Improved waste-activated sludge dewatering using sludge/oil emulsion, ultrasonic and microwave technologies
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ABSTRACT

Conventional dewatering technologies, such as centrifuges, belt filter presses, and rotary vacuum filters, are not effective methods for treating sewage sludge with high water content. This study evaluated the field-scale feasibility of new technologies that use emulsion, ultrasonication, and microwaves to dewater sludge. Emulsion technology lowered the water content in sludge to 60%, but the overall process was too complex to incorporate into the design of commercial plants due to the requirement for oil- and methanol-recovery facilities. Ultrasonication had low dewatering and energy efficiency with long irradiation times, indicating that it would be difficult to implement in a field plant. The water content of sludge was reduced to 60% within 120 s using microwaves, but dewatering efficiency depended on the thickness and volume of the sludge. In a pilot-scale test, the average energy consumption was 0.54 kWh/kg of water removed, and the final water content of the sludge cake reached 60% within 30 min.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the production of waste-activated sludge in municipal wastewater treatment plants has increased significantly. Treatment and disposal methods for municipal wastewater sludge include landfilling, ocean disposal, incineration, and composting, but direct sludge disposal has been banned since July 2003 (MOE, 2003), and ocean disposal was outlawed in January 2012 (MOF, 2009). Thus, the recycling of sludge has been encouraged (e.g., composting, raw material for cement, and cover soil for landfilling), which is a prerequisite for reducing sludge volume and weight.

Sludge dewatering is a fundamental step in sludge processing because it decreases sludge volume and consequently the cost of transporting the sludge to its final disposal site. However, the high water content and biological gel-like structure of sludge render it difficult to dewater. Thus, suitable sludge conditioning processes should be performed before sludge dewatering. The moisture content of municipal wastewater sludge must be reduced significantly before its incineration and composting. Except for the drying method, however, existing technologies have technical limitations. The water content of activated sludge can be decreased to approximately 80% with existing mechanical dewatering technologies. To this end, various methods have been proposed to improve sludge dewaterability, such as the addition of acids and surfactants, Fenton’s reagent pre-treatment, fungal treatment, ultrasonication, and microwave irradiation (Chen et al., 2001; Eskicioglu et al., 2007; Fakhru’l-Razi and Molla, 2007; Tony et al., 2008; Feng et al., 2009a; 2009b; Yu et al., 2009).

Chemical conditioning is a tactic that improves mechanical dewatering, flocculating the sludge with conditioners, such as calcium oxide, ferric chloride, and polyacrylamide (Chen et al., 2001). Also, thermal and thermochemical processes and chemical oxidation using hydrogen peroxide can enhance cake dewaterability in two ways: (i) they degrade extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) proteins and polysaccharides reducing the water retention properties; and (ii) they promote flocculation which reduces the amount of fine flocs (Neyens et al., 2004). However, the operating cost for sludge dewatering by these methods is relatively expensive compared to that for conventional transportation and disposal (Chitikela and Dentel, 1998; Lee and Liu, 2001; Dentel, 2010).

Alternatively, dewatering technologies using ultrasonic, electro-osmotic, and microwave treatments have been examined (Raats et al., 2002; Dewil et al., 2006; Na et al., 2007; Huan et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2009a). Na et al. (2007) observed that ultrasonic treatment of waste-activated sludge improved the dewaterability, as evidenced by decreases in capillary suction time (CST) with increasing ultrasonic energy dosages. Feng et al. (2009a) reported that low-energy dosage slightly enhanced sludge dewaterability, while high-energy dosage significantly decreased sludge dewaterability in ultrasonic treatment; the optimal energy dosage generated sludge with optimal EPS concentration and particle size distribution. Also, Dewil et al. (2006) reported that the dewaterability decreases with increasing specific energy. The rate of dewatering also decreases, as evidenced by a higher CST.

