Adsorptive removal of various phenols from water by South African coal fly ash
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Abstract

South African coal fly ash (SACFA) was used to effectively remove phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol from wastewater. The rate of adsorption follows first-order kinetics before attaining equilibrium with the sorption rate (kₚ) obtained being the highest for 4-nitrophenol (p-nitrophenol) (7.0 x 10⁻³/h), followed by phenol (1.2 x 10⁻³/h) and 2-nitrophenol (p-nitrophenol) (1.0 x 10⁻³/h). Batch studies were performed to evaluate the adsorption process, and it was found that the Freundlich isotherm effectively fits the experimental data for the adsorbates better than the Langmuir model, with the fly ash having the highest adsorption capacity of 6.51 x 10⁻² mg/g for 4-nitrophenol, 6.00 x 10⁻² mg/g for 2-nitrophenol and 6.31 x 10⁻² mg/g for phenol. The fly ash was found to adsorb 90.2% of phenol, 88.9% of 2-nitrophenol and 92.6% of 4-nitrophenol at an initial concentration of 20 mg/l. The desorption studies suggested that the desorption of 4-nitrophenol was the most difficult of the three adsorbates to be desorbed. The desorption efficiency was 17.9% for phenol, 18.8% for 2-nitrophenol and 10.2% for 4-nitrophenol. This work proved that SACFA can be used as an efficient adsorbent material for removal of phenol from water and wastewater.
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Introduction

Wastewaters containing phenolic compounds are a serious environmental problem, and these waters cannot simply be released into the environment without treatment. The toxic and hazardous nature of phenols and their derivatives have been well documented (Greminger et al., 1982; Brandt et al., 1997; Bülbül et al., 1997; Denizli et al., 2004) and can cause several health problems (Slein and Sansone, 1980). Phenolic compounds have been classified as high-priority pollutants by the USA EPA (Environmental Protection Agency, 1984). Phenolic compounds are usually present in wastewater generated from the paint, solvent, petrochemical, coal conversion, pharmaceutical, plastic, iron-steel and paper pulp industries.

Several methods are currently used for the removal of phenol and its derivatives from wastewater, e.g. microbial degradation, chemical oxidation, incineration, solvent extraction and irradiation (Munaf et al., 1997; Bertoncini et al., 2003; Khalid et al., 2004; Aksu, 2005; Denizli et al., 2005). However, by far the most frequently used technology is adsorption by a solid phase. Several different adsorbent solids such as activated carbon (Garcia-Araya et al., 2003; Nouri et al., 2002), silica (Hanna et al., 2002), glass powder (Atun, 1992), polymeric resins (Wagner and Schultz, 2001; Abburi, 2003), fly ash (Akgerman and Zardkooohi, 1996; Kao et al., 2000; Sarkar et al., 2005; Sarkar and Acharya, 2006), peat (Allen, 1987; Viraraghavan and Alfaro, 1998), kaolinite (Barhoumi et al., 2003) and zeolites (Koh and Dixon, 2001; Sismanoglu and Pura, 2001) have all been proposed to remove phenolic pollutants from wastewater. Activated carbon is, however, an expensive material, so many of the other alternatives suggested become very attractive from a cost point of view. In this regard fly ash is a very attractive option, because it is cheap, widely available and has good mechanical stability for handling purposes and employment in adsorption columns.

The use of fly ash as a means to remove both inorganic heavy metals (Bayat, 2002; Gangoli et al., 1975) and organic phenolic compounds (Akgerman and Zardkooohi, 1996; Kao et al., 2000; Sarkar et al., 2005; Sarkar and Acharya, 2006; Srivastava et al., 2006; Viraraghavan and Alfaro, 1998) has been reported by several other investigators before. However, the nature of fly ash is influenced by, amongst others, the origin of the coal and the burning conditions under which it was formed. It is therefore not unreasonable to expect South African coal fly ash to behave differently from those in other parts of the world. In fact, a previous study (Potgieter-Vermaak and Potgieter, 2006) confirmed that South African coal fly ash behaved very differently from other fly ashes reported in the literature with regard to the removal and successful uptake of heavy metal ions from contaminated water.

