Aluminium hydro(oxide)–based (AO) adsorbent for defluoridation of drinking water: Optimisation, performance comparison, and field testing
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ABSTRACT
In this study, the performance of different aluminium hydroxide–based adsorbents was compared in terms of fluoride adsorption capacity, potential for repetitive regeneration, surface acidity and surface site concentrations. The adsorbents were aluminium hydroxide (AO), activated alumina (AA), and pseudoboehmite (PB). The AO adsorbent was synthesised at different OH:Al ratios to optimise the fluoride adsorption capacity. The AO was pilot tested in a rural community in the Ethiopia Rift Valley where groundwaters are heavily enriched with fluoride. The maximum fluoride uptake was achieved for the AO adsorbent synthesised at OH:Al ratios between 2.5 and 2.7. The fluoride adsorption capacity of the adsorbents determined from mini-column studies was found to be 10.6, 1.9, and 2.4 mg/g for AA, AO, and PB, respectively. This significant difference in fluoride adsorption capacity is strongly related to the surface acidity and surface site concentration. The surface acidity (1.57 meq/g) and surface site concentration (0.74 meq/g) for AO is higher than that for AA and PB. The elemental composition analysis showed that AO has a lower % Al₂O₃ content than AA and PB, but higher sulphate (19.4%) and iron (2.2%) content. High resolution ²⁷Al Magic Angle Spinning Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (²⁷Al MAS NMR) spectra of AO, AA, and PB were recorded, to analyse the coordination geometry of solid Al species and the results showed that aluminium is coordinated octahedrally and tetrahedrally in all cases. Regeneration experiments showed that AA and PB can be regenerated for more than 3 cycles, whereas the potential for regeneration of AO for more than 3 cycles is limited. The results from a community defluoridation plant showed that fluoride in the feed water (8–10 mg/ℓ) is removed below 0.1 mg/ℓ. The average adsorption capacity was determined to be 2.11 mg/g based on continuous field monitoring results obtained until the fluoride content in the treated water exceeded the breakthrough value of 1.5 mg/ℓ. No major operational problems and complaints from the beneficiaries were experienced during operation.
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INTRODUCTION
The level of fluoride in drinking water is a very important physicochemical factor, which must be considered when assessing water quality for human consumption. According to the World Health Organization the maximum acceptable concentration of fluoride ions in drinking water is 1.5 mg/ℓ (WHO, 2011). While a low daily dose of fluoride is considered to be responsible for preventing dental caries; a higher daily dose has been linked to permanent dental and skeletal fluorosis (Guo and Guo, 2013). Many water sources in Ethiopia contain fluoride at elevated concentrations of up to 26 mg/ℓ (Kloos and Tekle-Haimanot, 1999). According to estimates of the Ethiopian Ministry of Water Resources, more than 11 million people in the Ethiopian Rift Valley rely on drinking water contaminated by fluoride (Adeno et al., 2014).

In areas where alternative options are not feasible, as in the case of most tropical regions of developing countries, defluoridation of drinking water might be the most prioritised option. The choice of defluoridation technology depends on cost effectiveness, ease of operation, water composition, taste of water, quality of treated water and management of wastes produced. Community solutions have strong advantages over household solutions in terms of monitoring and maintenance, but these can be offset by higher investment and lack of ownership (Bregnhoj, 1997).

Defluoridation methods can be broadly divided into 4 categories according to the main removal mechanism: (co)precipitation, ion exchange, adsorption and physical separation (Sneha et al., 2012). Fluoride removal through adsorption onto various materials is the most promising in terms of running costs and ease of operation. The choice of adsorptive medium depends on fluoride uptake capacity, the possibility of regeneration, the number of useful cycles and the end-of-life fate (Osterwalder et al., 2014).