Industrial use of microwave heating as an alternative to conventional heating methods in chemical reactions is becoming popular, primarily due to its dramatic reactions and reaction times (Eskicioglu et al., 2007). Many studies have analysed the effects of microwave irradiation on biological and chemical systems using various microwave and conventional heating units and experimental techniques and approaches. In particular, Eskicioglu et al. (2007) observed that a thermal microwave
enhances the digestibility of waste-activated sludge. Yu et al. (2009) reported that microwave irradiation improves sludge dewaterability at various microwave powers and exposure times. Moreover, microwave irradiation, followed by alkaline and polyelectrolyte dosing (combined conditioning), enhances the dewaterability of sludge and reduces the organic matter burden in sludge liquor (Wojciechowska, 2005; Doğan and Sanin, 2009). However, there has been little practical application of microwaves for sludge dewatering due to the shortage of reliable data for design and operating factors.

In this study, alternative technologies for the dewaterability of sludge, including sludge/oil emulsion, ultrasonication, and microwave irradiation, were examined, and their dewatering efficiencies were compared under various design and operational conditions using lab-scale and pilot-scale plants. Also, we evaluated the possibility of their practical application with regard to process scheme, process control, and operating cost.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sludge samples

Thickened sludge from a municipal wastewater treatment plant was used. Its moisture content was approximately 96±2%, although this varied slightly with sampling time. Because the moisture content of sludge can decrease over time and affect the reproduction of the test, the collected sludge was refrigerated immediately, and all experiments were conducted within 4 days of sampling to avoid any degradation of the samples.

Sludge dewatering using diesel emulsion

The emulsion test equipment comprised a mixer to mix the sludge, diesel, surfactants, a pressurised filtering device to separate solid-phase sludge, and a vacuum drier. Methanol was used as a low boiling-point solvent for oil recovery. The mixer had 2 l of working volume, an agitator and heating jacket to maintain temperature. The container was constructed to facilitate sampling and analysis. The sludge, diesel, and surfactant were weighed at a specific ratio into a container, and the mixture was placed in a reactor that was kept at constant temperature for approximately 20 min, for the reactant temperature to reach a specified point, and agitated. After an emulsion was formed, 75 g of methanol was added to the emulsion to replace diesel. The surfactants used in this study were of 4 types: amphoteric (Miranol, Rhodia, USA), anionic (TROTONT X-301, Dow Chemical Company, Korea), emulsifier (Danisco, DuPont, Japan), and polymeric (DEMOL NL., Kao Chemicals, Japan).

The pressure filter (Millipore Hazardous Waste Pressure Filter System, Millipore Co., Korea) was used to separate solid-phase sludge from the filtered liquid using 202.7 kPa dry air and a glass microfibre filter (GF/C, 1.2 µm pore size, Whatman). The moisture content of the separated solids was analysed on a Karl Fisher Volumetric Blending Titrator (Orion Turbo 2, Thermo Fisher Scientific Co., Korea). The measurement of residual solids followed preparation by drying for 24 h using a vacuum dryer (VC-3600, TITEC, Japan) (60°C, 26.7 kPa). The evaporated amounts of diesel and moisture were determined using a liquid nitrogen trap (CT100, Edwards, Japan) placed between dryer and vacuum pump (ED200, Edwards, Japan).

Sludge dewatering using ultrasonic waves

This experiment was performed in a batch reactor that was equipped with a disk transducer (Allied Signal Inc., Morristown, NJ), operating at 40 kHz. The disk transducer was fixed to the bottom of a cylindrical reactor with internal diameter of 240 mm, height of 240 mm, and working volume of 10 l. During the 120-min sonication time, sludge samples were mixed completely by the agitator (250 r/min), and the temperature was maintained at 25±2°C by thermostatted jackets to prevent rises in temperature after sonication. The maximum ultrasonic input power was 0.5 kW and determined by calorimetric measurement (Mason, 1991). Power density and sonication intensity were 0.81 W/m² and 6.7 W/cm², respectively. The ultrasonicator can continuously monitor the amount of energy in joules as the energy is delivered to the disk transducer and can terminate the ultrasonic input when the desired amount of energy has been dispensed. After sonication, dewatering efficiency was measured using the same pressure filter as in the emulsion experiment.