Apart from its heavy metal removal capacity, SACFA has been used previously to treat acid mine drainage (Potgieter-Vermaak et al., 2006), remove phosphates from wastewater (Agyei et al., 2002), as a source of metal oxides (Matjie et al., 2004) and for soil amelioration (Reynolds, 2005). However, no record could be found of its use to alleviate the occurrence of phenols in water. The current study thus investigates the suitability of SACFA to remove phenolic compounds from water. To this end, the kinetics of the adsorption process was investigated, due to the importance of its control of the process efficiency. Further-
more, the applicability of the Freundlich and Langmuir adsorption isotherms was investigated, in order to understand the mechanism of the adsorption process and establish the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent fly ash. Lastly, the desorption of the phenolic compounds was considered to gauge the efficiency of the process. This study will add to the current knowledge of the characteristics and behaviour of South African fly ash, and its suitability as a potential adsorbent in various applications.

Experimental procedure

Adsorbate material

The composition of the synthetically prepared aqueous solutions used in this study was similar to that generated by the petrochemical, coal conversion and phenol producing industries. The stock solution was prepared by diluting the required quantities of phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol of AnalR grade supplied by Merck Chemical Ltd., South Africa, in the same volume of distilled water to obtain adsorbate solutions of various initial concentrations (C) in the range of 10 mg/l to 30 mg/l. The pH value for the aqueous solution of phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol (10 mg/l to 30 mg/l) was adjusted with either dilute HCl or NaOH solutions to a constant value. All the pH measurements were done with a pH meter (827 pH Lab, Metrohm).

Each flask was capped and inverted 3 times to mix the contents thoroughly and then allowed to stabilise for 10 to 15 min in the Pyrex conical flask until isotherm experiments for batch studies were performed.

Adsorbent material

Fly ash is the fine powdery residue obtained by separating the solids from the flue gases during the combustion of coal. The fly ash utilised in this investigation was an unclassified grade solids from the flue gases during the combustion of coal. The fly ash is the fine powdery residue obtained by separating the solids from the flue gases during the combustion of coal. The composition of the synthetically prepared aqueous solutions used in this study was similar to that generated by the petrochemical, coal conversion and phenol producing industries. The stock solution was prepared by diluting the required quantities of phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol of AnalR grade supplied by Merck Chemical Ltd., South Africa, in the same volume of distilled water to obtain adsorbate solutions of various initial concentrations (C) in the range of 10 mg/l to 30 mg/l. The pH value for the aqueous solution of phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol (10 mg/l to 30 mg/l) was adjusted with either dilute HCl or NaOH solutions to a constant value. All the pH measurements were done with a pH meter (827 pH Lab, Metrohm).

Each flask was capped and inverted 3 times to mix the contents thoroughly and then allowed to stabilise for 10 to 15 min in the Pyrex conical flask until isotherm experiments for batch studies were performed.

Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SACFA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical form</td>
<td>Powdered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific surface area (S_{BET}) (m²/g)</td>
<td>1.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pore volume (cm³/g)</td>
<td>0.001437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle size (@ 90% level) (µm)</td>
<td>99.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical composition (%m/m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>45.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>34.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on ignition</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instrumental methods

The particle sizes of the samples were measured using a laser based particle size analyser, namely a Mastersizer (2000) of Malvern Instruments Ltd. The surface areas of samples were determined by N₂ adsorption at -196 ºC using a TriStat 3000 analyser (Micromeritics Instrument Corp). All samples were degassed at 200ºC for 4 h, prior to adsorption experiments.

Major element concentrations within the fly ash were determined by X-ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy, using a Magi 'XPro XRF spectrometer from PANalytical. The sample was placed in an aluminium cup and hydraulically pressed into pellets under very high pressure of 20 t for 60 s. This was done to ensure sample integrity under the vacuum and a consistent surface to receive the X-rays. Quantitative analysis was carried out with the Magi 'X Pro XRF spectrometer at 40 kV and 40 mA, using IQ 'Standardless' analysis.