Several adsorbent materials have been tested in an attempt to identify an efficient and economical defluoridating material (Sneha et al., 2012). Activated alumina (AA) has been extensively studied for years for fluoride removal from drinking water. Defluoridation processes based on AA have been used at both community and domestic levels. Ghorai and Pant (2004, 2005) have found that removal was the result of ion-exchange as well as adsorption processes. The fundamental interfacial properties of aluminium hydroxide are the protonation of surface hydroxyl groups resulting in the development of surface charge (Goldberg et al., 1996). At solution pH values
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below 6 the surface of AA has a positive charge and thus a great capacity for fluoride adsorption. In the neutral pH range the affinity of the surface for fluoride is much lower, limiting the practical applications of AA. Moreover, it has been reported that alumina begins to leach aluminium and its fluoride complexes below pH 6 and poses severe threats to human health, as aluminium and its fluoride complexes are thought to cause Alzheimer’s disease and other health complications (George et al., 2009). Recent attempts to increase the uptake capacity of AA with manganous/manganese oxides (Tripathy and Raichur, 2008; Teng et al., 2009; Alemu et al., 2014), magnesium (Maliyekkal et al., 2008), and iron hydroxide/AA mixtures (Biswas et al., 2007) appear to have been successful, but the mechanisms are unknown.

Adsorbent bed regeneration is an important operation which strongly influences the economical performance of adsorption processes. Any innovative technique that can reduce the cost of the regeneration operation will contribute to making column bed adsorption more efficient and more attractive (Lounici et al., 2001). Several regeneration methods for an activated alumina column saturated with fluoride ions have been reported in the literature (Barbier and Mazaunie, 1984; Schoeman, 2009). These techniques noted that, as a cleaning product, caustic soda was more efficient than other products such as aluminium sulphate, sulphuric acid and aluminate (Barbier and Mazaunie, 1984). Schoeman (2009) regenerated AA by flushing with a solution of 1% sodium hydroxide, which removes fluoride from the alumina surface. This procedure was followed by flushing with acid to re-establish a positive charge on the surface of the alumina. Subsequent water washing was carried out to raise the pH to 7, followed by oven drying. There was a marginal decrease in the adsorption capacity after each regeneration cycle. A loss of 5% in adsorption capacity of AA was observed after 5 cycles. The following reactions take place in the adsorption regeneration/reaction cycle of activated alumina (Ghorai and Pant, 2004):

\[
\text{AlF} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{AlOH} + \text{F} \\
\text{AlOH} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow \text{AlH}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{HOH}
\]

The use of a locally-produced aluminium sulphate and lime combination to precipitate fluoride (the Nalgonda Technique) has been tested in Ethiopia (Feleke and Bekele, 2000). However, based on operational experiences at community scale, the Nalgonda Technique cannot remove sufficient fluoride from water containing fluoride concentrations higher than 10 mg/l, and requires skilled manpower for operation (Feleke and Bekele, 2000). Imported activated alumina has been used as a filter material to remove fluoride in the Wonji-Shoa and Methara Sugar Estates since 1962, but none of the plants is functional at present (Moges et al., 1996; Feleke and Bekele, 2000), which is attributed to the high running costs and shortage of chemical supply. A local non-governmental organization (NGO) called Oromo Self Help Organization (OSHO) field-tested bone char technology both at household and community scale in rural villages of Ethiopia (Johnson et al., 2011). Although, charring of bones removes organic matter and greatly increases the specific surface area and fluoride adsorption capacity on the bone hydroxyapatite (Christoffersen et al., 1991; Larsen et al., 1994), religious and cultural beliefs may render cow bone char undesirable in certain regions, including Ethiopia.

Moreover, adsorption of fluoride by different adsorbents such as fired clay chips (Moges et al., 1996), waste residue from alum-manufacturing processes (Nigussie et al., 2007), untreated hydrated alumina (UHA) and thermally-treated hydrated alumina (THA) obtained from hydrolysis of locally-manufactured aluminium sulphate (Shimelis et al., 2006), aluminium oxide–manganese oxide composite material (Alemu et al., 2014), nanoscale aluminium oxide hydroxide (AlOOH) (Adeno et al., 2014) and natural zeolite (Gómez-Hortigüela et al., 2013), were among several attempts which have been made in Ethiopia for defluoridation of drinking water.