Feasibility of sludge dewatering using microwaves

A household microwave oven (Samsung Electronics Co., frequency 2.45 GHz, max power 1.0 kW) was used to generate microwave irradiation, in the middle of which a Pyrex vessel (133 mm × 250 mm × 50 mm) was installed. The sludge temperature was measured with a thermocouple probe (T-type, Labcor Technical Sales Inc., ON, Canada), inserted into the middle of the sample and connected to a module for analogue-to-digital conversion, and recorded on a laboratory computer system (LabVIEW Software Version 6, National Instruments Co., Austin, TX, USA). The microwave switch was used to adjust the microwave energy and turn the microwave on and off.

The sludge samples were prepared with various depths and widths. The microwave energy was adjusted from 0.2 kW to 1.0 kW for various durations – 0 s, 15 s, 30 s, 45 s, and 60 s. The treated samples were then cooled to room temperature before analysis. After the microwave treatment, dewatering efficiency was measured using the same pressure filter as in the emulsion experiment.

Lab-scale test of sludge dewatering using microwaves

A single chamber was designed by computer simulation, consisting of a chamber and power supply area. The wave guide and chamber were welded to block leakage of electromagnetic waves. Because irregular surfaces disturb the electromagnetic field, the chamber was welded from the outside. Further, the bridge between the magnetron and wave guide was designed to block leakage of the electromagnetic field. The power supply was placed at the top of the chamber with a high-pressure transformer and capacitor. To prevent instrument failure due to high temperature during continuous operation of the magnetron, a fan motor was attached. Thermistors were attached to the body and magnetron to cut power when the chamber overheated.

Using a single microwave chamber, a hydro-extractor was constructed. The experimental set was divided into 4 parts: a microwave chamber, filter set, impinger set, and vacuum pump. The microwave chamber had a 400-mm width, 380-mm length, and 350-mm height (see Fig. 1). Extracted moisture from the microwave was captured by...
a gas filter set. The impinger set was attached to minimise the loss of moisture. To condense the moisture, the temperature of the water in the impinger set was maintained at 0.5°C using a refrigerated bath circulator, and the total dehydration rate was measured, combining the amount of water in the impinger and filter sets. Glass filters were manufactured in various sizes to determine the range of sludge sizes in a real plant, and the filter pore size was 40–50 μm. To capture the extracted moisture, a vacuum pump was installed on the outside of the microwave chamber. A microwave detector was installed to measure the microwaves that leaked from the chamber. No microwave leakage was detected.

The frequency and microwave intensity were fixed at 2.45 GHz and 0.7 kW, respectively. The dewatering efficiency was measured by sludge thickness (3–10 mm), sludge size (65–150 mm), irradiation time (30–180 s), and vacuum pressure (0–100 mm Hg). To examine the effects of the vacuum on dewatering efficiency, the same test was conducted under non-vacuum conditions.

Pilot-scale test of sludge dewatering using microwaves

The microwave reactor was manufactured as a multimode cavity structure to achieve a 50t/d dehydration rate. A multimode cavity structure is mechanically simple and easy to heat uniformly over a wide range of reactor area. Despite the base frequency of a commercial magnetron being 2.45 GHz, it does not produce a single continuous frequency. Thus, multiple modes exist in the chamber.

At the top of the chamber, a rectangular wave guide was installed to convert the microwave from the magnetron to the chamber without loss. To adjust the effective impedance between the magnetron and chamber, the entire impedance of the system was calculated, and an adjustment wave guide was designed using the calculated impedance. As a result, the size of the wave guide was 86 mm × 43 mm × 86.4 mm. The quantity of the sludge was 1.9 kg, and the power was fixed at 0.8 kW and 2.7 kW. The moisture content and power density were measured by irradiation time.