The phases and qualitative analyses of the minerals within the fly ash were carried out using a X 'Pert PRO Diffractometer by PANalytical, operated at 40 kV and 40 mA for 1 h over the range of 20 from 0º to 80º. A Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) (model Jeol JSM840) was used to identify the minerals' morphology present in the fly ash.

The concentration changes of the individual compounds in the solutions were determined by means of UV-VIS spectrophotometry (SQ-4802 Double Beam). The maximum absorbances for each solute from the highest standard solution prepared were found using scanning spectrophotometry at the respective wavelength maxima λ_{max}. The λ_{max} used were 269 nm, 277.5 nm and 320.5 nm for phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol respectively. The calibration plot of absorbance vs. concentration for all the standards showed a linear working range up to 30 mg/l with correlation coefficient ≥ 0.99. The supernatant solutions obtained after adsorption were also analysed using the same wavelengths.

Adsorption kinetics

Kinetic tests using a mixture of phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol were performed in a batch fashion. The initial solute mix was analysed to determine the initial concentration of the solutes before mixing with the fly ash. For each adsorption data point, a 30 ml aliquot of the adsorbate solution having an initial phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol concentration of 20 mg/l, constant fly ash loading of 20 g at temperature of 307 K were introduced into a series of 250 ml Pyrex conical flasks for different contact times and at a pH of about 3.12. The conical flasks were placed and shaken in a Labcon platform shaking incubator (Model FSIM-SPO16) where the last sample was collected after 360 min of contact time and at a constant stirring speed of 300 rpm. The samples were covered throughout the experiment and the supernatant solution filtered through Whatman micro-fibre filters of 0.45 µm to determine the concentration of each solute left after the different time intervals of agitation. Data obtained from these tests were used in determining the rate constant for adsorption using the Lagergren model. The equilibrium adsorption studies at 307 K were conducted by contacting initial phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol concentrations of 20 mg/l with varying quantities of fly ash (5 to 35) g in a series of 250 ml Pyrex conical flasks at a pH of 3.12 ±0.10. The flasks were shaken in a Labcon platform shaking incubator (Model FSIM-SPO16) to attain equilibrium after about 22 h of contact time and at a constant stirring speed of 300 r/min. This follows a similar approach by Gupta et al. (1998) who used an
The total desorption of each phenol derivative was calculated after each phenol compound was reached in the supernatant. Desorption test was continued until a constant value of the desorbed concentration of the desorbed phenol in the supernatant. Each desorption was the weight of fly ash used in each desorption test (g) and removed percentage removal of phenol from wastewater was within the range of 1.5 to 1.7 m²/g, and which had an adsorption capacity for phenol in the range of 0.015 to 0.100 mg of phenol per gram of adsorbent. However, the SSA was in contrast with the result reported by Kao et al. (2000), who found that the SSA of fly ash usually ranges from 5 to 42 m²/g. The X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis of the fly ash used in this investigation is given in Table 1. The particle size of the fly ash used for the current experiments is also shown in Table 1.

XRD analysis

The identification of the mineralogical constituents and phase proportions of fly ash was conducted by X-Ray diffractometer (XRD) on a model Philips PW 1830 X-ray diffractometer with a Cu-anode XPERT PRO Diffractometer by PANanalytical, and is given in Fig. 1. It can be observed from Fig. 1 that the fly ash consists mostly of mullite, quartz, and calcium oxide intensity is characteristic of low-Ca Class-F fly ash and similar to that reported by Gier et al. (2003).

SEM analysis

The investigation reveals that most of the particles present in the fly ash are spherical in shape with a relatively smooth surface. Figure 2 shows sub-angular and spherical particles with relatively smooth grains consisting of quartz, while Fig. 3 shows clusters of iron (Fe-oxide) particles formed due to partial decomposition of pyrite and with dark quartz inclusions. Similar results were obtained from the investigation conducted by Matjie et al. (2004) on Sasol ashes.