Recently, the properties and fluoride-adsorption capacity of an aluminium hydroxide–based (AO) adsorbent has been investigated (Mulugeta et al., 2014). Depending on the conditions of precipitation and thermal treatment, the resultant metal oxides exhibit substantial differences, not only in specific surface area and pore size distribution but also in the surface properties. Surface acidity and surface site concentration were estimated by acid-base titration (Dzombak and Morel, 1990; Stumm, 1992; Goldberg et al., 1996). The results from these titrations indicated that the acidity of AO was greater than its surface site concentration, and thus the acidity is responsible for increasing the fluoride adsorption capacity of AO. This is because the concentration of both protonated surface sites and acid sites, which served as fluoride binding sites, increased significantly with decreasing pH. The results from mini-column experiments with AO (10 eBV/day, 20 mg/l fluoride, pH 8 ± 0.2, 10 mM NaHCO$_3$, 5.89 x 10$^{-3}$ g/l of CO$_2$), showed that the adsorption capacity of AO was 9.0 mg F/g. Moreover, the use of a post-treatment column containing calcite (CaCO$_3$) neutralised pH and controlled both aluminium and sulphate concentrations in the treated water. This approach enabled us to directly use an acid adsorbent while maintaining a high fluoride adsorption capacity. The results encouraged us to test the technology in rural communities of the Ethiopian Rift Valley.

We particularly wanted to compare the AO material to other aluminium hydroxide–based adsorbents, namely, AA and pseudoboehmite (PB), in terms of solubility, uptake capacity and regeneration potential. Depending on the conditions of precipitation and thermal treatment, resulting metal oxides exhibit substantial differences not only in magnitudes of the specific surface area and pore size distribution but also in the surface properties. The objectives of the present work were to: (i) explore the adsorption capacity of the AO adsorbent as a function of OH:Al synthesis ratio, (ii) examine the solubility of AO, (iii) compare the fluoride removal and regeneration performance of AO with other aluminium hydroxide–based adsorbents, and (iv) demonstrate the performance of a high-capacity AO adsorbent in a rural community of the Ethiopian Rift Valley, where groundwaters are highly enriched with fluoride.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Adsorbents**

The different forms of AO, aluminium hydro(oxide), were prepared by adding NaOH (1.7 to 2.8 M) to 100 g of Al$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$.14 H$_2$O in 500 mL deionised water at the flow rate of approximately 9.1 ml/min with vigorous stirring (650 r/min). The amount of NaOH was adjusted to give OH:Al ratios in the final suspension as 2.0, 2.2, 2.5, 2.7, 3.0 and 3.3. The precipitates were filtered, washed with deionised water, dried and heated at 300°C in a furnace (Carbolite, ELF Model, UK) for 1 h. The AO which was produced at 2.7 OH:Al ratio (AO$_{2.7}$) was selected for further studies in the present work, due to its fluoride removal performance and surface properties.
Activated alumina (AA) and pseudoboehmite (PB) were obtained from Albemarle Corporation, Germany. The AA (Compalox® AN/V–812) has been used for catalytic and adsorptive applications. It has high porosity, large pore volume, high specific surface area (> 250 m²/g), high crushing strength, and high adsorptive capacity for ions such as fluoride, chloride, phosphate, and arsenate. Granular activated alumina is thermally formed from boehmite, AOOH (Weingartner et al., 1978). Pseudoboehmite has also been widely used in the manufacturing of catalysts, due to its relatively large surface area (300 m²/g), porosity, crushing strength and thermal stability (Beiding et al., 1973).

**Batch experiments**

Batch tests were conducted to characterise AO produced at different OH:Al ratios. The adsorption capacity of each product was determined by mixing 1.6 g/l of the adsorbent in 20 mg/l fluoride-containing deionised water in a 250 ml flask. These mixtures were allowed to equilibrate for 60 min under continuous mixing conditions at room temperature (25°C) in the thermostatic cabinet. The optimum dose (1.6 g/l) and contact time (60 min) were selected based on the previous study (Shimelis et al., 2006).