Analysis

To evaluate the volatilisation of volatile organic compounds in sludge, caloric values were measured on a calorimeter (Parr 1261 Bomb, Parr Instrument Co., Moline, IL, USA) before and after microwave treatment. To determine the distribution of liquid and vapour quantity in the total dewatered quantity, the moisture content and quantity of liquid were measured after the dewatering. Vapour quantity was calculated by subtracting the liquid quantity from total dewatering quantity. Because the moisture that was trapped in the capturing tube evaporated due to the microwave, an impinger was installed outside of the microwave generator to compensate for this. To condense the vapour that passed through the impinger, a refrigerated bath circulator was used to maintain the water temperature at 0.5°C. The total liquid quantity was calculated by adding the moisture content in the liquid capturing tube to that in the impinger. Water content and chemical oxygen demand (COD) were measured per standard methods (APHA et al., 1998). Degree of sludge disintegration was defined by Li et al. (2008), comparing the microwave process and maximum soluble chemical demand (SCOD$_{NaOH}$).

\[
\text{Sludge disintegration degree (\%)} = \frac{\text{SCOD}_S - \text{SCOD}_{S\text{NaOH}}}{\text{SCOD}_{S\text{NaOH}}} \times 100
\]

SCOD$_S$ and SCOD$_{S\text{NaOH}}$ values are for treated and untreated sludge samples, respectively. SCOD$_{S\text{NaOH}}$ was obtained by alkaline hydrolysis, wherein the initial sludge sample was mixed with 0.5 M NaOH at room temperature for 24 h (Feng et al., 2009b).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dewatering of sludge using emulsion of sludge and diesel

To select the optimal surfactant, 4 types of surfactants were used: amphoteric, anionic, emulsifier, and polymeric. As shown in Table 1, the amphoteric surfactant had the highest dewatering efficiency—3 times that of the others— with a high affinity for water and oil in sludge. When amphoteric surfactant was
added to 2%, the dewatering efficiency was relatively high, but the amounts of water that were removed declined as surfactant content increased, indicating that excessive surfactant inhibits the formation of emulsions.

Next, we examined the optimal ratio of sludge and diesel for the pre-conditioning sludge using amphoteric surfactant. At a ratio of sludge to diesel of 1:3, the dewatering efficiency peaked, as evidenced by the significant amounts of water replaced by diesel. As the ratio of sludge and diesel decreased, the filtration time lengthened, implying that the viscosity of the mixture significantly affects filtration time. In addition, at higher reaction temperatures, less moisture was removed, but there was little change in dewatering efficiency below 30°C, indicating that reactions at room temperature are effective.

When sludge and diesel were mixed, the moisture contained in the sludge formed an emulsion with diesel and the water in the sludge was removed, because the position of the moisture in the sludge was occupied by diesel. The diesel was then replaced by methanol as a low boiling-point solvent. In the sludge, only solid-phase sludge, residual water and methanol remained. Since the methanol contained in sludge can be removed easily by heating and decompression, a high efficiency of sludge dewatering can be obtained.

In the experiment above, the dewatering efficiency of sludge decreased by 5%, which is insufficient for sludge reduction and energy efficiency in the incineration process. Thus, as shown in Table 2, moisture removal efficiency was at least 2-fold higher when a low-boiling-point solvent, such as methanol, was used as an additive to the surfactant, relative to when the surfactant or additive was used alone. This finding indicates that the surfactant and additive have synergistic effects, enhancing moisture removal significantly.

### Table 1: Characteristics of moisture rates under various conditions of emulsion (agitation speed: 2 500 r/min, agitation time: 20 min)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surfactant type</th>
<th>Surfactant content (wt%)</th>
<th>Ratio of sludge and diesel</th>
<th>Reaction temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Removed moisture content (g)</th>
<th>Calculated moisture rate (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphoteric</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anionic</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsifier</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymeric</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphoteric</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphoteric</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphoteric</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>79.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Characteristics of moisture removal rates under various conditions for additives (surfactant: amphoteric type, surfactant content: 1.5 wt%, reaction temperature: 30°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive type</th>
<th>Additive content (g)</th>
<th>Ratio of sludge and diesel</th>
<th>Agitation speed (r/min)</th>
<th>Agitation time (min)</th>
<th>Removed moisture content (g)</th>
<th>Calculated moisture rate (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant alone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol alone</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>1 200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>1 200</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant + methanol</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>1 200</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for this improvement is the consequent reduction in extracellular polymeric substances and the resulting compactness of the sludge (Chen et al., 2001).