Adsorption time

Figure 4 represents the results for the effect of contact time on the removal of phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol from aqueous solution at an initial concentration of 20 mg/l. It can be seen that the amount of all the adsorbates adsorbed onto fly ash increases with time and about 75.4%, 73.2% and 84.8% of phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol had been removed within the first 15 min of agitation, respectively, after which the process approaches equilibrium. The time profile for the adsorbates is a single, smooth, and
continuous curve leading to saturation, which suggests possible monolayer coverage of the adsorbates on the surface of the fly ash. The adsorption capacity \( (Q_t) \) as illustrated in Fig. 5, generally increases with increase in contact time, and the adsorption equilibrium reached values as high as \( 2.60 \times 10^{-2} \) mg/g, \( 2.33 \times 10^{-2} \) mg/g, and \( 2.23 \times 10^{-2} \) mg/g for 4-nitrophenol, phenol and 2-nitrophenol respectively after 360 min of agitation. Further increases in adsorption capacity after the first 360 min of contact time, will be dependent on the creation of some fresh internal surfaces (Giles et al., 1974). 4-nitrophenol was found to adsorb more than phenol, probably due to its lower solubility in aqueous solution and because the more non-polar an organic compound, the less its affinity for solvent and the higher its adsorption affinity by the adsorbent (Cooney, 1999). The adsorption capacity for phenol was found to be slightly higher than that of 2-nitrophenol and this shows that solubility is not the all-important parameter in this phenomenon. The difference in adsorption behaviour of phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol might also be due to the different affinities of the three phenolic species for the reactive functional groups on the fly ash. Apart from hydrophobic interaction, Van der Waals interactions and hydrogen bonding interaction can also play a role in this regard (Senel et al., 2006). Denizli et al. (2002) reported that the adsorption capacity for phenol and 4-nitrophenol on micro-beads were found to be greater than that of 2-nitrophenol.

**Dynamic adsorption**

The data from the kinetic adsorption of phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol with fly ash were modelled using the pseudo first-order Lagergren equation. The Lagergren equation is one of the most widely used for the adsorption of solute from a liquid solution. It can be written as:

\[
\log(q_e - q) = \log q_e - \frac{k_{ad}}{2.303} t
\]

where:

- \( q_e \) is the capacity at equilibrium (mg/g)
- \( q \) is the amount adsorbed at time \( t \) (mg/g)
- \( k_{ad} \) is the rate constant of pseudo-first-order adsorption \( \text{min}^{-1} \)

A plot of \( \log(q_e - q) \) vs. time should give a straight line to confirm the applicability of the kinetic model, and a derivation of the constant. Figure 6 shows a plot of \( \log(q_e - q) \) vs. \( t \) for the phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol adsorption at a concentration of 20 mg/l.

The data depicted in Fig. 6 show that a first order reaction rate expression was obeyed to a reasonable degree, and the value of \( K_{ad} \) for the adsorption of the various adsorbates onto the fly ash was found to be \( 1.15 \times 10^{-3} \) h for phenol, \( 1.0 \times 10^{-3} \) h for 2-nitrophenol and \( 6.32 \times 10^{-3} \) h for 4-nitrophenol.

**Adsorption mechanisms**

The adsorption equilibrium was described using both Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms model. The rearranged Langmuir
and 4-nitrophenol studied at concentration of 20 mg/ℓ. The maximum amount of solute (Q_0) is the amount when all adsorption sites are filled, the surface will no longer be able to adsorb more solute. Solid surfaces have finite adsorption sites. When all the adsorption sites are filled, a surface will no longer be able to adsorb more solute from the solution.

The Langmuir adsorption isotherm is based on the concept that there is no interaction between the solute and the solid phase. The maximum adsorption capacity (Q_m) is obtained when the concentration of solute (C_e) is equal to the adsorption equilibrium constant (K_f).

The Freundlich adsorption isotherm can be written in the form:

$$\log Q = \log K_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where:

- $K_f$ is an adsorption equilibrium constant related to the binding energy
- $n$ is an empirical constant. The magnitude of $n$ gives an indication of the favourability of adsorbent/adsorbate system. Values of $n > 1$ signify that the solute has a low affinity for the adsorbent at low concentrations. Likewise, a value of $n < 1$ is an indication of favourable adsorption and a high affinity between the solute and the solid phase.