**Elemental composition analysis**

The aluminium hydroxide–based adsorbents (0.1 g) were digested in a microwave digester in a 3:1 mixture (4 ml) of 30% HCl and 65% HNO₃ for 80 min and then diluted to 100 ml using deionised water (EPA, 2007). The elemental composition was determined by ICP-MS (Agilent 7500CX, USA) and sulphate was determined by ion chromatography (Metrohm 761, Switzerland).

**Fluoride analysis**

A fluoride stock solution (1 000 mg/l) was prepared from 99.0% NaF (Merck, Germany) in deionised water. Standards and samples were prepared by appropriate dilution of the stock solution. The fluoride concentration was measured with a pH meter (713 Metrohm, Switzerland) equipped with ion-selective fluoride electrode (Metrohm 6.0502.150, Switzerland) and Ag/AgCl reference electrode (6.0726.100 Metrohm, Switzerland). Analyses were performed on equal-volume mixtures of sample and total ionic strength adjustment buffer (TISAB). Total ionic strength adjustment buffer (TISAB) solution was prepared by following a recommended procedure (Bailey, 1980), except that CDTA (trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane-tetracetic acid) was replaced by EDTA.

**Acid-base titrations**

Acid-base titrations for aluminium hydroxide–based adsorbents (AO, AA and PB) were carried out at pH values between 5 and 10 with 0.5 g adsorbent in electrolyte solution (0.1 M NaCl, 50 mM) under CO₂-free conditions, at a constant temperature of 25°C. The titrants used were 0.1 M NaOH and 0.1 M HCl. Before titration, the samples were equilibrated with the electrolyte solution for an hour, gently stirring under a continuous stream of purified nitrogen with 100% humidity (Yang et al., 2007; Muluga et al., 2014). The adsorbent was then rapidly titrated (60 s per titration step) to pH 10. The suspension was then equilibrated for 10 min prior to centrifugation to separate the solid from the solution. Both were then back-titrated separately to a pH of around 5. After 10 min, the procedure was repeated. The titration was performed by Dosimat (665 Metrohm, Switzerland), with a combined pH glass electrode (WTW Inolab pH/ION Level 2, Germany) calibrated using Gran titration method (Gran, 1952). This experiment was performed in duplicate.

**Aluminium solubility**

The AO powder was suspended in deionised water containing an inert electrolyte (0.1 M NaCl) in closed plastic bottles with an oxide/water ratio of 1 g/100 ml for 6 days under continuous stirring at room temperature (25°C) (Carrier et al., 2007). The pH was adjusted from 3 to 11 by addition of either 0.1 M HCl or NaOH throughout the experiments. The aluminium content was compared to standard aluminium hydroxide solubilities determined using the ChemEQL software (Muller, 1992).

**NMR analysis**

High resolution ²⁷Al magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (²⁷Al MAS NMR) spectra of AO, AA and PB were recorded, to analyse the coordination geometry of the solid Al species formed, on a Bruker AVANCE-400 spectrometer operating at 104.3 MHz and equipped with a high-speed MAS probe head (2.5 mm zirconia rotor spinning up to 35 kHz). A relaxation delay of 1 s and pulse length of 0.3 µs were used to collect the ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectrum. Chemical shifts are referenced with respect to an external Al(NO₃)₃ aqueous solution, i.e., the resonance of Al(H₂O)₆³⁺ was set to 0 ppm.