With the additive, an oil-sludge ratio of 1:3 effected the greatest moisture removal, as occurred without the additive. The dewatering effect also varied with agitation conditions and the manner in which the additive was supplied. With the additive, the moisture content was 60% or lower at a fixed agitation speed of 1 200 r/min, and the dewatering effect rose with increases in the quantity of additive. Longer agitation times reduced the dewatering effect.

In summary, to improve the dewatering efficiency of an emulsion of sludge and oil, a low-boiling-point additive must be added, such as methanol, at a constant agitation speed of 1 200 r/min for 20 min. The sludge-oil emulsion reduced the moisture content to 60% or lower, implying that it can lower incineration costs, because it allows direct incineration of products. However, it is economically infeasible for use in large-scale commercial facilities due to the complexity of the process and the requirement of additional facilities for the recovery of methanol and oil.

**Dewatering of sludge using ultrasonic waves**

To indirectly examine the dewatering effect in the cell using a 40 kHz and 0.2 kW ultrasonic oscillator, we measured the change in SCOD concentration and moisture content after decomposition of 98% thickened sludge at various reaction times and powers. Figure 2a shows the effect of ultrasonic power on the SCOD of concentrated sludge after 1 h of irradiation. When the power exceeded 0.1 kW, SCOD values increased to 1 850 mg/l at 0.18 kW or higher – 6 times greater than the initial value of 300 mg/l. Next, the SCOD remained nearly constant despite an increase in power. Cells were destroyed by ultrasonic irradiation over the specific time and intensity, and the moisture was discharged to the outside. This result indicates that the moisture content in sludge can be removed after ultrasonic treatment and filtration.

Ultrasonic treatment can disintegrate sludge and release the organic matter in the flocs. Low density and long duration sonication was more efficient than high density and short duration. The effect of temperature rise was limited at low energy density when sonication time was short (Huan et al., 2009). When the activated sludge was disintegrated with ultrasound, cell membranes were disrupted, releasing intracellular polysaccharides and proteins into the extracellular matrix and thereby leading to the observed increase in EPS levels (Zhang et al., 2007). Wang et al. (2006) similarly concluded that ultrasonic sludge disintegration significantly increased the concentration of soluble biopolymers.

Figure 2b shows the effect of ultrasonic time on the SCOD of concentrated sludge at 160 W. SCOD values did not change significantly until after 1 h of irradiation, at which point they rose abruptly, indicating that it took more than 1 h for cells to be destroyed and that the sonic wave irradiation had to exceed 1 h to ensure the dewatering effect by discharging the moisture from the cell at 160 W. If the intensity of ultrasonic waves increases, the irradiation time for cell destruction can be reduced.

As shown in Fig. 2c, higher intensities increased the dewatering efficiency, and ultrasonic wave irradiation increased the moisture removal efficiency by approximately 8%. This result implies that the particles of sludge are pulverised by sonic waves and the overall pore moisture in sludge increased.

Figure 2

The effect of (a) ultrasonic power and (b) ultrasonic time on the SCOD of sewage sludge and (c) the effect of ultrasonic power and time on moisture content

After 40 to 60 min of irradiation, moisture removal efficiency increased, and thereafter declined. This phenomenon can be explained by the gradual increase in moisture content with sonication time.

Sludge dewatering technology using sonication reduced the moisture content to 74%, requiring 30 min of sonication time or longer. It is unlikely that this technique will be applied in actual treatment plants, due to the low dewatering and energy efficiencies despite the relatively long sonication time.
Dewatering of sludge using microwaves

Feasibility test

The dewatering efficiency of sludge using microwaves was measured for a range of microwave intensities and times. The dewatering efficiencies did not change when the power intensity rose from 0.8 kW to 1.0 kW. When the irradiation time increased from 10 to 60 s, the sludge moisture decreased to 60% within 30 to 45 s (see Fig. 3a). When the irradiation time was fixed at 45 s, which could have resulted in 60% or less sludge moisture, and the power intensity climbed from 0.2 kW to 1.0 kW, the power intensity became inversely proportional to the moisture content. At 0.6 kW or less, the moisture content became 70% or higher, indicating that this condition was unsuitable for dewatering sludge (see Fig. 3b).