The Freundlich constants ($K_f$ and $1/n$) and Langmuir constants ($b$ and $Q_m$) determined from the adsorption isotherms for phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol depicted in Figs. 7 and 8 are summarised in Table 2.

The correlation coefficient values determined for each of the adsorption isotherms indicates that the Freundlich model effectively fits the experimental data better than the Langmuir model. The value for $n$ was found to be greater than 1 for the fly ash, which suggested unfavourable uptake of the adsorbates by the fly ash. The adsorption capacity for phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol at the maximum residual concentration was calculated using the Freundlich constants ($K_f$ and $1/n$) at an initial concentration of 20 mg/ℓ for all the adsorbates and the values obtained were $5.79 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/g, $5.47 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/g and $6.33 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/g for phenol, 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol, respectively.

The adsorption capacity for the adsorbates when applying the Langmuir constant under the same conditions and at the maximum residual concentration were found to be $4.37 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/g, $4.76 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/g and $5.62 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/g. The $K_f$ value determined for fly ash on the adsorption of phenol from the solution was found to be higher than that of 2-nitrophenol and lower when compared to 4-nitrophenol within the same concentration ranges studied.

The higher adsorption affinity of fly ash for 4-nitrophenol was expected due to its lower solubility in water than phenol. 4-nitrophenol is moderately soluble in cold water and denser than water (1.52 g/100 mL), while the solubility of 2-nitrophenol in water is (0.26 g/100 mL) and phenol is (8.3 g/100 mL) under the same condition (273 K). Both 2-nitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol have the same molecular weight, and therefore it seems that solubility is not the overriding factor for this test when considering the higher amount of 4-nitrophenol adsorbed onto fly ash compared to 2-nitrophenol. The result is in agreement with the findings of Denizli et al. (2002) where more 4-nitrophenol was found to adsorb on fly ash compared to 2-nitrophenol.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbates</th>
<th>Freundlich constants</th>
<th>Langmuir constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_f$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>$n$ (ℓ/mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.0579</td>
<td>1.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Nitrophenol</td>
<td>0.0540</td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Nitrophenol</td>
<td>0.0633</td>
<td>1.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Operating conditions: Temperature, $T = 307$ K; Speed of agitation = 300 r/min, pH = 3.12; $C_e = 20$ mg/ℓ)
adsorb onto micro-beads than 2-nitrophenol.

The adsorption capacity of the adsorbent used in this investigation was found to be lower than that of many other fly ashes and activated carbons. The main reason could be the high surface area of activated carbon, which is usually in the range of 500 to 1 500 m²/g (Yin et al., 2007). In addition, the surface area of the fly ash and activated carbon reported by Srivastava et al. (2006) for the uptake of phenol were 168.39 m²/g and 336.60 m²/g respectively.

The adsorption results from this study were compared to those previously found by other authors in Table 3. The value of $K_f$ was found to be significantly higher for the phenol-SACFA system in comparison to phenol-rice husk and phenol-coke breeze described by Mahvi et al. (2004). Also, the constant $K_f$ value obtained for this system was in contrast to the result reported by Viraraghavan and Alfaro (1998) for phenol-bentonite and phenol-peat systems. The adsorption capacity for phenol varies widely among the different adsorbents, thus again underlining the necessity and importance to characterise and investigate each adsorbent intended for pilot or plant use.

The nature and composition of fly ashes are influenced by the coal origin and the existing burning conditions under which it were formed. Therefore, it is to be expected that these ashes should have different adsorption capacities for the uptake of adsorbates. The adsorption capacity for the fly ash used by Sarkar et al. (2005) was found to be much higher than the fly ash used in this investigation. Furthermore, the result was also in contrast with the findings of Ahmaruzzaman and Sharma (2005) and this is due to the fact that the coal ash used in their investigation had a higher specific surface area within the range of 96.44 and 312.56 m²/g. The South African fly ash used in this work had an SSA of 1.279 m²/g and pore volume of 0.00437 cm³/g, which seems to perform almost equally compare to the rubber activated carbon with SSA of 596 m²/g used for adsorbing phenol by Rengaraj et al. (2002b).