**Column experiments**

To investigate the fluoride removal performance of AO, AA and PB under continuous operation, 3 columns, each with length 11 cm and internal diameter 3.4 cm, were packed with the adsorbents. The amount of AO, AA and PB packed in these columns was 31, 100, and 41 g, respectively. The peristaltic pump (ISMATEC, REGIO–CPF Analog, Switzerland) was used to introduce a synthetic buffered solution (10 mM NaHCO₃ and 5.89 x 10⁻² g/l of CO₂) containing 20 mg/l fluoride at a controlled flow rate of 10 empty bed volumes per day in the upflow mode through the columns. The effluent from all of the columns was collected at defined time intervals and examined for pH, aluminium, sodium, sulphate and fluoride concentration. The regeneration experiments were initiated when the fluoride concentration in the effluent exceeded 1.5 mg/l and conducted using a sodium hydroxide solution. 0.01 M NaOH was used to regenerate AO and 0.25 M NaOH for AA and PB at a flow rate of 8.3 ml/min for 60 min. For all cases, rinsing and neutralisation (0.02 M H₂SO₄) steps were followed with flow rate of 8.3 ml/min (60 min) and 16.7 ml/min (90 min), respectively (Schoeman, 2009). Multiple adsorption/desorption cycles were also executed for up to 3 cycles.

**Field implementation**

The community AO defluoridation plant was designed, constructed and commissioned in Tsecthigragona, Oromya Regional State, Ethiopia (Latitude: 8° 10’ 1.19” N; Longitude: 38° 53’ 12.67” E) in July, 2011. The AO community defluoridation pilot plant was run twice by replacing the spent media with fresh media in the second round in May, 2012. The AO adsorbent was prepared at a pilot scale, based on scaling up of the...
laboratory synthesis scheme. A schematic of the community defluoridation plant is presented in Fig. 1.

The field test was undertaken based on laboratory results. The principal variables which affect the performance of the adsorbent were flow rate, ions, pH of raw water, and potential leaching of aluminium and sulphate from the adsorbent during continuous operation. The groundwater is pumped by a windmill. Groundwater with fluoride concentration in the range of 8 to 10 mg/l was treated by a packed bed of AO media (Tank A), and through a calcite bed, to control treated water pH and potential leaching of aluminium (Tank B). The flow rate was adjusted to about 3 ℓ/min by using a flow control valve, installed between Tank A and Tank B. During the first day of operation, Tank A was washed until the electrical conductivity of the water was less than 1500 µs/cm.

The performance of the community defluoridation plant was monitored by collecting influent and effluent water samples once a week. Temperature, pH, EC and turbidity of the raw water and treated water, before and after the calcite bed, were measured onsite. Water meter readings were also recorded during which samples were taken. However, fluoride, aluminium, sulphate and other parameters were measured offsite.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimisation of the synthesis of AO adsorbent

The yield, elemental composition and fluoride adsorption capacity are listed in Table 1 as a function of the OH:Al reactant ratio. The fluoride uptake is also shown with mineral and surface acidity in Fig. 2. A maximum yield is obtained at OH:Al ratios of 2.5 to 3.0. In acidic production conditions (AO2.0, AO2.2) and strongly alkaline conditions (AO3.3) the aluminium ions become quite soluble, either as Al3+ or Al(OH)4−, respectively. The fluoride-uptake batch test also shows that the lowest fluoride uptake is found under alkaline conditions. The uptake is higher under acidic conditions, as reported in the literature (Mulugeta et al., 2014). However, the highest fluoride uptake coincides with the largest yields under slightly acidic to neutral pH conditions (AO2.5, AO2.7); thus an AO product with OH:Al ratios under 3 appears to be optimal for fluoride removal. An AO with an OH:Al ratio of 2.7 was selected for further experimentation.

Surface acidity of aluminium hydroxide–based adsorbents

The surface acidity and surface site concentrations of AO, AA and PB determined using acid-base titration are shown in Table 2. The surface area of AA and PB is 5 to 8 times larger than that of AO, although, as can be seen in Fig. 5, the uptake capacity of AO is larger than that of the other two products. Under the experimental conditions used, the uptake capacity for 1.5 mg/l fluoride for AO was almost 5 times that of AA and PB. One reason for this observation is the larger available surface site concentration. The acidity of the AO product is another likely contributing factor to the relatively large adsorption capacity.