The experiments were conducted to evaluate dewatering efficiency under various thickness of sludge, showing that the dewatering efficiency reduced as sludge got thicker. The results indicate that microwave has a limitation in the penetration of sludge used in this study (see Fig. 3c). In order to increase sludge dewatering efficiency by microwave, the thickness of sludge needs to decrease.

The dewatering efficiency by sludge sample area was examined with 20-mm-thick sludge. As shown in Fig. 3d, the dewatering efficiency was constant until the sludge sample area reached 30 mm, above which the efficiency declined, because the power distribution in the microwave reactor was normally from the centre of the sample. As the sludge area increased, the distance from the centre rose and the power fell, indicating that the power distribution must be simulated before the dewatering device can be designed.

Lab-scale test

Based on the results of the feasibility test, the microwave was used on sludge to determine the dewatering efficiency by irradiation time, sludge thickness, sludge area (size), and vacuum pressure. The dewatering efficiency of the suctioned sludge was compared with that of non-suctioned sludge according to irradiation time. Although the moisture content did not change with irradiation time, the liquid portion of the sludge rose as irradiation time increased, as shown in Fig. 4a, indicating that longer irradiation times increase the quantity of liquid due to the decrease in moisture content. The effect of the suction was more robust for the liquid portion than vapour. To achieve a moisture content of 60% or less, the irradiation time was fixed at 120 s in all subsequent tests.

As shown in Fig. 4b, the dewatering efficiency declined gradually as the sludge thickness increased with the suction, but without the suction, the dewatering efficiency remained nearly constant. This result suggests that with the suction the filter was blocked by the vacuum before the moisture evaporated around the filter, and the area beyond the reach of the microwave increased, reducing the dewatering efficiency. In addition, the liquid portion was unaffected by the rise in sludge thickness but differed significantly, based on use of the suction. Based on the result that reducing the thickness of the sludge increases the dewatering efficiency, a sludge thickness of 5 mm or less is required to ensure 60% moisture content or lower.

Within a range of 2.7–13.3 kPa, an increase in vacuum pressure did not change the moisture content in sludge, but the liquid portion with the suction rose in proportion to the vacuum pressure (see Fig. 4c). Accordingly, it appears that...
suction with a vacuum is suitable for reducing the energy that is required to evaporate the moisture from dewatering. Because moisture content hardly affects vacuum pressure, a pressure of 3.3 kPa is feasible.

Dewatering efficiencies with varying sludge size (diameter) and thickness were similar. Larger sludge size decreased the dewatering efficiency, because the microwave did not reach as many parts of the sludge. The liquid portion with and without suction did not differ. However, thicker sludge increased the liquid portion, whereas larger sludge decreased it. These data indicate that sludge size influences the liquid portion more significantly than sludge thickness. In conclusion, thinner and smaller sludge increases dewatering efficiency.

**Pilot-scale test**

As shown in Fig. 5a, when the power increased from 0.8 kW to 1.4 kW, the time to reach a moisture content as low as 54% was approximately 1.7-fold faster. Figs. 5b and 5c show the change in energy input per remaining moisture content and the change in remaining moisture content in the sludge by microwave irradiation time. The initial moisture content of 1.9 kg of municipal wastewater sludge was 83% (i.e., 1.58 kg). With microwave irradiation, the moisture content continued to decrease by dewatering to approximately 0.40 kg in 50 min. Thus, the moisture content in sludge, which was directly influenced by the microwave energy, declined, and the decrease in microwave energy per remaining water content accelerated, increasing the input power density and decreasing the drying time.

