the temporal variation in benthos. Temperature and food availability have been cited as the main factors explaining seasonal changes in the abundance of benthos (Olaffson and Elmgren, 1997). The absence of seasonal differences within sites in nematode densities and community composition in the present study, may be explained by the lack of seasonal trends in TOM (an indicator of food availability) and temperature, which are key factors influencing nematode densities in mangrove sediments. Although sand and silt/clay showed significant seasonal variation in the natural site, they did not influence nematode densities. Lack of seasonal trends in nematode densities have also been documented from mangroves in South Africa (Dye, 1983). However, the genus Halip*lectus* showed significant differences between seasons in the degraded site, with higher densities recorded during the wet season. This difference may be linked to organic matter input from terrestrial runoff from the surrounding farmlands which flooded this site during the rainy season (personal observation). This genus is a selective deposit feeder hence may have been responding to the availability of diverse detrital material introduced by flood waters.

Conclusions

The study shows that mangrove clear-felling restricts nematode colonisation due to the resulting unfavourable conditions that result from canopy removal. The study also shows that mangrove reforestation modifies sediment conditions leading to recovery of the systems ecological functions, such as nematode colonisation. Seasonal variations in nematode communities were not very evident except in the degraded site where abiotic conditions were unfavourable. These findings further support mangrove reforestation efforts as this provides continuity of the systems ecological functions, which will ensure that there is sustainability of ecological services, economic benefits and ultimately biodiversity conservation.

References

- Alongi DM (1987) The influence of mangrove derived tannins on intertidal meiobenthos in tropical estuaries. Oceanologia (Berlin) 71: 537-540
- Alongi DM, Christoffersen P (1992) Benthic infauna and organism-sediment relations in a shallow, tropical coastal area: influence of out welled mangrove detritus and physical disturbance. Marine Ecology Progress Series 81: 229-245
- Alongi DM, Sasekumar A (1992) Benthic communities: In: Tropical mangrove Ecosystems. Robertson AI, Alongi DM (eds). American Geophysical Union, Washington DC. pp 137-227
- Blum LK, Mills AL, Zieman JC, Zieman RT (1988) Abundance of bacteria and fungi in seagrass and mangrove detritus. Marine Ecology Progress Series 42: 73-78
- Bosire JO, Dahdouh-Guebas F, Kairo JG, Koedam N (2003) Colonization of non-planted mangrove species into restored mangrove stands in Gazi Bay, Kenya. Aquatic Botany 76: 267-279
- Bosire JO, Dahdouh-Guebas F, Kairo JG, Cannicci S, Koedam N (2004) Spatial variations in macrobenthic fauna recolonization in a tropical mangrove bay. Biological Conservation 13: 1059-1074
- Bosire JO, Dahdouh-Guebas F, Kairo JG, Kazungu J, Dehairs F, Koedam N (2005) Litter degradation and CN dynamics in reforested mangrove plantations at Gazi Bay, Kenya. Biological Conservation 126: 287-295
- Bouillon S, Koedam N, Raman AV, Dehairs F (2002) Primary producers sustaining macroinvertebrate consumers in intertidal mangrove forests. Oceanologia 130: 441-448
- Bouillon S, Moens T, Overmerr I, Koedam N, Dehairs F (2004a) Resource utilization patterns of epifauna from mangrove forests with contrasting inputs of local versus imported organic matter. Marine Ecology Progress Series 278: 77-88
- Bouillon S, Moens T, Dehairs F (2004b) Carbon sources supporting benthic mineralization in mangrove and adjacent seagrass sediments (Gazi Bay, Kenya). Biogeosciences 1: 71-78
- Chinnadurai G, Fernado OJ (2007) Meiofauna of mangroves of the southeast coast of India with special reference to the free-living marine nematode assemblage. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 72: 329-336
- Dye AH (1983) Composition and seasonal fluctuations of meiofauna in a South African mangrove estuary. Marine Biology 73: 165-170
- Ellison AM (2000) Mangrove restoration: Do we know enough? Restoration Ecology 8 (3): 219-229