Aluminium solubility of AO

The measured dissolved concentration of aluminium in water equilibrated with AO is compared with that of amorphous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>OH:Al</th>
<th>Final pH after mixing</th>
<th>Yield(g)</th>
<th>Adsorption capacity (mg/g)</th>
<th>Elemental composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AO2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>Al mmol/g 5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>SO4²⁻ mmol/g 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>Na mmol/g 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Fe mmol/g 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1

The design of AO community defluoridation pilot plant implemented in Tuchigragona, Oromya Regional State, Ethiopia.
aluminium hydroxide and gibbsite, as a function of pH, in Fig. 3. The results indicate that the solubility of AO resembles that of amorphous aluminium hydroxide rather than gibbsite. Gibbsite was chosen, because it is the most thermodynamically stable phase in conditions ranging from acidic to neutral, in contact with water, which is also present on the hydrated surface of AA (Karamilidis and Dzombak, 2010). The solubility of AO is slightly higher than that of amorphous aluminium hydroxide. There may be several reasons for this. Firstly, it is possible that sulphate complexes with Al\(^{3+}\), though the effect would be greater at low pH; secondly there may be some metastable Al-OH-SO\(_4\) phases and, lastly, though great care was taken, there may be some contamination at concentrations below 10 \(\mu\)M.

### Aluminium coordination in fresh and used adsorbents

Aluminium NMR chemical shifts are directly related to the coordination number of the Al(III) ion (MacKenzie and Smith, 2002). Chemical shifts for octahedral Al(III) units appear between 10 and 20 ppm, while tetrahedral Al(III) centres exhibit peaks between 50 and 80 ppm. Resonances for 5-coordinate Al(III) species, while less common, are typically observed in the range of 25–35 ppm. Figure 4 shows the \(^{27}\)Al NMR spectrum of AO, AA and PB, before and after 10 mg/g fluoride adsorption. The spectra of AO displayed one main signal at δ ∼ 4.64 and 5.01 ppm, before and after fluoride adsorption, respectively. AO also showed 2 satellite signal peaks at δ ∼ 31.5 and 63.5 ppm (before F adsorption) and δ ∼ 34.5 and 67.0 ppm (after F adsorption). These three signals (for the two cases) were indicative of 6-, 5- and 4-coordinate aluminium species, respectively (Bagshaw and Pinnaviaia, 1996; Urretavizcaya et al., 1998). In the spectra of AA and PB, only 2 signals were observed. In the spectra of AA, the signal at δ ∼ 7.43 and 66.9 ppm (before F adsorption) and at δ ∼ 7.31 and 66.6 ppm (after F adsorption), indicates that

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Surface area ((\text{m}^2/\text{g}))</th>
<th>Acidity ((\text{meq/g}))</th>
<th>Surface site conc. ((\text{meq/g}))</th>
<th>Elemental composition %</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium hydro(oxide) (AO), Ethiopia</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated alumina (AA) (Compalox(^R) AN/V-812), Germany</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoboehmite (PBE), Germany</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the 6- and 4-coordinated Al species were observed, respectively. In the spectra of PB a signal at $\delta \approx 6.11$ and 65.4 ppm (before F adsorption) and PB at $\delta \approx 5.93$ and 65.2 ppm (after F adsorption), also indicates that the 6- and 4-coordinated Al species were observed, respectively. The main signal assigned to 6-coordinated Al species of AO after adsorption of fluoride shifted to $\delta = 5.01$ ppm. Moreover, the resonance signal of AO exhibited a much broader distribution than that of AA and PB, implying low uniformity in the chemical environment of Al species in the well-defined, regular shaped AA or PB adsorbents (Robert et al., 1991).

The features relevant to tetrahedral Al ($\approx 60$ ppm), penta-hedral Al ($\approx 30$ ppm), and octahedral Al ($\approx 0$ ppm) (Stebbins et al., 2000) revealed by the $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectra of AO, as for amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ thin films, are not consistent with the NMR patterns for crystalline alumina polymorphs (Kim et al., 2007). The 5- and 4-coordinations shown in AO are characteristic of amorphous aluminium hydroxide (Sung et al., 2010).