Figure 5d shows the energy input per unit of moisture removed. As microwave irradiation time increased, the energy consumption per unit moisture that was removed from the sludge fell. In dewatering with the microwave, the moisture content in sludge declined as microwave irradiation time increased, and the input microwave energy decreased sequentially with the reduction in moisture content. The energy consumption was 0.57 kWh/kg of removed water; the specific energy consumptions in the dewatering methods are summarised in Table 3.

Figure 6 shows the quantities of liquid and vapour that were removed from the bottom and top of the reactor, respectively, with irradiation time, in the microwave irradiation method. Most moisture that was removed from the municipal wastewater sludge was in vapour form. With increasing irradiation time, the moisture content in sludge declined, and the input microwave energy decreased sequentially with the reduction in moisture content. The energy consumption was 0.57 kWh/kg of removed water; the specific energy consumptions in the dewatering methods are summarised in Table 3.

Figure 6 shows the quantities of liquid and vapour that were removed from the bottom and top of the reactor, respectively, with irradiation time, in the microwave irradiation method. Most moisture that was removed from the municipal wastewater sludge was in vapour form. With increasing irradiation time, the moisture content in sludge declined, and the input microwave energy decreased sequentially with the reduction in moisture content. The energy consumption was 0.57 kWh/kg of removed water; the specific energy consumptions in the dewatering methods are summarised in Table 3.

**Figure 5**

The effect of (a) radiation time (power = 0.9 kW, sludge size = 3 × 65 mm, vacuum pressure = 3.3 kPa), (b) sludge thickness (power = 0.9 kW, sludge diameter = 65 mm, time = 120 s, vacuum pressure = 3.3 kPa), (c) vacuum pressure (power = 0.9 kW, sludge size = 3 × 65 mm, time = 120 s), and (d) sludge diameter (power = 0.9 kW, sludge depth = 3 mm, time = 120 s, vacuum pressure = 3.3 kPa) on the dewatered portion of sewage sludge (line indicates moisture content with and without suction).
power should be increased to enhance the removal of moisture in the sludge in the form of liquid rather than vapor.

**CONCLUSIONS**

An innovative sludge dewatering technology is required to enhance sludge recycling, in light of the ban on direct landfill and ocean disposal. Dewatering technologies that use chemicals and electrical waves have tremendous potential to decrease the moisture content, as an alternative to physical methods. This study examined 3 types of dewatering technologies (sludge/oil emulsion, ultrasonication, and microwave). Ultrasonication technology has limitations, such as low dewatering efficiency and low energy efficiency, despite long

---

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dewatering Method</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Specific energy consumption (kWh/kg of removed water)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electroosmotic</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Larue et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electro-dewatering</td>
<td>Waste-activated sludge</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Citeau et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electroosmotic</td>
<td>Waste-activated sludge</td>
<td>0.013–0.119</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electroosmotic</td>
<td>Composted wastewater sludge</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>Banerjee and Law (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>Waste-activated sludge</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 5 (above)**

*Change in moisture content, power density, remaining water, and energy consumed per unit water removed with time (sludge weight = 1.9 kg, initial moisture contents = 83%).*

**Figure 6**

*Liquid and vapour portion of removed water in sludge over time (sludge weight = 1.9 kg, initial moisture content = 83%)*
required sonication times under 160 W ultrasonic intensity. In contrast, the sludge/oil emulsion reduced the moisture content in sludge to 60% or less, but was not cost-effective with regard to the initial investment and operation. Lastly, the microwave technology displayed high dewatering efficiency, and its optimal operational factors – irradiation time, sludge thickness, sludge area, and vacuum pressure – were 120 s, depth < 3 mm, diameter < 60 mm, and < 3.3 kPa, respectively. Also, the liquid portion was not affected by increases in sludge thickness or size but differed significantly, based on use of the suction. In a pilot-scale microwave plant, the dewatering efficiency was confirmed to enhance moisture removal in liquid and vapour form. Thus, microwave technology is a powerful tool that minimises energy consumption and improves dewatering efficiency for municipal wastewater sludge.
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