- Ekschmitt K, Bakonyi G, Bongers M, Bongers T, Bostrom S, Dogan H, Harrison A, Kallimanis A, Nagy P, O'Donnell AG, Sohlenius B, Stamou GP, Wolters V (1999) Effects of the Nematofauna on microbial energy and matter transformation rates in European grassland soils. Plant Soil 212: 45-61
- Field CD (1999) Mangrove rehabilitation: choice or necessity. Hydrobiologia 413: 47-52
- Fondo E, Martens E (1998) Effects of mangrove deforestation on macrofaunal densities in Gazi Bay, Kenya. Mangroves and Salt Marshes 2: 75-81
- Giere O (1993) Meiobenthology: The microscopic fauna in aquatic sediments. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York. 328 pp
- Higgins PR, Thiel H (1992) Introduction to the study of meiofauna. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington DC. 488 pp
- Ingole BS, Parulekar AH (1998) Role of salinity in structuring meiofauna of a tropical estuarine beach: Field experiment. Indian Journal of Marine Science 27: 356-361
- Kairo JG (1995) Community participatory forestry for rehabilitation of deforested mangrove areas of Gazi Bay (Kenya) 'A first approach'. Final Technical Report, 59 pp
- Kairo JG, Abuodha PAW (2001) Human induced stresses on mangrove swamps along the Kenyan coast. Hydrobiologia 458: 255-265
- Kairo JG, Dahdouh-Guebas F, Koedam N (2001) Restoration and management of mangrove systems – a lesson for and from the East African region. South African Journal of Botany 67: 383-389
- Kennedy AD, Jacobi CA (1999) Biological indicators of marine environmental health, meiofauna a neglected benthic component? Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 54: 47-68
- Khalil ASM (2001) Response of meiofauna to mangrove deforestation in arid coastal habitats of the Red Sea (Sudan) with emphasis on free living marine nematodes. PhD Thesis, Centre for Tropical Marine Ecology, Bremen, Germany. 82 pp
- Long SM, Othman BHR (2005) Seasonal variation in marine nematodes in Sabah-Malaysia. Philippines Scientist 42: 40-66
- Mfilinge PL, Atta N, Tsuchiya M (2002) Nutrient dynamics and leaf litter decomposition in a subtropical mangrove forest at Oura Bay, Okinawa, Japan. Trees 16: 172-180
- Muthumbi A (1994) Vertical migration of free living marine nematodes in Gazi Bay – Kenya. M.Sc Thesis, University of Gent – Belgium. 78 pp

- Mutua AK, Ntiba MJ, Muthumbi A, Ngondi D, Vanreusel A (2011) Restoration of benthic macro-endofauna after restoration of *Rhizophora mucronata* mangroves in Gazi Bay, Kenya. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science 10 (2): 39-49
- Mutua AK, Ntiba MJ, Muthumbi A, Vanreusel A (2013) Patterns of meiofaunal colonisation as an indicator of reforested *Rhizophora mucronata* mangrove recovery in Gazi Bay, Kenya. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science 12 (1): 25-35
- Mutua AK, Ntiba MJ, Muthumbi A, Thoonen M, Vanreusel A (2014) Meiofaunal responses to leaf litter added to azoic sediments in a Kenyan mangrove forest. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science 13 (2): 125-132
- Mwashote BM, Jumba IO (2002) Quantitative aspects of inorganic nutrient fluxes in the Gazi Bay (Kenya): implications for coastal ecosystems. Marine Pollution Bulletin 44: 1194-1205
- Mwojoria FM (2007) Fish and benthic communities as indicators of mangrove ecosystem recovery in Gazi Bay, Kenya. M.Sc Thesis, University of Nairobi, Kenya. 128 pp
- Ndaro GM, Olafsson E (1999) Soft-bottom fauna with emphasis on nematode assemblage structure in a tropical intertidal lagoon in Zanzibar, eastern Africa: I. spatial variability. Hydrobiologia 405: 133-148
- Netto AS, Galluci F (2003) Meiofauna and macrofauna communities in a mangrove from the Island of Santa Catarina, South Brazil. Hydrobiologia 505: 159-170
- Nicholas WL, Elek JA, Stewart AC, Marples TG (1991) The nematode fauna of a temperate Australian mangrove mudflat; its population density, diversity and distribution. Hydrobiologia 209: 13-27
- Olafsson E, Elmgren R (1997) Seasonal Dynamics of Sublittoral Meiobenthos in relation to phytoplankton sedimentation in the Baltic Sea. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 45: 149-164
- Pavlyuk ON (2004) Meiobenthos of bays of Furugelm Island and Sivuchya Bight (Peter the Great Bay, Sea of Japan). Russian Journal of Marine Biology 30 (3): 151-158
- Platt HM, Warwick RM (1980) The significance of free living nematodes to the littoral ecosystem. In: The shore environment. Vol. 2: Ecosystems. Rice JH, Irvine DEC, Farnham WH (Eds). Academic Press, London and New York. pp 729-759
- Platt HM, Warwick RM (1983) Free-living Marine Nematodes Part I. British Enoplids: In Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series). Kermack DM, Barnes RSK (eds). Cambridge University Press. 307 pp