The change in spectrum of AO after fluoride addition indicated a change in structure. According to the compilation of Mackenzie and Smith (2002), octahedral coordination of aluminium predominates in hydrous oxides, while some tetrahedral coordination is observed in aluminium oxides. The decrease in AO of 4- and 5-coordination indicates a transition to a hydrous aluminium oxide. The cause of this change may be maturation by suspension in water, exchange of hydroxyl and/or sulphate ions for fluoride, or both.

Performance of aluminium hydroxide–based adsorbents in packed bed columns

The breakthrough curves for the column packed with AO, AA and PB were determined by plotting the effluent fluoride concentration against the effluent throughput volume (Fig. 5). In all of the columns, fluoride removal was initially high, but decreased progressively over time. A pH variation of $7.0 \pm 1.0$ (AO), $8.0 \pm 0.9$ (AA) and $8.0 \pm 1.0$ (PB) was observed in the effluent from all of the columns and for the influent solution a pH of $8 \pm 0.3$ was recorded. The adsorption capacity for each adsorbent was calculated as $10.6, 1.9$ and $2.4$ mg F/g for AO, AA and PB, respectively. Thus, the adsorption capacity of AO is about 5 times higher than for both AA and PB.

The release of aluminium and sulphate from the aluminium hydroxide–based adsorbents is an issue of concern for fluoride removal from water. The release of aluminium from AA (0.5–2.3 mg/l) and PB (0.5–1.2 mg/l), until fluoride breakthrough concentration (1.5 mg/l), was higher than 0.2 mg/l, which is the USEPA guideline for Al in drinking water (USEPA, 2008). However, the aluminium concentration in the effluent from AO was below 0.2 mg/l. The sulphate concentration in the effluent was also examined for AA and PB, which was found to be < 600 mg/l and < 350 mg/l, respectively, until fluoride breakthrough concentration. However, for AO the sulphate concentration was high (> 2 000 mg/l), as compared with the WHO test threshold level (WHO, 2011), during the first flash (< 10 eBV), but reduced quickly to a level below 550 mg/l.

The uptake capacity to 1.5 mg/l F of the three adsorbents was determined after regeneration (Fig. 6). It was found that for AO there was a drastic change in capacity from 10.6 to 2.0 mg/g after the first cycle of operation. The AO adsorbent became unstable when regenerated with 0.01 M NaOH after 3 cycles and 20 wt% was lost. The regeneration of AA and PB did not result in the loss of material or capacity for the three cycles of regeneration. However, the total fluoride capacity of AO was twice that of AA and PB after 3 cycles. Thus the obvious advantage of AO is initial capacity, but that of AA and PB is stability and the potential for many regeneration cycles. The advantage of AO is that a filter could potentially run for a long time without media replacement.

Performance of community-scale AO defluoridation system

The plant was functional for about 3 months, removing fluoride in the feed water from 8 to 10 mg/l to less than 0.1 mg/l, until the fluoride content in the treated water exceeded 1.5 mg/l. The fluoride adsorption capacity determined for the first and second field tests was 1.7 and 2.5 mg/g, respectively. Plant output varied between 81 and 160 m$^3$ to a fluoride breakthrough of 1.5 mg/l during the two field tests, respectively. The system is simple to operate and fully accepted by the community (Huber and Mosler, 2012), and is a less energy-intensive process for fluoride removal in rural areas. No significant operational problems and complaints from the beneficiaries were experienced during field operation.

The treated water quality is presented in Table 3 which shows that the pH of raw water is, on average, 8, which is mainly because of a high bicarbonate ion concentration. The groundwater in central parts of the Ethiopian Rift Valley is characterised by high bicarbonate content (Mulugeta et al., 2014). This accounts for the reduction in fluoride adsorption...
the AO has the potential to remove other contaminants such as arsenic, uranium and selenium. We conclude that AO has the potential for field implementation, but further studies are needed to assess the role of silicate ions and to select the best method for regenerating AO. Also, the release of Al from the commonly-used AA deserves greater attention in order to ensure the quality of treated water.
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