**Desorption studies**

The adsorption-desorption efficiencies shown in Fig. 9 and summarised in Table 4 reveal that the desorption of 4-nitrophenol from the fly ash was more difficult than 2-nitrophenol, and its adsorption was more irreversible than that of phenol and 2-nitrophenol on the adsorbent. The irreversibility of adsorption in this case could possibly be due to high-energy bonding to specific functional groups on the surface of the fly ash that might have resulted in a degree of chemisorption. This work shows that 4-nitrophenol was adsorbed with a higher adsorption efficiency of 92.6% compared to both phenol 90.2% and 2-nitrophenol 88.9%. The desorption efficiency for 2-nitrophenol was 18.8% when using 30 ml of distilled water to desorbed phenol from fly ash. Hence, from the initial concentration of 20 mg/l of the adsorbates, 20 g of fly ash adsorbed 16.45 mg/l of phenol, 16.01 mg/l of 2-nitrophenol and 18.13 mg/l of 4-nitrophenol from the solution. The concentrations for the 3 adsorbates released after

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Adsorbate</th>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
<th>Adsorption capacity (mg/g) (7 s almost 360 minutes)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coke breeze</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.00150</td>
<td>0.917 0.350</td>
<td>0.180 Ahmaruzzaman and Sharma (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated C</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.886 Mahvi et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum coke</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>0.00092 5.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice husk</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.00092 1.75</td>
<td>0.149 Aksu and Yener (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice husk ash</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>Wu J and Yu HQ (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried activated sludge</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.187 1.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phanerochaete Biomass</td>
<td>2, 4-dichloro-phenol</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>4.6 x 10^-2</td>
<td>0.187 1.21 Srivastava et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.512 1.77</td>
<td>0.362 0.64 Srivastava et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.00092 1.75</td>
<td>0.086 Mahvi et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.6 x 10^-2</td>
<td>0.201 0.21 Srivastava et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay-Zeolite (Al-Si based)</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.6 x 10^-2</td>
<td>0.201 0.21 Srivastava et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal fly ash</td>
<td>2, 4-dimethyl phenol</td>
<td>2.730</td>
<td>0.09917</td>
<td>0.88 1.77</td>
<td>0.780 Batabyal et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>0.8787 2.25</td>
<td>0.780 Batabyal et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>Chlorophenol</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>208.33</td>
<td>0.00092 1.75</td>
<td>0.00092 1.75 Srivastava et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagasse FA</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.0362</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.512 1.77</td>
<td>0.0362 0.64 Srivastava et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagasse FA</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.00092 1.75</td>
<td>0.060 Mahvi et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACFA</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.0082 1.083</td>
<td>0.0082 1.083 Ahmaruzzaman and Sharma (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-nitrophenol</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.0066 1.025</td>
<td>0.0066 1.025 Ahmaruzzaman and Sharma (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-nitrophenol</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.015 0.64</td>
<td>0.015 0.64 Ahmaruzzaman and Sharma (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
replacing the supernatant solution with distilled water for the desorption test were 3.00 mg/l for phenol, 3.04 mg/l for 2-nitrophenol and 1.92 mg/l for 4-nitrophenol.

Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from this investigation:

• The adsorption process obeys first-order kinetics. The Freundlich isotherm fits and describes the adsorption mechanism better than the Langmuir one for all three phenol derivatives. The Freundlich constant (n) indicates that the affinity of the adsorbent for the adsorbates is not particularly favourable or strong.

• The values of the adsorption capacity, as well as the Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm constants, which were obtained in this work, are comparable to those of some other adsorption systems, but different. This once again emphasises the necessity to investigate and characterise each system individually before attempting any pilot plant or full-scale design for implementation. Desorption occurs to a much lesser extent than adsorption, thus implying that the fly ash investigated in this work could be employed to remove and retain a substantial amount of phenols from solution. This work proved that SACFA have all the necessary adsorption attributes and characteristics to warrant serious consideration for potential application in wastewater treatment applications.
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