- Platt HM, Warwick RM (1988) Free-living Marine Nematodes Part II. British Chromadorids: In Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series). Kermack DM, Barnes RSK (eds). Brill, EJ/Backhuys, W (Pub). Leiden, New York. 502 pp
- Riemann F, Helmke E (2002) Symbiotic relations of sediment agglutinating nematodes and bacteria in detrital habitats: the enzyme sharing concept. Marine Ecology 23: 93-113
- Ruess L, Schimdt IK, Michelsen A, Jonasson S (2001) Manipulations of a microbial based soil food web at two Arctic sites - evidence of species redundancy among the nematode fauna? Applied Soil Ecology 17: 19-30
- Sasekumar A (1994) Meiofauna of a mangrove shore on the west coast of peninsular Malaysia. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 42 (4): 901-915
- Schratzberger M, Gee JM, Rees HL, Boyd SE, Wall CM (2000) The structure and taxonomic composition of sublittoral meiofauna assemblages as an indicator of the status of marine environments. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK 80: 969-980
- Schratzberger M, Whomersley M, Warr PK, Bloam S, Rees HL (2004) Colonisation of various types of sediment by estuarine nematodes via lateral infaunal migration: a laboratory study. International Journal Marine Biology and Ecology 330: 284-296
- Schratzberger M, Warwick RM (1998a) Differential effects of various types of disturbances on the structure of nematode assemblages: An experimental approach. Marine Ecology Progress Series 181: 227-236
- Schratzberger M, Warwick RM (1998b) Impact of predation and sediment disturbance by *Carcinus maenas* (L.) on free living nematode community. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 235: 255-272
- Sjoling S, Mohammed SM, Lyimo TJ, Kyaruzi JJ (2005) Benthic bacterial diversity and nutrient processes in mangroves: impact of deforestation. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 63: 397-406
- Skov MW, Hartnoll RG (2002) Paradoxical selective feeding on low nutrient diet: why do mangrove crabs eat leaves? Oceanologia 131: 1-7
- Snelgrove PVR, Blackburn TH, Hutchings PA, Alongi DM, Grassle JF, Hummel H, King G, Koike I, Lambshead PJD, Ramsing NB, Solis-Weiss V (1997) The importance of marine sediment biodiversity in ecosystem processes. Ambio 26 (8): 578-583
- Tack JF, Polk P (1999) The influence of tropical catchments upon the coastal zone: modelling the links between groundwater and mangrove losses in Kenya, India

and Florida. In: Harper D, Brown T (eds), Sustainable Management in Tropical Catchments. Wiley and Sons Ltd, London, UK

Warwick RM, Platt HM, Somerfield PJ (1998) Free-living Marine Nematodes Part III. Monohysterids: In Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series). Barnes RSK, Crothers JH (eds). FSC Publications, Shrewsbury. 296 pp

Wolanski E, Mazda Y, Ridd P (1992) Mangrove hydrodynamics. In: Robertson AI, Alongi DM (eds), Tropical Mangrove Ecosystems, American Geophysical Union Press, Washington. pp 43-62